

LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 11, 2025

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2024-25	Fiscal Year 2025-26	Fiscal Year 2026-27	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Chief Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
AB89 / BDR 5 - 496

City/County: <b>Carson City</b> Approved by: Sheri Russell-Benabou, Chief Financial Officer Comment:				
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Churchill County</b> Approved by: Alexa Robinson, Executive Assistant Comment:				
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Clark County</b> Approved by: Jennifer Green, Director of Budget & Financial Planning Comment: BDR 5-496, AB89 recommends adding in additional requirements for juvenile facilities (defined as regional facility for the treatment and rehabilitation of children or a local facility for the detention of children), regarding the searches of unclothed juveniles. The recommended regulations require additional personnel, documentation and policies.  The Department of Juvenile Justice provides for the court ordered detention of juveniles within Clark County. The recommended changes provided for in AB89 will require the Department of Juvenile Justice to obtain specialized screening machines - four body scanners (two for Juvenile Detention and two for Spring Mountain Youth Camp) will need to be purchased. The average daily population of the Juvenile Detention Facility is approximately 150 juveniles and Spring Mountain Youth Camp is 100 juveniles. It is standard protocol for checks for weapons, drugs, and items to aid in escape, occur while the juveniles are housed at the Department of Juvenile Justice facilities.				
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$730,500	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Douglas County</b> Approved by: Kathy Lewis, Chief Operating Officer Comment: If this bill passes, Douglas County would consider purchasing a body scanner to ensure they can safely search for contraband. We would want to follow the practice done in Washoe County's youth detention center.				
Impact	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$150,000	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Elko County**  
 Approved by: Susan Paprocki, Comptroller  
 Comment: No impact expected

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**  
 Approved by: Weston Noyes, Deputy Comptroller  
 Comment: The current language in AB 89, as introduced, has the potential for a fiscal impact on Humboldt County. To ensure the safety and security of our juvenile detention center, the purchase of a body scanner will need to be explored. Research shows approved body scanners cost between \$45,000 ( used) and up to \$100,000 (new).

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Lincoln County**  
 Approved by: Denice Brown, Admin Asst  
 Comment:

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Lyon County**  
 Approved by: Josh Foli, Comptroller  
 Comment: This is not expected to incur an additional cost to Lyon County,

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Nye County**  
 Approved by: Dianna N. Carrell, Assistant Finance Director  
 Comment:

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Pershing County</b> Approved by: Karen Wesner, Administrative Assistant Comment:				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Washoe County</b> Approved by: Cadence Matijevich, Government Affairs Liaison Comment: This BDR is not expected to have a fiscal impact on Washoe County. Washoe County Juvenile Services has already developed and implemented the necessary policies, procedures, and training to ensure compliance with federal and state requirements. Additionally, essential equipment, such as a body scanner, has already been procured to support operations within the juvenile detention center.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>FY 2025-26</b>	<b>FY 2026-27</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following counties did not provide a response: Esmeralda County, Lander County, Mineral County, Storey County, White Pine County, and Eureka County.