

Local Government Responses  
**A.B. 183 / BDR 5 - 321**

City/County: <b>Carson City</b> Approved by: Sheri Russell-Benabou, Chief Financial officer Comment: State assured the City there would be a NRIT assessment tool to help offset any fiscal impact of this bill. Without that tool, there may be a fiscal impact, but it would be too difficult to estimate the amount of the impact as there are too many variables.				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Clark County</b> Approved by: Rachel Stevens, Principal Management Analyst Comment: The bill would require juvenile detention facilities and agencies that provide child welfare services to screen each child in their custody to determine whether the child is a victim of commercial sexual exploitation. The bill would have a fiscal impact on Clark County due to the required internal screenings and possible increased caseload as a result. However, the amount cannot be determined.				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Douglas County</b> Approved by: Terri Willoughby, Chief Financial Officer Comment:				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Elko County</b> Approved by: Susan Paprocki, Comptroller Comment:				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Esmeralda County**

Approved by: r. glennen, D.A.

Comment:

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**

Approved by: Pauline Salla, Director of Juvenile Services

Comment: This new legislation would not have a fiscal impact on our agency. The screening tool discussed is being added to our case management system through the state Juvenile Justice Programs Office.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Lyon County**

Approved by: Josh Foli, Comptroller

Comment: This bill most likely has some fiscal impact. However it depends on the interpretation of the method of screening that is based upon research and reliable and valid is interpreted. If this language is interpreted to require a mental health professional to perform each screening, there may be a fiscal impact in the the tens of thousands of dollars for our County. If the screening can be done by existing staff, then there is probably little cost other than developing the appropriate screening tools.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Pershing County**

Approved by: Karen Wesner, Administrative Assistant

Comment:

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Cadence Matijevich, Government Affairs Liaison

Comment: Washoe County anticipates that it would incur expenses to comply with the provisions of this bill. Those expenses would be for the purchase of the required assessment tool and any associated licensing, maintenance and training requirements. As the Division of Child and Family Services of the State Department of Health and Humans Services has the responsibility and authority to select the required assessment tool, Washoe County is unable to determine a reliable estimate of the cost of such tool.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Churchill County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Mineral County, Nye County, Storey County, and White Pine County.