

LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: February 15, 2023

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2022-23	Fiscal Year 2023-24	Fiscal Year 2024-25	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Chief Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses
A.B. 46 / BDR 33 - 240

<p>City/County: Carson City Approved by: Sheri Russell-Benabou, Chief Financial officer Comment: Historical signs in the City's right of way would need to be maintained by the City, and costs are anticipated to be \$10,000 annually for vandalism clean-up and sign replacement.</p>				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$20,000

<p>City/County: Clark County Approved by: Rachel Stevens, Principal Management Analyst Comment: This bill would require the County to be responsible for the installation, maintenance, and protection of the registered historical markers located within its jurisdiction. According to the State Office of Historic Preservation, there are currently 17 historical markers located in unincorporated Clark County. On average, it would cost \$500 in materials and labor for each marker that needs to be replaced. However, it is unknown how often replacement would be needed each year versus minor maintenance. It is also unknown how many new markers could be approved each year. There could be additional cost if the electronic design files for each marker are not made available, as they would have to be recreated. There is language in the bill that would allow for reimbursement of the County's costs by the State Office of Historic Preservation to the extent that money is available for that purpose. However, there is no guarantee money will be available for reimbursement purposes.</p>				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

<p>City/County: Elko County Approved by: Susan Paprocki, Comptroller Comment: Fiscal impact to Elko County cannot be determined at this time.</p>				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Humboldt County**

Approved by: Gina Rackley, Comptroller

Comment: We are unable to determine the number of historical markers placed on county property that may be eligible for installation, maintenance or protection.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Lyon County**

Approved by: Josh Foli, Comptroller

Comment: Lyon County currently doesn't have any county-owned property on the State Historical Marker Registry. However the County does own some sites that might be added in the future, which would create costs if the Office of Historic Preservation doesn't have funding to reimburse the County. It is unlikely that there would be costs in the next biennium, but it is possible that there would be.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Pershing County**

Approved by: Karen Wesner, Administrative Assistant

Comment: The financial impact to the County for installation, maintenance and protection of registered historical markers cannot be determined at this time.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Cadence Matijevich, Government Affairs Liaison

Comment: This BDR would require Washoe County to be responsible for installation, maintenance, and protection of registered historical markers located on Washoe County property, including any directional signage associated with the marker. Washoe County is unable to determine the fiscal effect of this BDR to the county for the following reasons:

1) The actual costs of maintenance/replacement of existing markers and associated directional signage is unable to be calculated because it would depend upon on the state of the marker/signage at the time of maintenance or replacement and the circumstances requiring maintenance or replacement of the marker (example: repairing or replacing due to vandalism vs. routine preventative maintenance).

2) It is unknown how many new markers might be installed on Washoe County property. The estimated cost for installation/replacement of a new historical marker and associated directional signage is \$2,500 - \$3,000 per marker.

3) The BDR provides for reimbursement of the costs of maintenance and installation of the markers from the State Public Works Division of the Department of Administration, but only to the extent that funding is available. Because it is unknown if funding would be available at the time of request(s) for reimbursement we are unable to determine a reliable estimate of the dollar amount of the effect of the BDR.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Boulder City**

Approved by: Angela Manninen, Acting Finance Director

Comment:

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Elko**

Approved by: Kelly Wooldridge, City Clerk

Comment:

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Henderson**

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager

Comment: No fiscal impact to the City of Henderson.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Las Vegas**

Approved by: Jackie Bruno, Management Analyst I

Comment: Below are the estimated costs if the city were to take responsibility for the replacement of these markers or create new markers for additional historic sites:

? Manufacture inscribed metal plaque or sign: Between \$700 and \$1000

? Manufacture concrete stand: Between: \$1000 and \$2000

? Manufacture of stone stand: Between \$1000 and \$1500 (or no cost if use existing natural stone)

? Manufacture large blue metal marker in shape of Nevada: Between \$200 and \$700

? Labor to install signs: About \$50 an hour – work can take 1 to 3 hours

For maintenance costs, only Floyd Lamb Park is city owned, and maintenance would be the cost to paint the blue metal marker. The maintenance on the other markers and signs are the responsibility of the property owners.

If the city were also to be responsible for replacing the brown guide-signs on the roadways (i.e.: traffic signs for Old Mormon Fort, Floyd Lamb Park, and Springs Preserve) the cost would be between \$1000 and \$10000 depending on the size of the sign.

