# BDR 11-150 AB 146

## LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 15, 2025

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2024-25	Fiscal Year 2025-26	Fiscal Year 2026-27	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Chief Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

#### Local Government Responses AB146 / BDR 11 - 150

#### School District: Carson City School District

Approved by: Spencer Winward, Chief Financial and Operations Officer Comment: Carson City School District does not anticipate a change in services offered to students with disabilities based on the proposed changes of the legislation and sees no fiscal impact from this.

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Churchill County School District Approved by: Christi Fielding, Comptroller Comment:				
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

## School District: Clark County School District

Approved by: Diane Bartholomew, Interim Chief Financial Officer

Comment: This Bill is undeterminable based on the current language.

Clarity regarding language would be helpful:

The word "nurture": Will this require or allow parents to be present in schools or classrooms? If so, will that promote costs for schools, such as requiring extra staffing for supervising or monitoring?

(c) Limit or abridge rights on account of disability.

"Disability" Who's disability, the parent or student?

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

	smeralda County S bel Guerrero, Finar			
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Impact FY 2024-25 FY 2025-26 FY 2026-27 Future B	
inpact   i 2024-20   i 2020-20   i 2020-27   i diule b	iennia
No Impact \$0	

Approved by: Pan	ncoln County Sch n Teel, Superintend t determine the cos		nool district.	
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lyon County School District Approved by: Kyle Rodriguez, Fiscal Services Officer Comment:				
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Nye County School District</b> Approved by: Alma Wright, Executive Secretary Comment:				
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: <b>Pershing County School District</b> Approved by: Dennis Holmes, Superintendent Comment: Unable to determine cost.					
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia	
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

#### School District: Storey County School District

Approved by: Kristen Chandler, Director of Business Services Comment:

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### School District: Washoe County School District

Approved by: Mark Mathers, CFO

Comment: In considering NRS 126 in it's totality, there may be some implications of this bill for services and parental involvement after the child turns 18 and potential interpretation of supported decision making.

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

## School District: White Pine County School District

Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO

Comment: The proposed bill draft would likely have minimal direct financial impact on school districts. The bill primarily focuses on affirming parental rights and preventing discrimination based on disability in exercising those rights. It does not introduce new financial obligations or requirements for school districts. However, there could be indirect financial implications such as the following: School districts might need to review and potentially update their policies to ensure compliance with the expanded definition of parental rights. This could involve legal consultations and staff training (legal costs). If the bill leads to increased requests from parents with disabilities for accommodations in school interactions, districts might need to allocate resources to meet these needs (accommodation costs). Districts may need to invest in improved communication systems to ensure all parents, including those with disabilities, can effectively participate in their child's education (communication cost). There could be a risk of increased litigation if parents feel their expanded rights are not being respected, which could result in legal defense costs for school districts (legal costs). It's important to note that the financial impact would likely be minimal compared to other education-related legislation, as this bill primarily reinforces existing rights rather than creating entirely new obligations for school districts.

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

## City/County: Clark County

Approved by: Jennifer Green, Director of Budget & Financial Planning Comment: AB146 adds additional language of "nurture, education, control" regarding the liberty interest and fundamental right of a parents' interest of their child. Furthermore, it provides an additional protection for the rights of parents with a disability; where nothing in NRS 126.036, Section 2, is permitted to limit or abridge the parental rights on account of disability.

Additionally, the definition of "Agency" and "Disability" is added to NRS 126.036.

The changes provided for in AB146 do not have a fiscal impact on the County; however, it may have an affect on the education and training provided to employees that provide services to children, due to the expanded language in parental rights and rights of parents with disabilities.

Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties/school districts did not provide a response: Douglas County School District, Elko County School District, Eureka County School District, Lander County School District, Mineral County School District, and Washoe County.