

BDR 38-15
SB 187

LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 20, 2025

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2024-25	Fiscal Year 2025-26	Fiscal Year 2026-27	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Chief Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
SB187 / BDR 38 - 15

City/County: Carson City Approved by: Sheri Russell-Benabou, Chief Financial Officer Comment: Carson City contracts with the State for Child Protective Services. With the extra regulations proposed in this bill, we anticipate our costs would increase, but we have no way to calculate the cost adjustment to our contract.				
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Churchill County Approved by: Alexa Robinson, Executive Assistant Comment:				
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County Approved by: Damon Harris, Deputy Director Finance Comment: The bill as written will likely decrease child placements with relative and fictive kin, which will in turn increase foster care placements (generally a more expensive option). Additionally, the bill language regarding sibling placement limitations in sections 6 and 12 have a strong potential to decrease sibling groups being placed separately in the community, conversely increasing the number of sibling groups (via court order) to be placed together at Child Haven, which has higher placement costs versus community foster placements. There's also concern that the additional requirements placed on relative and fictive kin providers may create a barrier, resulting in less placements within the family network, which would ultimately place more children in foster care. The anticipated increased cost to the County cannot be quantified.				
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Douglas County Approved by: Kathy Lewis, Chief Operating Officer Comment:				
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**

Approved by: Weston Noyes, Deputy Comptroller

Comment: As the bill is currently written, there is no language, amended or added, which would indicate a fiscal impact to Humboldt County.

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Lincoln County**

Approved by: Denice Brown, Administrative Assit

Comment:

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Lyon County**

Approved by: Josh Foli, Comptroller

Comment: This bill is not expected to cause a fiscal impact to Lyon County.

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Mineral County**

Approved by: Cindy Nixon, Mineral County Recorder

Comment:

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Nye County**

Approved by: Dianna N. Carrell, Assistant Finance Director

Comment:

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Pershing County**

Approved by: Karen Wesner, Administrative Assistant

Comment:

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Washoe County Approved by: Cadence Matijevich, Government Affairs Liaison Comment: The provisions of this bill are anticipated to increase demand for case worker resources necessary in court proceedings for fictive kin/relative placements. However, because the number and complexity of future cases is unknown, a reliable estimate of the direct fiscal impact of this bill on Washoe County cannot be determined.				
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Local Government: Eighth Judicial District Court Approved by: Christopher Saccucci, Assistant Court Administrator - Finance Comment:				
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Local Government: First Judicial District Court Approved by: Maxine Cortes, Court Administrator Comment:				
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Local Government: Second Judicial District Court Approved by: /s/ Alicia L. Lerud, SJDC Court Administrator & Clerk of Court Comment: This bill will require an additional hearing in dependency cases where the placement is with fictive kin. Washoe County currently has approximately 170 children in such a placement. It is unknown at this time whether additional hearings can be absorbed by existing resources.				
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following counties did not provide a response: Elko County, Esmeralda County, Lander County, Storey County, White Pine County, and Eureka County.