Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

NEVADA PETROLEUM MARKETERS & CONVENIENCE STORE ASSOCIATION

2205 South Arlington Avenue, Suite 3 Reno, Nevada 89509 Phone 775-348-1888 Fax 775-348-2011

To: Committee on Taxation

From: Peter Krueger, Executive Director & Anthony Bandiero Nevada Petroleum Marketers and Convenience Store Association

April 8, 2003

Suggested Amendment to SB 471

Dear Committee, I propose the following:

Delete section 365.175(2):

Supplier shall hold the amount of all taxes collected pursuant to this chapter in a separate account in trust for the state.

Section 20 add:

If a supplier fails to submit a tax return when due pursuant to this chapter or fails to pay the tax when due pursuant to this chapter they may be required to hold the amount in a separate account in trust for the state.

ALSO

Delete section 366.540(4):

Each special fuel supplier and special fuel dealer shall hold the amount of all taxes collected pursuant to this chapter in a separate account in trust for the state.

Section 46 add:

If a special fuel supplier fails to submit a tax return when due pursuant to this chapter or fails to pay the tax when due pursuant to this chapter they may be required to hold the amount in a separate account in the trust of the state.

.\97 Amend NRS 366.199 "Factor for conversion of volumetric measurement" to read:

For the purpose pf taxing the sale or use of compressed natural gas or liquefied petroleum gas, 125 cubic feet of natural gas or liquefied petroleum gas shall be deemed to equal 1 gallon of special fuel.

EXHIBIT O Committee on Taxation

Date: 04/08/03 Page 1 of 1

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

MEMORANDUM

November 25, 2002

TO:

Cox Communications of Las Vegas

FROM:

Gardner F. Gillespie

Paul A. Burkett

RE:

Proposed DBS Tax - Nexus and Characterization Issues

You have asked us to address two questions related to the proposed Nevada tax on DBS – (1) whether a DBS provider would have sufficient "nexus" with Nevada to be subject to tax there, and (2) whether the tax would be characterized as a "net income" tax from which a DBS provider may be exempt under applicable federal law.

Nexus. Under the Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution, an out-of-state retailer must have a physical presence in a state to be liable to collect sales and use taxes on sales in the state. In Quill Corp. v. North Dakota, 504 U.S. 298 (1992), the United States Supreme Court held that a mail order company that had no employees who worked or resided in a state, that owned no more than insignificant amounts of tangible property in the state, that solicited business in the state only through catalogs and flyers, advertisements in national periodicals, and telephone calls, and that delivered all of its merchandise to customers by mail or common carrier from out-of-state locations was not subject to the state's taxing jurisdiction. The company, therefore, could not be held liable to collect and pay over to the state any sales or use taxes on the merchandise the company sold to customers in the state. See id.

The Court then stated that whether this physical presence test is met "may turn on the presence in the taxing State of a small sales force, plant or office." *Id.* The Court cited with approval *Scripto, Inc. v. Carson*, 362 U.S. 207 (1960). In *Scripto, Inc. v. Carson*, the Court had held that a Georgia corporation

Date: 04/08/03 Page 1 of 2

מי באספרא ואחתולידותם יעווו

that used independent contractors to solicit orders in Florida that were accepted and processed in Georgia was required to collect and pay the Florida sales tax on these orders. 362 U.S. 207 (1960). See also Tyler Pipe Indus., Inc. v. Washington State Dep't of Rev., 483 U.S. 232 (1987) (out-of-state seller that used one in-state independent contractor to follow the Washington market and solicit orders from Washington customers was not exempt from a direct tax on wholesale transactions under the Commerce Clause). Based on these decisions, it is apparent that the use of independent contractors (such as Best Buy or Sears) in Nevada to solicit orders for DBS service that are processed out-of-sate by the DBS provider will subject the DBS provider to Nevada's taxing jurisdiction with respect to these orders. It is our understanding that both national DBS operators, Direct TV and Echostar, use such contractors to provide equipment to DBS subscribers and to sell DBS service.

Minimum contacts for net income taxes. Federal law (P.L. 86-272, codified at 15 U.S.C. §§ 381-384) prohibits states from imposing net income taxes on an out-of-state company if the company's only contacts with the taxing state involve "solicitation of orders. . . for sales of tangible property." The purpose of the statute was to relieve out-of-state retailers from having to learn the complexities of multiple states' income tax regimes where the retailers' contacts with the states were minimal. See S. Rep. No. 86-658 (1959), reprinted in 1959 U.S.C.C.A.N. 2548, 2549-50. The Supreme Court considered the scope of this exception from state income taxation in Wisconsin Dep't of Rev. v. William Wrigley, Jr., Co., 505 U.S. 214 (1992). Specifically, Wrigley analyzed what activities do and do not constitute "solicitation" under P.L. 86-272. That question should not be at issue with respect to the proposed tax on DBS.

Although we do not believe that the proposed DBS tax would qualify as a "tax on net income," the short answer to the applicability of this statute is simpler. The business activities of the DBS providers in Nevada manifestly do not solely involve soliciting orders for "sales of tangible property." DBS's independent contractors do sell tangible property in the form of antennas and related equipment, and the DBS companies do occasionally provide equipment free with an order for the service. But the primary purpose of the DBS companies is to sell a service — the right to receive electronic signals. The statute does not apply in such a situation.

Please let us know if we can answer any more questions about the legality of the proposed DBS tax.

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

DICKINSON LAWREVIEW

Published since 1897



THE DICKINSON SCHOOL OF LAW
OF THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

RIGHTS-OF-WAY REDUX:

MUNICIPAL FEES ON TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES AND CABLE OPERATORS

Gardner F. Gillespie

EXHIBIT R Committee on Taxation

Date: 04/08/03 Page

01_77_7

Volume 107

Fall 2002

Number 2

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

McGinness, Mike Senator

From: Guy Hobbs [guy@hobbsong.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2003 11:29 AM

To: mmcginness@sen.state.nv.us

Cc: bcoffin@sen.state.nv.us; jneal@sen.state.nv.us; stiffany@sen.state.nv.us;

aoconnell@sen.state.nv.us; rtownsend@sen.state.nv.us; DRhoads@sen.state.nv.us

Subject: SB 492

Chairman McGinness and members of Senate Taxation:

I am writing to you in regards to SB 492, which seeks to impose a tax on gross revenue that a direct broadcast satellite television company derives from sales to subscribers in this state.

This question of parity with regard to how cable versus satellite broadcast providers are taxed in Nevada was brought before the Governor's Task Force on Tax Policy this past year. With your permission, I would like to provide you and the other members of Senate Taxation with an excerpt from the Task Force report which addressed this situation as representative of challenges that technological advancements impose upon tax policy. The excerpt is provided as follows:

"Nevada is not alone in its susceptibility to changes in technology affecting the performance of its tax system. Examples of this include shifts away from hard asset based technologies toward those that rely less upon physical assets located specifically located within the traditional boundaries of a taxing entity. A more specific example of this trend includes the movement toward wireless versus hardwire communications, including both telecommunications and broadcast services.

Using broadcast services as an example, the shift from a technology that previously relied upon hard assets that were physically located within specific taxing jurisdictions (i.e., cable) toward a technology that more generally relies upon airspace (i.e., satellite) has created some challenges for taxing authorities. When assets are specifically located within a jurisdiction, the value of the assets can be appraised, apportioned and taxed, with the proceeds of the tax being returned to the entities in which the assets are located. When this is less the case, the application of traditional taxing methods may become less effective. In this example, both the application of property tax and franchise tax may be at issue.

