LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 24, 2023

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2022-23	Fiscal Year 2023-24	Fiscal Year 2024-25	Effect on Future Biennia
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Chief Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses S.B. 272 / BDR 27 - 876

School District: Carson City School District

Approved by: Spencer Winward, Director of Fiscal Services

Comment: Carson City School District anticipates some additional costs in labor to compile and analyze data. Additionally, we expect some legal expense in development and review of contracts that include requesting the required information.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$10,500	\$500	\$1,000

School District: Churchill County School District

Approved by: Dr. Summer E. Stephens, Superintendent

Comment: This will take staff time and support from multiple departments.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$10,000

School District: Clark County School District

Approved by: Steven Maiello, Coordinator IV

Comment: If passed, this bill as written would require the District to reconfigure its software to track LGBTQ suppliers. Estimated cost would be \$10,000 to make the change. The additional reporting and compliance is estimated to cost \$100,000 for additional staff.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$110,000	\$100,000	\$200,000

School District: Douglas County School District

Approved by: Keith Lewis, Superintendent

Comment: DCSD does not anticipate this would have a significant fiscal impact. It might take labor, but does not seem to be substantial.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Elko County School District Approved by: Julie Davis, CFO Comment: No fiscal impact expected.				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Humboldt County School District

Approved by: Dr. David Jensen, Superintendent

Comment: Will require secretarial time within the auspices of the position.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lincoln County School District

Approved by: Pam Teel, Superintendent

Comment: An expense in reporting and tracking but amount cannot be determined.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Lyon County School District

Approved by: Kyle Rodriguez, Fiscal Services Officer

Comment: Fiscal impact cannot be determined, but this would have a negative impact on the District.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Nye County School District

Approved by: Kelly Wood, Executive Secretary

Comment: There would be a fiscal impact, but the amount is undeterminable.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School District: Pershing County School District

Approved by: Russell D. Fecht, Superintendent

Comment: PCSD would need to add staff in our fiscal office to be able to accommodate the data gathering and reporting requirements outlined in this bill.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$200,000

School District: Washoe County School District

Approved by: Mark Mathers, Chief Financial Officer

Comment: An additional buyer position would be needed due to the additional reporting requirements of this bill.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$92,192	\$96,802	\$208,366

School District: White Pine School District

Approved by: Paul Johnson, Chief Financial Officer Comment:

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Boulder City

Approved by: Angela Manninen, Acting Finance Director Comment:

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Elko

Approved by: Kelly Wooldridge, City Clerk

Comment:

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Henderson

Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager

Comment: The fiscal impact of this legislation to the City of Henderson would be in the area of information technology. The city would need to contract with our current software provider to add the capability of this new tracking at a cost of approximately \$150,000.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$150,000	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Las Vegas

Approved by: Jackie Bruno, Management Analyst I Comment:

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: City of Reno

Approved by: Jordan Hosmer-Henner, Urban Economist

Comment: This information is currently not available in the regular business licensing or purchasing processes. If enacted the City would need at least two full time Management Analysts to handle the collection, analysis and reporting at a rate of \$95,000 per employee this in addition to \$10,000 of one-time process modification expenses.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$200,000	\$209,475	\$230,946

City/County: City of Sparks

Approved by: Tiffany Pugh, Accounting Manager

Comment: This bill would have a fiscal impact to the City, but the amount cannot be determined. In order to present the information listed, it would require system reconfiguration to include additional labels. The City has more than 15,000 vendors, who staff would be tasked with surveying to capture the data in order to be able to present. This bill would likely require significant staff time resulting in additional FTE's to adhere to the bills provisions.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Determined				

City/County: City of West WendoverApproved by: Alina Ceballos, Chief Financial OfficerComment: no fiscal impactImpactFY 2022-23FY 2023-24FY 2024-25Future BienniaNo Impact\$0\$0\$0

City/County: Carson City

Approved by: Sheri Russell-Benabou, Chief Financial officer Comment:

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Clark County

Approved by: Rachel Stevens, Principal Management Analyst

Comment: Clark County has determined that the bill, as currently written, would have no fiscal impact.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Douglas County

Approved by: Terri Willoughby, Chief Financial Officer

Comment: This bill would create a significant administrative burden for County Finance staff, as we currently do not track this information and would need to a:) establish systems to collect this information from the contracting County departments and b:) staff would need to carve additional time to create the required reporting mandated by this BDR. Douglas County issues about 350 purchase orders per year, and the cost is based on an expected 30 minutes per purchase order/contract. Douglas County currently operates under a de-centralized purchasing system.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$7,500	\$7,500	\$15,000

City/County: Elko County

Approved by: Susan Paprocki, Comptroller

Comment:

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Humboldt County

Approved by: Dave Mendiola, County Manager

Comment: There will be a fiscal impact due to additional labor to identify and complete the reports, however, they would be de minimus.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Lincoln County

Approved by: Denice Brown, Administrative Assistant

Comment: This will require personnel costs but at this time it is undetermined as to what those costs may be.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: Lyon County

Approved by: Josh Foli, Comptroller

Comment: We currently do not utilize a contract tracking software and will need one to keep track of this information. We would also have salary costs to inquire on and track this information.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$0	\$31,000	\$6,000	\$7,000

City/County: Pershing CountyApproved by: Karen Wesner, Administrative AssistantComment: There may be an impact but it cannot be determined at this time.ImpactFY 2022-23FY 2023-24FY 2024-25Future BienniaCannot Be
Determined\$0\$0\$0\$0

City/County: Washoe County

Approved by: Cadence Matijevich, Government Affairs Liaison

Comment: Washoe County anticipates that it would incur new one-time expenses associated with software updates to comply with the reporting and publishing requirements of this bill. A reliable estimate of the cost of such updates is not available at this time but the costs are not expected to be significant.

Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties/school districts did not provide a response: Esmeralda County School District, Eureka County School District, Lander County School District, Mineral County School District, Storey County School District, City of Caliente, City of Carlin, City of Ely, City of Fallon, City of Fernley, City of Mesquite, City of North Las Vegas, City of Wells, City of Winnemucca, City of Yerington, Churchill County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Lander County, Mineral County, Nye County, Storey County, and White Pine County.