# BDR 10-684 AB 223

# LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 15, 2025

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2024-25	Fiscal Year 2025-26	Fiscal Year 2026-27	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Chief Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

#### Local Government Responses AB223 / BDR 10 - 684

Comment:				
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Comment:

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

# City/County: Clark County

Approved by: Chris Wardlaw, Budget Manager

Comment: The bill introduces revisions to the provisions governing the relationship between landlords and tenants of rental properties, including modifications to agreements, rent payment terms, habitability standards, and service requirements. However, it is determined that this legislation would not have a fiscal impact on Clark County for several reasons:

No Additional Costs: The revisions do not impose any new financial obligations or costs on the County. They primarily serve to clarify or adjust the terms of existing agreements without necessitating increased funding or resources.

Local Governance: The enforcement of these provisions falls primarily on landlords and tenants, meaning that any resulting costs or benefits would be absorbed within the private rental market rather than affecting public funding or services provided by the County.

Impact on Revenue: The bill does not directly alter tax revenues or fees collected by the County. As such, it does not require an adjustment to the County's budget or financial forecasts.

Administrative Burden: The changes outlined in the bill are unlikely to create significant additional administrative burdens for County staff, as the responsibility for compliance primarily lies with the landlords and tenants involved.

Overall, since the bill modifies existing relationships and agreements without introducing new fiscal expenditures or implications for the County's budget, it is assessed to have no fiscal impact on Clark County.

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

# City/County: Douglas County

Approved by: Kathy Lewis, Chief Operating Officer Comment:

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### City/County: Elko County

Approved by: Susan Paprocki, Comptroller

Comment: no impact anticipated

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### City/County: Humboldt County

Approved by: Weston Noyes, Deputy Comptroller

Comment: While this bill as written would increase the demand for court services within Humboldt County we cannot determine the fiscal impact this will have on the county as we cannot anticipate how many complaints will be filed within the court.

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

## City/County: Lincoln County

Approved by: Denice Brown, Admin Asst Comment:

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### City/County: Lyon County

Approved by: Josh Foli, Comptroller

Comment: The Justice Courts do not anticipate a fiscal impact to be created by this bill.

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

## City/County: Pershing County

Approved by: Karen Wesner, Administrative Assistant Comment:

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### City/County: Washoe County

Approved by: Cadence Matijevich, Government Affairs Liaison

Comment: The provisions of this bill creating a new case type and adding complexity to existing cases are anticipated to result in increased caseloads for the Justice Courts in Washoe County. The Courts have indicated that they can most likely address the increased caseload within their existing resources, but because there is not currently data available to accurately estimate the increased case load.

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

## City/County: City of West Wendover

Approved by: Kenneth R. Quirk, Court Judge

Comment: Determining the precise fiscal impact of this bill on our court is highly challenging due to the numerous variables involved, including mandated payment plans, debt forgiveness, and restrictions on collections. Without concrete data or a clear framework for implementation, any estimate would be speculative at best, rather than an informed fiscal projection. In short, it is not possible without having a team of forensic accountants to predict exactly how this bill will impact our court.

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### City/County: City of Sparks

Approved by: Tiffany Pugh, Accounting Manager Comment:

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

# City/County: City of Reno

Approved by: Jason Gortari, Urban Economist Comment:

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

## City/County: City of Las Vegas

Approved by: Rocio Martinez Saucedo, Grants Administrator Comment:

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

# City/County: City of Henderson

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager

Comment: No fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

# City/County: City of Fernley

Approved by: Robert Carson, City Treasurer

Comment:

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

# City/County: City of Elko

Approved by: Annette Robinson, City Clerk

Comment: The City of Elko has reviewed the bill and has determined that there is no fiscal impact.

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Local Government: <b>Eighth Judicial District Court</b> Approved by: Christopher Saccucci, Assistant Court Administrator - Finance Comment:					
Impact FY 2024-25 FY 2025-26 FY 2026-27 Future Biennia					
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Local Government: <b>First Judicial District Court</b> Approved by: Maxine Cortes, Court Administrator Comment:						
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia		
No Impact \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0						

Local Government: **Second Judicial District Court** Approved by: /s/ Alicia L. Lerud, SJDC Court Administrator & Clerk of Court Comment: Any additional case filings associated with this bill can be handled using existing judicial resources.

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Esmeralda County, Lander County, Mineral County, Nye County, Storey County, White Pine County, Eureka County, City of Yerington, City of Winnemucca, City of Wells, City of North Las Vegas, City of Mesquite, City of Lovelock, City of Fallon, City of Ely, City of Carlin, City of Caliente, and City of Boulder City.