More importantly, the difficulty in assessing taxes upon providers of similar services that use different technologies to provide the service can lead to serious questions of fairness in the application and impact of taxation. This, in turn, can lead to issues of uniformity and equity of taxation within similar industries. Such a situation was raised during the deliberations of the Task Force, where it was noted that providers of cable television services are assessed a five percent franchise tax upon the billed charges to customers, which is in turn passed through to their customers. Providers of satellite broadcast services, who are direct competitors to cable providers, are not assessed the franchise tax (and, thus, do not have a need to pass the cost of the assessment along to customers). As a result, pricing to customers of cable versus satellite systems can be impacted, creating concerns as to whether the tax system favors one type of provider versus another.

The Task Force did not recommend a particular remedy for the example noted above, but did express concern that continued changes in technology will inevitably lead to more and more similar situations in the future. The Task Force believes that a very high premium should be placed upon fairness and equity of taxation, and that no competitive advantage should be created for providers of similar goods and services within a similar industry. Thus, the Task Force recommends that the situation noted above be addressed by the Legislature. Further, it is recommended that the Legislature review the tax policies of the State to determine whether other potential inequities, caused by shifts in technology, exist."

The above comments, as excerpts from the Task Force report, are respectfully provided for your consideration.

EXHIBIT S Committee on Taxation

Date: 04 08 03 Page 1 of 1

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

IKE IALKOULL

CABLE FRANCHISE FEE - NOT A TAX

The FCC, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the Courts and Local franchising authorities agree that franchise fees are RENTS:

1. Federal Communications Commission Local and State Advisory Committee: Advisory Recommendation No. 21 – May 3, 2000

"The Commission should reject arguments that franchise fees are "taxes". A franchise fee is not a tax, but an expense of doing business that is essentially a form of rent." City of Dallas v. F.C.C., 118F.3d 393, 397-398 (1997).

Franchise fees are the rent cable operators pay for use of rights of ways.

2. <u>Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No.51:</u>

"cable franchise fees are costs no different than the general managers salary, marketing costs and programming costs."

3. United States Court of Appeals - Fifth Circuit - City of Dallas v. F.C.C.

"In sum, there can be no doubt that franchise fees imposed on the cable operator are part of a cable operators expense of doing business...."

4. <u>Cable Television Agreement Between City of Las Vegas and Cox Communications Las Vegas, Inc.</u>

<u>Terms</u>: Franchise – A non exclusive authorization granted to construct, operate and maintain a Cable System along public rights of ways.

<u>Conditions</u>: Franchise Fee – "(a) Payment to franchising authority as compensation for use of the public rights of ways, the Company shall pay to the franchising authority a franchise fee.

FRANCHISE FEES PAID BY CABLE TELEVISION OPERATORS ARE NOT A TAX

EXHIBIT T Committee on Taxation

Date: 04/08/03 Page 1 of 1

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Statement by Michael W. Palkovic, Senior Vice President & Chief Financial Officer, DIRECTV

Before the Senate Taxation Committee Carson City, Nevada, Tuesday, April 8, 2003

- I appear before you today to comment on SB492, a bill that seeks to impose a 5% tax on the gross revenue of direct broadcast satellite companies --- later I would like to comment on SB382, a bill that a seeks to impose a 3% sales tax on DBS and other services.
- DIRECTV, which is owned by Hughes Electronics, a subsidiary of General Motors, is the nation's leading satellite television broadcaster, offering our more than 11 million subscribers access to hundreds of digital video and audio channels.
- In a few short years, satellite TV has captured almost a quarter of the nation's subscription TV business. Today there are approximately 118,000 satellite TV subscribers in Nevada, compared to 418,000 cable TV subscribers.
- Unlike cable TV, DBS places no infrastructure burden on the state or its communities
 no wires on poles, no digging trenches for cables. Our signals come from satellites orbiting 22,000 miles above the earth.
- We are an industry, that has succeeded in its significant growth because we provide a superior service at an affordable price.
- This tax flies in the face of Federal policy, created to encourage DBS to become a
 viable competitor to the nation's entrenched cable franchises. The
 Telecommunications Act of 1996 mandated a pro-competitive climate for the budding
 DBS industry and called on the FCC to drastically reduce regulation of the TV
 industry so as to bring prices down to an affordable level.
- In the five years after cable was deregulated in 1996, according to *Consumer Reports*, cable rates rose by 44.7%, inflation by 16.5% and satellite by a mere 5%. Many believe cable rates might have soared even higher, were it not for the competition provided by satellite.
- It is no secret that the cable TV industry has been a strong proponent of imposing taxes on satellite TV, their major competitor. They use as their rationale the fact that they pay franchise fees to the cities they serve and thus should be spared the imposition of sales taxes on their services in return. This argument is specious. Franchise fees, according to the FCC and the Federal Courts, are simply rent that cable firms pay to communities for an exclusive franchise, and to compensate for the

EXHIBIT U Committee on Taxation

Date: 04/08/03 Page 1 of 2

burden they place on the community's infrastructure when they dig trenches or string wires. It is a business arrangement that they pursue aggressively, and — to quote a Federal Appeals Court Judge — "cable franchise fees are costs no different than the general manager's salary, marketing costs and programming costs." In our case, the Federal Government collects fees from us for our orbital parking slots.

- If -- in your wisdom -- you proceed to impose the gross revenue tax on the direct broadcast industry, then you must apply it to the cable TV industry since the services provided are essentially the same. Fairness and the Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution demand this. The Commerce Clause prohibits state taxes on interstate commerce that have no nexus to the taxing state, that are not fairly related to services provided by the taxing state, and that discriminate against interstate commerce.
- There are three times as many cable subscribers as satellite subscribers, so if its money you're after, why go for a slice when you can just as well go after the entire pie? This way you would get more revenue overall, and could significantly reduce the tax rate, thus impacting the beleaguered consumer less.
- In conclusion, I ask you not to impose this gross revenue tax on our industry. We provide information, education and entertainment to your citizens without placing any burden whatsoever on your infrastructure.

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.



State of Nevada DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION

SB 382

Kenny C. Guinn Governor Barbara Smith Campbell Chair, NV Tax Commission

> Charles E. Chinnock Executive Director

EXHIBIT V Committee on Taxation

Date: 04/08/03 Page 1 of 16

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

SECTION BY SECTION SUMMARY OF SB 382

(Senator Amodei and Senator Care Tax Bill)

AMUSEMENT TAX - Effective July 1, 2003 for purpose of developing regulations and January 1, 2004 for imposition of tax. Definitions: "Admission charge"; "Commission"; "Entertainment"; "Taxpayer". Section 3 - 6 Gives authority to the Department of Taxation for the administration of the tax and requires revenue to Section 7 be credited to the State's general fund. Procedures for record keeping, confidentiality of information and allowed exceptions to confidentiality. Section 8-10 Imposes an excise tax of 3% on the admission charge to any place where entertainment is provided, "on Section 11 that portion of each individual admission charge that exceeds \$10." Also provides exemptions to tax. Section 12 Requires taxes owed to State to be held in trust for State. Section 13 Requires tax collected to be remitted monthly. Provides a collection allowance of 1% if amount is remitted in the first half of the period the taxes are Section 14 due. Section 15 "Good cause" extension of 30 days. Section 16 Provides that the remedies of the State are cumulative. Section 17-19 Refund procedures when overpayment is made. Legal conditions to prevent actions to prevent or enjoin collection of tax; and requirement for claim to Section 20. be filed prior to court filing. Sections 21-22 Department and legal procedures (including time frames) for claimant to file actions for recovery. Sections 23-24 Conditions for judgements, including interest. Section 25 Recovery by Department through legal actions.

> **EXHIBIT W Committee on Taxation** Date: 04/08/03 Page 1 of 4

Penalty for falsifying records or attempting to evade or defraud State.

Section 26

Section 27

Section 28

January 1, 2004.

Establishes deminimus amount for cancellation of amount illegally determined to have been collected.

Declaration of Legislative intent that the tax must not be raised for a period of at least 10 years after

TRANSACTION TAX ON SERVICES - Effective July 1, 2003 for purpose of developing regulations and January 1, 2004 for imposition of tax.

