

BDR 22-90
AB 214

LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 8, 2023

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2022-23	Fiscal Year 2023-24	Fiscal Year 2024-25	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Chief Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
A.B. 214 / BDR 22 - 90

City/County: **Carson City**

Approved by: Sheri Russell-Benabou, Chief Financial officer

Comment: \$100,000 is a very rough and conservative guess, as we currently have an electronic surveillance system on our public transit in Carson City, but we do not maintain the video for 90 days, we keep it for a very short period of time, in the event of an accident or incident (the system dates back to 2008, and still works as needed). Carson City's bus system does not have a remote access option, we have to remove the hard drive from the bus to view in the event of an incident. This bill would require additional storage space, and software to maintain and organize data files, some type of remote access, as well as at least 1 FTE as a contract operator to operate and maintain the video system, as well as establish rules and penalties for anyone who damages or manipulates the video.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$100,000	\$20,000	\$40,000

City/County: **Clark County**

Approved by: Rachel Stevens, Principal Management Analyst

Comment: Clark County has determined that the bill, as currently written, would have no fiscal impact.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Douglas County**

Approved by: Terri Willoughby, Chief Financial Officer

Comment:

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Elko County**

Approved by: Susan Paprocki, Comptroller

Comment:

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**
 Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller
 Comment:

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Lincoln County**
 Approved by: Denice Brown, Administrative Assistant
 Comment:

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Lyon County**
 Approved by: Josh Foli, Comptroller
 Comment:

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Pershing County**
 Approved by: Karen Wesner, Administrative Assistant
 Comment: There may be an impact but it cannot be determined at this time.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**
 Approved by: Cadence Matijevich, Government Affairs Liaison
 Comment: The provisions of this bill do not apply to Washoe County.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Churchill County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Lander County, Mineral County, Nye County, Storey County, and White Pine County.