

LOCAL GOVERNMENT
FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 4, 2005

Agency Submitting: Local Government

Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both	Fiscal Year 2004-05	Fiscal Year 2005-06	Fiscal Year 2006-07	Effect on Future Biennia
Total				

Explanation (Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Chris Janzen

Title Deputy Fiscal Analyst

SUMMARY OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT RESPONSES
AB 72 / BDR 40-569

	FY 2006	FY 2007	Comments
Churchill County	\$18,346	\$19,079	\$17,640 in FY 2004-05; \$40,479 effect on future biennia. It is safe to assume that courts will avail themselves of this proposal. As such, counties will incur greater expenses for jail operations. Assuming the likelihood of additional jail time, each inmate will incur \$5,880 for a minimum 60-day sentence for a second offense, at \$98 per inmate day at the current rate, and up to \$17,640 for a maximum sentence of 6-months. In the event a person is sentenced for a third time within 3-years for a similar offense, each inmate will incur a minimum cost to the county taxpayers of \$11,760 for a 120 day sentence and up to \$17,640 for a maximum sentence in the first year of such a program. One can anticipate the average daily incarceration cost increasing 3% to 5% annually further raising the costs in the second and subsequent fiscal years. It is difficult to state with any certainty the number of persons that might be sentenced under these proposed provisions. Assuming that a court would sentence even one person per year for a second offense at the minimum and one person for a third offense also at the minimum, would raise the cost of incarceration substantially in Churchill County. Factoring these sentences at an annual growth in cost of 4% has been calculated.
Clark County			Indiscernible fiscal impact to the County Detention Center and County court system.
Douglas County			Will have impact with added placement of individuals in the County Jail. Impact will depend on the number of placements and duration. Has the potential for substantial costs.
Eureka County			It's hard to calculate the estimated fiscal impact because of the low drug related case load. It should have a minimal fiscal impact for Eureka County.
Lincoln County			This could possibly have an impact on our jail population but no good estimate of costs can be made at this time.
Washoe County			Fiscal impact is undetermined at this time.
White Pine County	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000 in FY 2004-05; \$4,000 effect on future biennia. Estimate \$2,000 per year increase in penalties and fines

The following counties did not provide a response: Carson City, Elko, Esmeralda, Humboldt, Lander, Lyon, Mineral, Nye, Pershing and Storey.