- Section 31-37 Definitions: "Business or profession"; "Commission"; "Exemptions from the taxes imposed by this chapter"; "In this state"; "Provider of Service"; "Service" (also notes that the term "Service" does not include labor for hire or employment for a salary or commission).
- Section 38 Imposes a tax of 3% for providing a service. For personal services there is a \$50 threshold before the 3% is imposed. Personal services are defined as services purchased by an individual for his personal needs and not for business purposes.
- Section 39-40 Requires obtaining a permit from the Department of Taxation and payment of a \$5.00 permit fee. Also sets conditions for revoking a permit and reissuing a permit.
- Provides exemptions for: Any service Constitutionally prohibited by Nevada or US law from being taxed; Service provided by one member of a group of affiliated enterprises to another member of the group; a component of residential construction; child care; health care; any utility regulated by NRS 704; any service related to entertainment; advertising; the collection and disposal of garbage.
- Section 42-44 Provides for the remittance of the tax following each reporting period and details reporting procedure.
- Section 45 Provisions for deducting bad debt. Also provides for 10% penalty and graduated rate of interest.
- A provider of a service that does not exceed \$10,000 per month in sales files his reports quarterly. The Department may require different reporting times if it determines that the change is necessary to ensure payment of the tax.
- Section 47 Good cause extension of 30 days.
- Section 48-49 Department actions to insure compliance with the provisions of the service tax, including the establishment of a security deposit.
- Section 50-52 Refund procedures if tax has been overpaid.
- Section 53-58 Legal conditions to prevent actions to prevent or enjoin collection of tax; requirement for claim to be filed prior to court filing; provisions for erroneous judgments; and rate of interest.
- Section 59 Establishes \$25 as the deminimus amount for cancellation of amount illegally determined to have been collected.
- Section 60 Gives authority to the Department of Taxation for the administration of the tax and requires revenue to be credited to the State's general fund.
- Section 61 Allows Department to employ those persons necessary to administer this chapter.
- Section 62-64 Provisions for record keeping, audits and confidentiality.
- Section 65-69 Penalty and interest for failure to file reports or remit payments. Also defines legal penalties for these offenses.
- Section 70-71 Provides that remedies of the State are cumulative; and the Department may act for and on behalf of the people of the State.
- Section 72 Declaration of Legislative intent that this tax must not be increased for a period of at least 10 years.
- Section 73-78 Conforming language.



BUSINESS TAX - EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2003

- Section 80 Establishes a business license annual renewal fee and reinstatement fee of \$50.
- Section 81 Declaration of Legislative intent that this renewal fee must not be increased for a period of at least 10 years.
- Section 82 Expands the definition of "natural person" to include a sole proprietor or any partner of a business; and adds to the exemptions from payment of the tax, a natural person who cares for children in one household at a time.
- Section 83 Increases the initial business license application fee from \$25 to \$50.
- Section 84 Increases the business tax from \$25 per FTE, per quarter to \$50 per FTE, per quarter.
- Section 85 Conforming language.
- Section 177 Provides that a business license expires the last day of the month of the anniversary date of issuance, unless it is renewed on or before the date of expiration.

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE TAX

- Section 86 Declaration of Legislative intent that this tax must not be increased for a period of at least 10 years.
- Section 87-88 Doubles all alcoholic beverage taxes (hard liquor, cordials, wine and beer) effective July 1, 2003.

CIGARETTE TAX

- Section 89 Declaration of Legislative intent that these taxes must not be increased for a period of at least 10 years.
- Section 90-95 Increases the tax rate 25 cents effective July 1, 2003 and an additional 15 cents effective July 1, 2004 for a total increase when fully implemented of 40 cents.

SALES AND USE TAX

- Section 96 Mandates the registration of a business for the sales and use tax at the time the business files for the business license tax effective July 1, 2003.
- Section 97 Conforming language for fine arts exemption. Effective July 1, 2003 for purpose of developing regulations and January 1, 2004 for implementation.

SECRETARY OF STATE FEES - Effective July 1, 2003

- Section 98-128,130-136 and 166-167 and 169-171 Increases various Secretary of State fees.
- Section 129 Declaration of Legislative intent that fees collected by the Secretary of State will not be increased for a period of at least 10 years.

TRANSIENT LODGING TAX (ROOM TAX)

- Section 137-144 Increases the room tax 2% effective July 1, 2003 and provides conforming language.
- Section 172-173 Increases the room tax an additional 1% (total 3%) effective July 1, 2005

REVENUE REFORM

- Section 145 Changes the Disaster Relief Fund to the Disaster Relief Account.
- Section 146 Requires a state agency that receives more than \$1 million in revenue annually to establish a program that provides for the payment of money by credit or debit cards, or electronic funds transfers. Also requires electronic or telephonic reporting associated with the payment of funds.

REVENUE REFORM CONTD.

- Section 147 Requires that each agency identify the reason that any position has remained vacant for a period of 12 months.
- Section 148 Revises the State's expenditure cap by changing the base year to the immediately preceding biennium, and requiring the deduction of any expenditure transferred from the general fund to another fund.
- Section 149 Conforming language.
- Section 150 Provides the Disaster Relief Account is a Special Account in the Rainy Day Fund (the fund to stabilize the operation of State government).
- Section 151-159 Conforming language.
- Section 160 Increases the amount that may be accumulated in the Rainy Day Fund to 15% (from 10%), and changes the amount available for the Disaster Relief Account.
- Section 161 Gives authority to the State Controller to coordinate all debt collection efforts.
- Section 162 Creates the Emergency Assistance Account as a sub-account within the Disaster Relief Account.

GAMING TAXES - Effective July 1, 2003

- Section 163 Declaration of Legislative intent that the gross gaming tax and restricted slot fees not be increased for a period of ten years.
- Section 164 Creates a forth tier to the gross gaming tax schedule of "... gross revenue of the licensee which exceeds \$250,000 per calendar month." and establishes a tax rate of 6 and 3/4% on revenue over \$250,000.
- Section 165 Increases the restricted slot tax fee from \$61 for each slot machine to \$80, and for each machine in excess of five (but not more than 15) from \$305 plus \$106 for each machine over 5 to \$412 plus \$143 for each machine over 5.

BUSINESS SURCHARGE

Section 168 Imposes a surcharge on each employer based on required contributions to the Unemployment Insurance Fund as follows: (1) If the employer employs not more than 300 full-time employees a surcharge of 35%; (2) If the employer employs more than 300 full-time employees a surcharge of 50%. Also contains a declaration of Legislative intent that the surcharge must not be increased for a period of at least 10 years. The revenue is to be deposited to the State's general fund.

PROPERTY TAX

- Section 175 Imposes a property tax of 5 cents on each \$100 of assessed valuation effective July 1, 2004 and 10 cents on each \$100 of assessed valuation effective July 1, 2005
- Section 176 Requires the Governor to revise the Executive Budget by reducing expenditures \$25 million in the first year of the biennium and an additional \$25 million in the second year of the biennium. Requires the revised budget to be delivered to the Senate Finance and Assembly Ways and Means Committees on April 1, 2003.

