

LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 14, 2025

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2024-25</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2025-26</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2026-27</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Chief Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
SB81 / BDR 34 - 276

City/County: **Carson City School District**

Approved by: Spencer Winward, Chief Financial and Operations Officer

Comment: Carson City School District cannot determine this fiscal impact of this proposed language.

Sections 1 & 2 - requiring surveys is something already done in the district. There may be some costs involved to adapt our current survey process and subsequent reporting to conform to the new language.

Sections 3, 4,5, 33, 34 - No fiscal impact

Sections 6, 13, 15, 32 - No fiscal impact but timing of the NRS 387 report being moved to January is beneficial for District staff workload

Section 7 - Represents a reduction in expenditures. Costs to publish the quarterly report are currently between \$600-\$800 per occurrence

Section 8, 9, 11, 12 - Represents no fiscal savings as removing this requirement is not enough to warrant a reduction in staff but its removal is welcome in balancing staff workload. During various times some extra hours have been required to complete these reports

Section 10 - Potential increase in expenditures to allow for District Administration to meet with association personnel to develop plan. This would require extra hours for association representatives or substitute costs if done during class times

Section 14 - Potential increase in expenditures if the charter school Sponsored by Carson City School District has ratings that require a report to be submitted. Development and data gathering of referenced-report could incur extra costs.

Section 16, 17, 18 - No fiscal Impact, but helps with workload balance

Section 19 - Reducing the frequency of this report could result in some cost savings

Sections 20-31 - This could have a fiscal impact in changing the amounts of the awards offered to Carson City School District recipients of these grants

Section 35 - Removing the minimum amount spent could result in potential future cost savings if all curriculum purchases can be complete and not required to still spend to meet the spending requirement. Repealing the PD training report assists with staff workload balance.

I

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Churchill County School District**

Approved by: Christi Fielding, Comptroller

Comment: There would be a small savings of around \$ 2,000 in advertising the quarterly expenditures in the paper vs being able to advertise online. There will be time savings in changing the quarterly CSR reporting to semi-annual, changing the quarterly enrollment reporting to semi-annual, changing the semi-annual consultant report to annual, and doing away with the Minimum Expenditure requirements. There will also be time savings with the date change of some of the reporting, such as the 387.303 report.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	(\$2,000)	(\$2,000)	(\$2,000)	(\$4,000)

City/County: **Clark County School District**

Approved by: Diane Bartholomew, Interim Chief Financial Officer

Comment: If passed, this Bill may have a possible financial impact regarding Section 1. Being required to "improve" based upon survey results could result in additional expenses.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Lincoln County School District**

Approved by: Pam Teel, Superintendent

Comment: Unclear on if some of this bill would increase funding and then how other parts would have a cost in reporting or a loss of funding on a small school district.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Lyon County School District**

Approved by: Kyle Rodriguez, Fiscal Services Officer

Comment: The time and effort required to manage the additional surveys and then analyze the results would have a financial impact that can not be quantified at this time.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Nye County School District**

Approved by: Michelle "Chelle" Wright, Director of Human Resources

Comment:

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Pershing County School District**

Approved by: Dennis Holmes, Superintendent

Comment: Unable to determine.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Storey County School District**

Approved by: Kristen Chandler, Director of Business Services

Comment:

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County School District**

Approved by: Mark Mathers, CFO

Comment: The changes in this bill to reduce the frequency of certain reports and allow publishing of quarterly fiscal reports online versus a newspaper should save the District monies, both in terms of staff costs and publication costs. Although we can quantify publication cost savings, we cannot estimate the savings of staff time within the timeframe provided.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **White Pine County School District**

Approved by: Paul Johnson, CFO

Comment: SB 81 appears to have been drafted with recommendations of the Commission on School Funding in mind. Many of the provisions will streamline operations but it is difficult to reasonably assess the fiscal impact without additional details.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Carson City**

Approved by: Sheri Russell-Benabou, Chief Financial Officer

Comment:

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Churchill County**

Approved by: Alexa Robinson, Executive Assistant

Comment:

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Clark County**

Approved by: Chris Wardlaw, Budget Manager

Comment: No fiscal impact to Clark County - School District Impact only

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Douglas County**

Approved by: Kathy Lewis, Chief Operating Officer

Comment:

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Elko County**

Approved by: Susan Paprocki, Comptroller

Comment: no impact anticipated

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**

Approved by: Weston Noyes, Deputy Comptroller

Comment: No fiscal impact.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Lyon County</b>				
Approved by: Josh Foli, Comptroller				
Comment:				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Pershing County</b>				
Approved by: Karen Wesner, Administrative Assistant				
Comment:				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Washoe County</b>				
Approved by: Cadence Matijevich, Government Affairs Liaison				
Comment: It is expected that the requirement set forth in this bill that surveys of employees providing educational services in Washoe County's Juvenile Services Department be conducted would be carried out by the Washoe County School District. As such, there would be no direct fiscal impact to Washoe County as a result of this bill.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties/school districts did not provide a response: Douglas County School District, Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Humboldt County School District, Lander County School District, Mineral County School District, Esmeralda County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Mineral County, Nye County, Storey County, White Pine County, and Eureka County.