Signs and markers normally last between 5 and 10 years, but this can vary depending on environmental and other factors.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$10,500	\$10,500	\$10,500	\$10,500

City/County: **City of Mesquite**

Approved by: Nikki Thorn, Finance Director

Comment: COM would have some costs but it would be pretty minimal.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$4,000

City/County: **City of Reno**

Approved by: Jordan Hosmer-Henner, Urban Economist

Comment: Section 1.2. indicates a proposed change to the language of NRS 383.091 to say that "political subdivisions on whose property a registered historical marker is located shall be responsible for the installation, maintenance and protection of the registered marker..." In section 1.4. proposed language states "To the extent that money is available and authorized to be used for the purpose, the Administrator shall reimburse the eligible costs of a local government, nonprofit organization or owner of private land to install, maintain or protect registered historical marker." It is unclear at this time what costs may be the responsibility of the City. If this includes markers previously installed on City property, what would be the City's responsibility in maintaining, repairing, or replacing markers already in existence? We don't know the current condition of any markers that may already exist on City property or right of way. Additionally, we don't know what future costs would entail since we don't know if we will get requests to place state historic markers, how many requests we may get, and if funds will be available from the Administrator to pay for installation and maintenance.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Sparks**

Approved by: Jeff Cronk, Chief Financial Officer

Comment: Shifting the maintenance requirement will certainly lead to increased costs, but since maintenance requirements are relatively unknown each year (for example, routine maintenance vs. damage repair or replacement), we are unable to determine the extent of the fiscal impact. However, based on current markers located within the City, additional costs are expected to be immaterial with the potential exception of one which may be costly or difficult to replace if significantly damaged due to the unknown availability of materials.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of West Wendover**

Approved by: Alina Ceballos, Chief Financial Officer

Comment: No fiscal impact anticipated for the City of West Wendover.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Yerington**

Approved by: Robert Switzer, City Manager

Comment: There is an assumption that all historical sites/events have been identified for our municipality. However, this BDR mandates installation and maintenance of historical plaques/monuments subject to reimbursement to the local jurisdiction. Those future costs are unknown at this time.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Carson City School District**

Approved by: Spencer Winward, Director of Fiscal Services

Comment: Carson City School District (CCSD) has no buildings/sites that are listed on the state historical register. However, CCSD has properties that could qualify based on the age requirements. The proposed language in section 1 (c) doesn't clarify if only the property owner can request that qualified sites can be added or if any state agency or political subdivision can request the designation be added to any public property. Language later in the BDR makes it clear that costs associated with the placement and maintenance of the approach and site signage for the historical marker is the responsibility of the property owner where the site is located. This will have a fiscal impact in the event that any of CCSD's sites are added to the historical registry but it cannot be estimated at this time.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Churchill County School District**

Approved by: Dr. Summer E. Stephens, Superintendent

Comment: At this time this proposal doesn't apply to any buildings or spaces within our school district

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Clark County School District**

Approved by: Dillon Kay, Director II - Budget

Comment: Does not appear to have a material financial impact on CCSD. If passed, the required work could be absorbed into the current workflow.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Douglas County School District**

Approved by: Keith Lewis, Superintendent

Comment: It is not anticipated that this bill would add additional cost to Douglas County School District. We do not currently have any sites that contain historical markers that are the basis for this legislation.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Humboldt County School District**

Approved by: Dr. David Jensen, Superintendent

Comment: Minimal impact. We have a couple of locations that are historic and are currently noted. The shift to district responsibility will have some cost, but as proposed is nominal.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Lincoln County School District**

Approved by: Pam Teel, Superintendent

Comment: unclear of impact on school district

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Lyon County School District**

Approved by: Kyle Rodriguez, Fiscal Services Officer

Comment:

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: **Nye County School District**

Approved by: Kelly Wood, Executive Secretary

Comment: No fiscal impact for Nye County School District.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Pershing County School District				
Approved by: Russell D. Fecht, Superintendent				
Comment:				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Storey County School District				
Approved by: Kristen Chandler, Director of Business Services				
Comment:				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Washoe County School District				
Approved by: Mark Mathers, Chief Financial Officer				
Comment: There are no historical markers on WCSD property.				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: White Pine School District				
Approved by: Paul Johnson, Chief Financial Officer				
Comment:				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties/school districts did not provide a response: Churchill County, Douglas County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County , Lander County , Lincoln County, Mineral County, Nye County, Storey County, White Pine County, City of Caliente , City of Carlin, City of Ely, City of Fallon, City of Fernley, City of North Las Vegas, City of Wells, City of Winnemucca, Elko County School District, Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Lander County School District, and Mineral County School District.