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

ESTIMATE OF ADDITIONAL GENERAL FUND REVENUE GENERATED FROM PROPOSED TAX CHANGES OR ENHANCEMENTS INCLUDED IN S.B. 382 Dollar amounts represent additional revenue generated over the December 2, 2002 Economic Forum Forecast for those general fund taxes currently in statute (Amounts represent Millions of Dollars)

FY 2003-04 FY 2004-05

Description

Proposed Changes to Taxes Currently in Statute

			# 250 / OF 463 370 / Offselive Intv 1 2003
Gaming Percentage Fee Tax	\$44.850	\$46.644	Add a fourth ther that taxes monthly gross gaming revenues over \$20,000 at 0.1.5 (17.0. 10.0.)
Ouarted's Restricted Slot Tax	\$2.376	\$2.455	Increase the rate from \$61 to \$80 for machines 1-5 and from \$106 to \$143 for machines 6-15 (effective July 1, 2003).
Business Ironse Fee	\$3.938	\$4.557	Increase fee from \$25 to \$50 and require it to become an annual fee rather than one-time fee (effective July 1, 2003).
Discoss License Tax	\$90.004	\$96.732	Increase fee from \$25 to \$50 per employee per quarter (effective July 1, 2003).
DUSTINGS FIGURES	<u> </u>		Double all liquor taxes; Beer - from 9 to 18 cents per gallon; Liquor up to 14% alcohol - from 40 to 80 cents per gallon; Liquor 14% - 22%
			atochol - from 75 cent to \$1.50 per gallon; Liquor over 22% alcohol - from \$2.05 to \$4.10 (general fund portion goes from \$1.40 to \$3.45)
ionor Tax	\$20.179	\$20.715	\$20.715 (effective July 1, 2003).
			Increase tax from 35 cents per pack of 20 to 60 cents per pack of 20 on July 1, 2003 (FY 2004) and increase to 75 cents per pack of 20 on July
Zigorotio Tax	\$41.309	\$66,323	
Organization Law			
Cooratany of State Fees	\$17.188	\$17.208	(effective July 1, 200;
Sectional of Control			Impose a state room tax on the rental of transient lodging dedicated to the state general fund of 2% in FY 2004 and FY 2005 (increase to 3% in
Room Tax	\$65.616	\$68.241	FY 2006) (effective July 1, 2003).
			Impose an ad valorem tax of 5 cents per \$100 of assessed valuation dedicated to the state general fund in FY 2005 (effective July 1, 2004).
State Donnerty Tax		\$34.741	Impose 10-cent per \$100 of assessed valuation in FY 2006 (effective July 1, 2005).
Care included in			

TOTAL - Current Taxes

\$285,460 \$357,615

Proposed New Taxes

	1000 078	L	ean acceptance tax on entertainment events at a rate of 3% of that portion of the admissions charge exceeding \$10 (effective January 1, 2004).
Admissions Tax	\$10.238		Authorities tay of circumstance and a second
Services Tax	\$659.590	\$690.940	\$690.940 Tax of 3% on non-exempt services (effective January 1, 2004). Includes \$50 exemption for personal services.
Unemployment Insurance			35% surcharge on contributions for employers with 300 or less employees; 50% surcharge on contributions for employers with more than 300
Contributions Surcharge			employees (effective January 1, 2004).

TOTAL - New Taxes

\$675.828 \$724.700

TOTAL - All Taxes

\$961.287 \$1,082.314

EXHIBIT X Committee on Taxation

Date: 04/08/03 Page | of |

Fiscal Analysis Division

April 8, 2003 - 1:20 PM

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

ROOM TAX RATES FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA YEAR 2002

CITY/COUNTY	RATE
Carson City	8%
Churchill County	7%
Fallon	7%
Clark County	9% Resort 9% All Others Within 35 Miles 7% All Others Outside 35 Miles
Boulder City	9% - Over 100 Rooms 7% - Under 100 Rooms
Henderson	10% Resort 9% Commercial
City of Las Vegas	9, OR 10% 75 Rooms or Less 9, OR 11% 75 Rooms or More
Mesquite	9%
North Las Vegas	9% - Resorts 9% - Non-resort
Douglas County	10% Valley 10% Lake
ELKO COUNTY	6% County 8% Convention District 8% Jackpot
Carlin	7%
City of Elko	10%
West Wendover	10% July 2001 to November 2001 16% November 2001 to July 2002
City of Wells	9%

EXHIBIT Y Committee on Taxation

Date: 04/08/03 Page 1 of

RECAP FY 02 NRS 268.0966 AND 244.3357

EXPENSED	41,955.00 - STATE	223,723.00 - RECREATIONAL FACILITIES 20,974.00 - FIELD MAINTENANCE 14,563.00 - GRANTS & SPECIAL PROJECTS 268,572.00 - ADMINISTRATIVE	265,159.00 - ADVERTISING 3,000.00 - WILD WEST TOUR/GHOST WALK 11,888.00 - CAPITAL EXPENDITURES 95,037.00 - INCOME OVER EXPENSES	377.94 - STATE 8,678.79 - PARKSRECREATION	16,449.00 - STATE 160,000.00 - CONVENTION CENTER	103,385,00 - 100,000 39,490,00 - UNEXPENDED BALANCE	8,842,948.00 - STATE	25/28/2010 - CLAN COUNTY GENERAL, FUND 21/40/878/00 - TRANS PARADISEMINCHESTER TOWN 725-20-00 - TRANS DISTRICT / ALICH MA	(33,428.00 - TRANS DISTRICT OTHER	112,751,378,00 - LAS VEGAS CONVENTION AUTHORITY 38,250,988,00 - CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT							8,095,74 - STATE 117,682.90 - LAS VEGAS CONVENTION & VISITOR AUTH	21,801.53 - CLARK COUNTY 14,672.37 - CLARK CNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
	944,901.00			7,054.73	309,334.00		208,234,780.00					•					162,232.54	•
FY 02 REVENUE	5 7/16% - TO CCCVB	3/16% - CITY PARKS 2% - CITY RECREATIONAL 3/8% - STATE		6 5/8% - COUNTY 3/8% - STATE	6 5/8% - COUNTY 5		5% - CONVAUTH S	• •	8	<u>.</u>	, ,	1% - TRANS . 15/8% - SCHOOL DISTRICT	OUTSIDE 35 MILES 2% - CONV AUTH	، ح	2% - COUNTY 1% - TRANS	15/8% - SCHOOL DISTRICT	3/8% - STATE 8 5/8% OR 6 5/8% CCCVA	
RATE	8%			**************************************	**		9% RESORT		0% All OTHEDS				7% ALL OTHERS				9% - OVER 100 ROOMS 7% - UNDER 100 ROOMS	
CITY/COUNTY	. CARSON CITY			CHURCHILL COUNTY	FALLON		CLARK COUNTY										BOULDER CITY	



EXPENSED	156,847.71 - STATE 1.510,454.15 - LV CONV & VISITORS AUTHORITY 5% 429,627.31 - LV CONV & VISITORS AUTHORITY 4% 416,862.34 - CLARK COUNTY 832,610.95 - HENDERSON CONVENTION CENTER 677,943.80 - CLARK CNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT	817,928.25 - STATE 8.174.173.17 - LV CONV. & VISTORS AUTHORITY 1,712,888.82 - LAS VEGAS GENERAL GOVERNMENT 1,647,803.69 - CLARK COLUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLAN 1,647,803.60 - CLARK COLUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT 1,029,877.85 - CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT 1,1294,557.33 - FREMONT STREET EXPERIENCE		78,015.07 - STATE 130,025.11 - CLRK CO. SCHOOL DIST-CAPITAL PROJECTS 832,780.14 - LV CONV. & VISITORS AUTH 61,102.00 - CITY RETEN: PARKS, MUSEUM, FAIR GRNDS 387,084.70 - CITY EC DEV. REC, SPECIAL EVENTS 208,040.20 - CLARK CNTY SCHOOL BOND 208,040.20 - CLRK CO TRANSIENT LDGING/TRANSPORTATION	30,007.42 - STATE 362,606.15 - LV CONV. & VISITORS AUTH 113,225.42 - CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS 79,305.26 - CLARK COUNTY 129,317.56 - CLARK CO SCHOOL DISTRICT	
•	4,024,166.68	16,024,811.61		1,875,061,42	714,461.81	
	3/8% - STATE \$ \$ 5% - LVCVA (RESORT) 4% - LVCVA(COMM-HOTEL) 1% - CLARK COUNTY 1 5/8% - CLK CNTY SCHOOL DIST 2% - HENDERSON CONV CENTER	3/8% - STATE 4% - CONV AUTH 2% - CINT 1% - TRANSPORTATION 1 5/8% - CLARK CO. SCHOOL DIST 1% - FSE-ON FREMONT ST	3/8% - STATE 5% - CONV. AUTH. 1% - CITY 1% - TRANSPORTATION 15/8% - CLK CNPT SCHOOL DIST. 2% - FSE-ON FREMONT ST	4% - LV CONV AUTH 2% - CITY GEN FUND 3/8% - STATE 3/8% - CL CO SCHOOL-CAPITAL PROJECTS 1% - CHTY SCHOOL BOND 1% - TRANSPORTATION	5% - CONVENTION AUTHORITY 1% - CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS 1% - CLARK COUNTY 3/8% - STATE 1 5/8% - CLARK CO SCHOOL DIST	4% - CONVENTION AUTHORITY 2% - CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS 1% - CLARK COUNTY 3/6% - STATE 1 5/8% - CLARK CO SCHOOL DIST
RATE	10% RESORT 9% COMMERCIAL	9, OR 10%-75 ROOMS OR LESS	9, OR 11%-75 ROOMS OR MORE	% 8	9% - RESORTS	9% - NON-RESORT
CITY/COUNTY	HENDERSON	CITY LAS VEGAS		MESQUITE	NORTH LAS VEGAS	

EXPENSED	259,692.00 - STATE 2,384,344.00 - LIBRARYPARKSRECREATION 3,76,271.00 - SENIOR SERVICE 3,46,896.00 - CHAMBERSVITIORS AUTHORITY	639,614,UL - DEBI SERVICE BUNDS 0.00 - AIRPORT	5,000,00 - ELKO CO. REC. BOARD 2,331.42 - LAMOILE GROVE 2,215.85 - MOUNTAIN CITY	1,920.35 - UNEXPENDED BALANCE 38.38.56 - JACKPOT TOURISM & PROMOTION 401, 499.54 - JACKPOT RECREATION FUND 461,685.03 - JACKPOT TOURISM PROMOTION 41,221.89 - ELKO FAIR & REC. BOARD	1,627,24 - STATE 25,196,21 - PARK MAINTENANCE 2,701,00 - ELKO CNTY REC BOARD	50,590.13 - STATE 320,404.13 - ELKO CITY/CNTY CIVIC AUDITORIUM 304,240.39 - RECREATION - PARK IMP 250,000.00 - INTERGOVERNMENTAL - SOUTHFORK PROJECT 20,000.00 - INTERGOVERNMENTAL - ELKO CNTY REC BOARD 67,453.50 - INTERGOVERNMENTAL - ELKO CNTY REC BOARD 16,863.38 - ELKO CNTY FAR BOARD 16,863.38 - ELKO CNTY SNOWBOWL 118,624.00 - GENERAL GOVERNMENT	52.202.07 - SPECIAL EVENT SERV. 236.087.26 - SCVA MARKETING CAMPAIGN 2.4.90.00 - GOLF COURSE IMP. 21,626.99 - SWIMMING POOL IMP. 94,906.22 - HUMBOLDT AREA REC. PROJ. 45,943.83 - SHERMAN STATION IMP. 84,315.88 - ELKO COUNTY REC. BOARD 33,726.75 - WESTERN FOLKLIFE CENTER 30,502.07 - FLY ELKO PROMOTION (400,809.56) - UNEXPENDED BALANCE
NUE	\$ 7,026,807.00		\$ 11,476.62	\$ 485,713.40	\$ 29,524,45	\$ 1,349,070.04	
FY 02 REVENUE	5% - COUNTY 3 5/8% - PROMO 1% - ROADS 3/8% - STATE	7% - COUNTY 1% - TDVA 1% - TRANSPORTATION 3/8% - STATE 5/8% - PROMO	5.58% - COUNTY 38% - STATE 5.58% - COUNTY 38% - STATE	7 5/8% - COUNTY 3/8% - STATE	8% - CITY 5/8% - COUNTY 3/8% - STATE	4% - CITY 2 3/8% - CONV CNTR 3/8% - STATE 1/2% - FAR BOARD 1/4% - FOLKLIFE 1/8% - SNOWBALL 1 3/4% - ECVA-MARKETING 5/8% - REC BOARD	
RATE	10% - VALLEY	10% - LAKE	6% ELKO CO. 8% CONV DISTRICT	8% JACKPOT	7%	10.00%	
CITY/COUNTY	DOUGLAS		ELKO COUNTY & S.		CARLIN	CITY OF ELKO	

EXPENSED	41,483.38 - STATE 1,337,023.80 - W WENDOVER RECREATION DIST 69,138.98 - ELKO CMTY RECREATION BOARD 67 041 44. WEST WENDOVER PROJUCTIONS & TOURISM		5,468.18 - STATE 116,854.50 - CITY RECREATION FUND 9,113.63 - CITY RECREATION BOARD	1.09 - STATE 1.80 - ADVERTISING 67.84 - GOLDFIELD PARK 67.84 - SILVER PEAK PARK 67.84 - FISH LAKE VALLEY PARK 67.85 - ESMERALDA CO HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND 14.28 - GOLDFIELD CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	2,931.14 - STATE 22,500.00 - RECREATION FUND 2,300.00 - TOURISM FUND 34,799.59 - UNEXPENDED BALANCE	34.961.00 - STATE 34.267.00 - GENERAL GOVERNMENT 15.000.00 - CHAMBER OF COMMERCE 16.032.00 - LOCAL TOURISM, CULTURAL & RECREATION 76,174.00 - TRNS. TO CO LT DEBT (CVRD EVENT CNTR)	5,909,50 - STATE 98,960.00 - SPECIAL PROJECTS 23,200.00 - ADVERTISING & SUPPLIES	3,156.42 - STATE 1,002.93 - LOCAL BOARD COSTS 16,000.00 - TOURISM 90,384.19 - UNEXPENDED BALANCE
	1,515,577.61		131,236.31	288.54	62,530.73	822,434.00	128,089.50	110,513.54
FY 02 REVENUE	9% - W WENDOVER RECREATION DI \$ 38% - STATE 58% - ELKO CO REC BOARD	14% - WEST WENDOVER RECREATION 1% - PROMOTION/TOURSIM FUND 3/8% - STATE 5/8% - ELKO CO REC BOARD	8% - CITY	8 5/8% - COUNTY \$	\$ 38% - STATE 5/8% - TOURISM 7% - RECREATION FUND	958% - COUNTY \$ 38% - STATE	7 5/8% - COUNTY \$ 3/8% - STATE	4 5/8% - COUNTY \$ 3/8% - STATE
RATE	10% 7/1/0/1 to 11/0//0/ 16% 11/5/0/1 to 06/31/02	•	% o	7%	8% %	10%	** **	2%
YTWOOJYYTO .	WEST WENDOVER		CITY OF WELLS	ESMERALDA	EUREKA COUNTY	HOMEOUR COURT	LANDER COUNTY	LINCOLN COUNTY

EXPENSED	1,834.60 - STATE	2,383.18 - UNEXPENDED BALANCE	•	8,809,36 - STATE 309,60 - PACT (INDUSTRIAL INSURANCE)	718,70 - SUPPLIES 125 nga 47 - ROOM TAX GRANTS AWARDED	(6,049,60) - UNEXPENDED BALANCE FROM PRIOR YEARS		5,713.4 - STATE	90,922, 4 - PAPK AND RECKEA HUN 44,785,93 - TOURISM FAIR & RECKEATION		29,071.86 - STATE	45,184,67 - COUNTY	51,744,79 - BEATTY	710.62 - ROUND MOUNTAIN	27,090.04 - AMARGOSA			98,273,68 - PARRUMP TOURISM 08,023,73 - DAMPHAD ADM TOLIDISM	24,134,18 - TONOPAH TOWN	139,787.65 - TONOPAH CONV CENTER	ONLY OF MINING TATABLE CO.		NONE TO REPORT IN FY 02			4,548.95 - STATE 27,318.68 - GENERAL GOVERNMENT	41,125.68 - RECREATION 3,000,00 - CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	39,246.69 - TOURISM
	20,082.52			126,661.53				141,423.14			684,470.50					•					•		20'09			115,240.00		: 1
FY 02 REVENUE	15		•	,		•	* 1				•	,	•			•		·			•		:			69		•
	5 5/8% - CITY 3/8% - STATE		VIIII CO Register			.*		2/8% - ROOM LAX		. !	₹	S/8% - S!A!E	, ≿	•	Sass COUNTY	∙₹	1,	98% - COUNTY 8% - CITY	8	3/8% STATE 8 5/8% - CITY	ΣΩ	3/8% STATE . 5/8% - CITY	. 0% CITY	0% COUNTY		9 1/8% COUNTY 3/8% STATE		
ļ		٠	•	٠			(2									•			•								
RATE	%9		76			•	TOTAL MOT	9% RV PARKS		è	g D		% 6			. %6			7%		1%		%0		,	9.50%	f	
CITY/COUNTY	CALIENTE		LYON COUNTY				MINEDALCOLINIX			X EN EQUIPMENT						•				-			GABBS			PERSHING COUNTY		

	JITY CENTER SRVICE ER PROJECT (INCLDES NBS)						
EXPENSED	993,445.00 - STATE 1944,059.00 - CITY OF RENO PARKS & REC. 989,646.00 - RENO RAILROAD 377,099.00 - WASHOE COUNTY 194,794.00 - SPARKS CITY CENTER PROJECT 1,444,758.00 - RECON FEDERALOFEMENT FROM CITY CENTER 25,087,316.00 - RSCVA FOR OPERAMAINT) DEBT SERVICE 1,227,021,00 - RENO DOWNTOWN EVENTS CENTER PROJECT (INCLDES NBS)					18,669,00 - STATE 37,771.00 - GENERAL GOVERNMENT 385,970.00 - CULTURE AND RECREATION 59,596.00 - CAPITAL OUTLAY 141,357,00 - UNEXPENDED FUND BALANCE	2,535.88 - STATE
	32,278,138.00					643,363.00	52,074.84
FY 02 REVENUE	SPARKS 6 5/8% - RSCVA 3/8% - STATE 1% - BOWING STADIUM 2% - CONVENTION CNTR 1% - CITY CNTR PROJECT RENO 6 5/8% - RSCVA	1% - STATE 1% - SOWLING STADIUM 1% - CITY CNTR PROJECT 2% - CONVENTION CNTR 1% - RENO PARKS & REC	호롱 *	UNICORP 1% - WASHOE COUNTY 3/8% - STATE 6.5/8% - RSCVA	1% - CITY CNTR PROJECT 2% - CONVENTION CENTER 1% - BOWLING STADIUM	7 5/8% - COUNTY 3/8% - STATE	7 5/8% - COUNTY
RATE	11%		13.50%	12%		%	%8
CITYICOUNTY	WASHOE COLINTY					WHITE PINE ELY	STOREY

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

January 24, 2003

FROM:

Mandy Rafool

National Conference of State Legislatures

RE:

State lodging taxes

The following table contains state lodging tax rates. Please keep in mind that these taxes are often levied in addition to sales taxes and local taxes.

Specific statewide	axes on lodging, by state
Alabama	4.0 %
Arizona	5.5
Arkansas	2.0
Connecticut	12.0
Delaware	8.0
DC	14.55
Hawaii	7.25
Idaho	2.0
Illinois	6.0
Maine	7.0
Massachusetts	5.7
Montana	4.0
Nebraska	1.0
New Hampshire	8.0
Oklahoma	0.1
Rhode Island	6.0
South Dakota	1.0
Texas	6.0
Vermont	9.0

Source, Commerce Clearing House, 2002

I hope this information helps. Feel free to call me at 303/364-7700 if you have any questions.

EXHIBIT Z Committee on Taxation 1<u>03</u> Page <u>i</u> of <u>8</u>

•				ARCOLL TANCE AND THE	- STXFY	1998		
		Cuttoring	SIAI	STATE USES FOR LOCAL	TOCAL	LOCAL	TOCAL USE OF	COMBINED STATE AND LOCAL
STATE/ IURISDICTION	STATE SALES TAX	STATE LODGING	STATE	SPECIFIC LODGING TAXES	GENERAL SALES TAX	TAX	REVENUES	CITIES
	707	TAX 4*/*	LODGING 4%	25% to tourism fund	up to 4%	up to 5%	majority goes to	Mobile State: 4%
Alabama	2,4	;		75% to general fund			offices	Local sales: 1%
							:	Local lodging: 5% Total: 10%
* Lodging tax is in lieu of sales tax	seu of sales tax.		1	2/2	up to 6%	up to 9%	local discretion	Anchorage
Alaska *	none	none	מסטו	7/11				Local lodging: 8% Total: 8%
11 4 4	* * It	100						i.
Arizona	5%	5.5%*	5.5%	general fund	up to 2.5%	up to 4.3%	local discretion	Froctile State: 5.5%
								Local sales: .825% (includes 0.25%
							•	for stadium district)
								Total: 10.625%
* Lodoing tax is in lieu of sales tax.	lieu of sales tax.							1 (5.1)
A Jen 200	4625%	2%	6.625%	state tourism promotion	up to 4%	up to 3%	local tourism	Lattle Kock
AIKAIISAS		<u>.</u>		•			promotton	State: 0.02574 Local sales: 1.5%
								Local lodging: 2%
								Total: 10.125%
California*	%9	none	None	n/2	up to 2.5%	no specified	local discretion	Los Angeles
						maximum		Total: 14%
	Control and action of	jos						
Colorado	All accommodation taxes are rocal option.	none	3%	n/2	%5 o1 dn	no specified	local discretion	Denver
			•			maxamum		Local lodeing: 8% (3% goes to
								retire convention center bonds)
								Special districts: 0.8%
			·.	•				Total: 11.8%
Connecticut	%9	12%*	12%	25% to regional tourism	попе	none	ח/ם	Hartford State: 13%
				offices				No local taxes
								Total: 12%
* Lodeing tax is in lieu of sales tax.	lien of sales tax.							
Delaware	none	8%	8%	1% to specific counties for	none	none	n/a	Wilmington State: 8%
				toundampronound				No local taxes
								Total: 8%
District of	5.75%	13%* plus	13% plus \$1.50	convention center	same as state	same as state	same as state	Washington, D.C.
Columbia		\$1.50 per day	per day					Lodging surcharge: \$1.50/day Total: 130, 4 \$3 \$0 / day
								LUIAL LUYE CANOUT CAT
* Lodging tax is in lieu of sales tax.	lieu of sales tax.							

			CTA.	THE AND LOCAL ACCOMMODATION TAXES - 1998	DDATION TAXES	- 1998		TO TOWN STATE OF THE AND LOCAL
STATE/	STATE SALES TAX	SPECIFIC STATE LODGING	COMBINED STATE TAXES ON	STATE USES FOR SPECIFIC LODGING TAXES	CENERAL SALES TAX	LOCAL LODGING TAX	LOCAL USE OF LODGING REVENUES	COMBINED STATE AND ECCU LODGING TAXES IN SELECT CITIES
- Constant		TAX	LODGING		15% to 15%	up to 6%	tourism and	Miami
Florida	%9	none	% 9	n/a	1 to 1 to 1	4	convention development	State: 6% Local lodging: 6% (3% tourism
								development and 3/8 convences:
								Indigent care tax: 0.5%
-			-					Total: 12.5%
	49%	1000	4%	n/a	up to 2%	up to 8%	local discretion	Atlanta Sper 4%
Georgia	-		· -					Local sales: 1%
								Transit district: 1%
		·						Local lodging: 8% Total: 14%
Usum	4.16%	7.25%	11.41%	for convention center fund,	none	none	יו/ש	Honoluku Seese 1141%
-				counties and general fund				No local taxes
							-	Total: 11.41%
Idebo	5%	2%	7%	tourism development	up to 2% (resorts	up to 2%	tourism	Boise.
					only)		promotion	Local lodging: 2%
								Auditorium district: 2%
								Total: 11%
Illinois	6.25%	*%9	6.2%	tourism promotion	up to 2% plus	up to 5% (Chicago-up to	tounsm promotion (non-	Chicago State: 6.2%
					THISH SALS LANG	8%)	home-rule	Local sales: 1.1%
							communities)	Metro Pier & Expo: 2.5%
								Chicago occupancy: 370 Sending nor: 2.1%
								Total: 14.9%
* Lodeing tax is in lieu of sales tax.	n of sales tax.							
Indiana	8%	none	5%	n/a	none	up to 6%	local discretion	Indianapolis State: 5%
								Local lodging: 5%
					10/	76L 04 cm	local discretion	Des Moines
Iowa	2%	none	2%	n/a	e/r on dn	2		State: 5%
								No local sales tax
								Local lodging: 7%
					700	709 04 011	Hacing	Wichira
Kansas	4.9%	none	4.5%	.u/a	8 7 03 da		nromotion	State: 4.9%
	-							Local sales tax: 1%
								Local lodging: 6%
								10tal: 11.9%
Kentucky	%9	none	% 9	r/u	none	up to 5%	tourism	Louisville Show 694
•					_		promonon and	No local sales tax
		•				. • .	centers	Local lodging: 5%
-								Total: 11%

	COMBINED STATE AND LOCAL LODGING TAXES IN SELECT CITIES	New Orleans State: 2% Local sales: 3% Stadium Authority: 4% Exhibition Hall: 2% Total: 11% plus daily surcharge		Portland State: 7% No local taxes Total: 7%		Baltimore State: 5% Local lodging: 7% Total: 12%	Boston State: 5.7% Local lodging: 4% Total: 9.7%		Detroit	State: 6%	Local lodging assessment: 2% Stadium tax: 1% Convention facility tax: 6%*	Total: 15% Towns Orlhand and Marrowsh countries rates range from 1 5% to 3% facilities with lass than 81 norms are eventual.	Minnespolis	State: 6.5%	Local sales tax: 0.5% Entertainment tax: 3%	Local lodging: 2% Total: 12%		Jackson	Local lodging: 1% plus \$0.75/	night Total: 8% plus \$0.75/night	St. Louis State 4 225%	Convention/sports: 3.5% Local sales tax: 2.625%	Local lodging: 3.75% Total: 14.1%
	LOCAL USE OF LODGING REVENUES	local discretion	in the facility.	n/a		general fund	local discretion		tourism	promotion		m 1 5% to 3%; facili	touries.	promotion	-	· -		tourism			construction,	operation of convention and	tounism facilities
- 1998	LODGING TAX TAX	up to 5.25%*	e number of rooms	none		700	up to 8%	up to 4%		un to 5%	(additional	in Detroit)	transfer contract	*/0) 04 01.					up to 3%			%5'5 at dn	
ODATION TAXES	IOCAL GENERAL SALES TAX	%5 o) dn	er night according to th	none		none	none		4000		· .	bland and Maromb co.	,ot of au		,	•		none			up to 3.75%		
STATE AND LOCAL ACCOMMODATION TAXES	STATE USES FOR SPECIFIC LODGING TAXES	4% state sales tax rate includes a .03% levy by the Louisiana Tourism Promotional District	The rate varies from \$0.50 to \$2 per right according to the number of rooms in the facility	general fund		n/a	35% to tourism fund for local tourism councils, international tourism and the state convention center		9/0	# / H						n 50 rooms.	n/a			n/a			
	COMBINED STATE TAXES ON	4% (2% on lodging in Orleans and Jefferson parishes)	* The New Orleans Exhibition Hall Authority imposes an additional surcharge.	7%		5%	5.7%		76 9	Š			705 7	%5.0			acilities with more th	7%	_		4.225%		
	SPECIFIC STATE LODGING	none		7%*		попс	5.7%*		9202			20 to 9% to 60	- 2016				* The 2% transient lodging tax in Minneapolis applies to facilities with more than	none			none		
	STATE SALES TAX	4%		5.5%		2%	5%	u of sales tax.	707	2		my Detroit rate y	* Convention facility tax (Detroit, rate varies from 3% to 6% according to room				dging tax in Minne	7%			4.225%		
-	STATE/ JURISDICTION	Louisiana	* The New Orleans	Maine	* Lodeing tax is in lieu of sales tax.	Maryland	Massachusetts	* Lodging tax is in lieu of sales tax.	Michigan	Micingail		# Conversed to Side	Missississ				* The 2% transient lo	Mississippi			Missouri		

			STA	TE AND LOCAL ACCOMMODATION LAXES	ODALION IAM	TOCAL	LOCAL USE OF	COMBINED STATE AND LOCAL
STATE/ JURISDICTION	SALES TAX	SPECIFIC STATE LODGING	COMBINED STATE TAXES ON	STATE USES FUR SPECIFIC LODGING TAXES	GENERAL SALES TAX	LODGING	LODGING REVENUES	LODGING TAXES IN SELECT CITIES
	none	4%	4%	lodging tax revenues divided among the following: State Parks, Department of Revenue, University System, Historical Society and the Department of Commerce	none	up to 3% in designated resort communities (5 qualify)*	local discretion	Bozeman State: 4% No local taxes Total: 4%
	minister are West	Vellowstone Whit	+ 77 C Whitefish Virginia City, S	ł 🍱				
5	%5	1%	% 9		up to 1.5%	up to 4%	visitor promotion and visitor attractions	Omana State: 6% Local sales: 1%
						-		Local lodging: 4% Total: 11%
	6.5%, 6.75% or 7%**	none	none	n/a	up to 0.5%	up to 6%	local discretion	Las Vegas Local lodging: 6% Other local tax: 2% Total: 8%
unodation	* All accommodation taxes are local option.	ption.						
s tax varie	** State sales tax varies by county.					-	2/2	Manchester
New Hampshire	none	% %	٧, ₂	general tund	21701			State: 8% No local taxes Total: 8%
	%9	none	*%9	n/a	none	up to 6% (9%	tourism	Atlantic City
						and 8% in Cape May)	(Cape May County only)	Local luxury tax: 9% Total: 13%
bined wit	h the luxury tax, t	the state rate is limi	ited to 4% for a com	* When combined with the luxury tax, the state rate is limited to 4% for a combined maximum rate of 13%.				
New Mexico	%5	none	%5	n/a	up to 1.9375%	%5 or dn	toursm promotion	Santa Fe State: 5% Local sales: 1.25% Local lodging: 4% Total: 10.25%
	4%	nonc	4%	n/a	up to 4.25%	up to 7%	local discretion	New York State: 4% Local sales: 4.25%
								Local lodging: 5% plus \$2/day Total: 13.25% plus \$2/day
* New York City impo	oses a lodging sun	charge of \$2/day c	* New York City imposes a lodging surcharge of \$2/day on rooms that cost me	ore than \$40.	up to 2.5%	up to 6%	local discretion	Charlotte
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					•		State: 4% Local sales: 2.5% Local lodging: 6% Total: 12.5%
North Dakota	%5	none	%5	n/a	up to 1.75%	up to 3%	local discretion	Fargo State: 5% Local sales: 1% Local lodging: 3%
							:	Total: 9%

14 JO I GINV THE VIOLENCE CONT.	COMBINED STATE AND LOCAL LODGING TAXES IN SELECT CITIES	Cleveland	State: 5% Local sales: 2%	Local lodging: 6% Cultural facility: 1.5%	Total: 14.5%	Tulsa State: 4.6%	Local sales: 3.5%	Local lodging: 5% Total: 13.1%	Portland	State: none Local lodging: 9%	Total: 9%	Philadelphia	State: 6%	Local sates: 17e Local lodging: 6%	San luan	State: 9% or 11%	Total: 9% or 11%	Providence State: 12%	No local taxes Total: 12%	Charleston	Local sales: 1%	Total: 8%	Sioux Falls	State: 5%	Local sales: 2%	Visitor assessment: 1%	I otal: 9%	Nashvile State: 6%	. Local sales: 2.25%	Local lodging: 4% Total: 12,25%	Dallas Carta 69	Jocal sales: n/a	Local lodging: 9%	1,0 tal; 1,5 %	
	LOCAL USE OF LODGING REVENUES	1/3 to 1/2 is	earmarked in some areas to local	convention	Omeans	usually tourism promotion or	economic	development	usually some is	allocated to travel and tourism		tourismand	convention	centers	0/3			n/a		local discretion			tourism purposes	4				general tund	-		tounsm	promotion,	centers, sports	stadiums and historic	preservation
1998,	20 <u>5</u>	un to 10%				up to 5%		,	up to 9.5%			10 50%	и; % 9)	Philadelphia)		1		none		up to 3%			up to 1%		,	-		up to 5%			up to 9%**				
DATION TAXES	GENERAL SALES TAX	700	6/7 oi du			up to 4%			none			407 (701.13, 4.3, 1.3)	1% (Financiping and Allegehry	County only)		none		None		up to 1%			un to 2%					up to 2.75%	-		up to 2% (includes	special districts)			
TE AND LOCAL ACCOMMODATION TAXES - 1998.	STATE BSES FOR SPECIFIC LODGING TAXES		n/a			state's tounism advertising	campaign		n/a				n/2					47% of room taxes go to six	regional tounism organizations	n/a		-	touriem promotion fund	ממשין והסקסווסול וופקחסו		•		u/a			.005 percent to the Texas	Department of Commerce for	marketing state		
CTA'T	COMBINED STATE	LODGING				4.6%	,		9000				%9			9% or 11%		12%		7%		· .	C07	a/.C .	,			%9			%9				
	STATE	TAX	none			0.1%			9000	none		ion.	none			9% or 11% if	rotel nas	2%		7**/			/01	1%				nonc			*%9				
	STATE SALES TAX	,	2%			4.5%	·············	·		non		taxes are local opt	%9			none		7%.		5%			eu of sales tax.	4%				%9			6.25%				
	STATE/	JUMSDICTION	Ohio		•	Oklahoma	· •			Oregon *		*All accommodation taxes are local option.	Pennsylvania			Puerto Rico		Rhode Island	<u>.</u>	South Carolina			* Lodging tax is in lieu of sales tax.	South Dakota				Tennessee			Texas				

TWO I DIES CALLED AND I OUNT	COMBINED SIAIE AND LOCAL LODGING TAXES IN SELECT CITIES	;	Salt Lake City State: 4.75% Municipal controls: 1%	Local sales: 1.25%	Local lodging: 3.5%*** Total: 10.5%		Burlington	Jocal lodging: 1% Total: 10%		Nortolk Spite: 3.5%	Local sales: 1%	Local lodging: 6% Total: 10.5%	Seattle	Verter 6.5%	Local lodging: 7% Total: 15.6%	Charleston	State: 6%	Local lodging: 3% Total: 9%			Milwaukee	State: 5%	Local sales: 0.5%	Exposition district: 1%	Total: 14.6%	Cheyenne Cere 4%	Local sales: 2%	Local lodging: 2% Total: 8%
	LOCAL USE OF LODGING REVENUES		up to 1/3 for infrastructure, the	promotion		on.	general fund			general fund			tourism	promotion,	visitors centers	lodging revenue	goes to the	counties where 50% is dedicated	to convention and	and 50% to parks	70% for tourism	promotion	-			90% for tourism	nonomord	
- 1998	LOCAL LODGING TAX		up to 4%**			it resident populati	1% (only a few	locatues qualify)		up to 6%		-	up to 7%	-		up to 3%	4				up to 8%					up to 4%		
DATION TAXES -	LOCAL GENERAL SALES TAX		5%* special	distrácts)		ls or exceeds permanen	1% (not all	localities qualify)		up to 1%			up to 21%	(includes	transportation district)	9000		-			up to 0.6%	(includes stadium	tax of 0.1% in 5	countres		up to 2%		
TE AND LOCAL ACCOMMODATION TAXES	STATE USES FOR SPECIFIC LODGING TAXES			•		where transient room capacity equals or exceeds permanent resident population.	general fund			s/u			u/a				· * /11				п/а					n/a		
STATE	COMBINED STATE TAXES ON LODGING	D (Houston/Harris	4.75% n/a			ales in communities wh	Pacifices 1ax of 0.5% of 9%			3.5			6.5%	-		767	•				5%					4%		
	SPECIFIC STATE LODGING TAX	selve for the selection	none			to 1% imposed on a four communities	al and Convention			none			none				none		•		none					none	_	
	STATE SALES TAX	of sales tax.	4.75%			nmunity tax of up room tax levied ir	Recreation, Cultura		of sales tax.	3.5			%5%			ì	B49				%5			,		4%		
	STATE/ JURISDICTION	* Lodging tax is in lieu of sales tax.	** Local lodging tax is Utah			* Includes resort community tax of up to 1% imposed on sales in communities ** Includes municipal room tax levied in four communities.	*** Includes Tourism, Recreation, Cultural and Convention Facilities 1ax of U.576 on Jougning.		* Lodmon tay is in lieu of sales tax	Virginia			Washington	9			West Virginia			-	Wisconsin	Harris Market		• .		Wyoming		

Room Tax Rates for Various Cities in the United States

State	e City電子はおかれ Lite Supplies Applies	Room Tax	- State	ECITY OF THE PERSON	RoomTax
Arizona	Phoenix	12.07% 12.07% 13.15%	Montana	Helena Big Sky	100 mg/2 /
California	Los Angeles Sacramento San Francisco San Jose		Nevada	Las Vegas Reno	
Colorado	Denver	- 161/1 5 2/152/	New York	New York	1825%
Florida	Ft. Lauderdale Miami Orlando	10 10 11 PS 10 12 15 00 PS 10 17 12 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	North Carolina	Charlotte	
Georgia	Atlanta		Ohio	Cleveland Cincinatti	2: 5 098
Hawaii	Honolulu	1222/6	Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	10.29%
Idaho	Boise		Oregon	Portland	
Illinois	Chicago ·	24,900%, 377	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	
Indiana	Indianapolis		South Carolina	Charleston	
Louisiana	New Orleans	postoancytax postoancytax	Tennessee	Nashville	112.25%
Kentucky	Louisville	10.95%	Texas	Dallas Houston	13/23/35 17/23 - 3
Maryland	Baltimore		Utah	Salt Lake City	
Massachusetts	Boston	a-12/45%	Virginia	Arlington	15.975% 15.975%
Minnesota	Minneapolis		Washington	Seattle	15,60%
Missouri	Kansas City St. Louis	13.225 4.34.866	Wisconsin	Milwaukee	

Compiled by Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau Telephone survey of Major Hotels, September 10, 2002

DISCLAIMER

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or library@lcb.state.nv.us.

Nevada State Controller's Office Proposed Amendment to SB382

Proposed Amendment to SB 382, Section 161 By The State Controller's Office

Section 161. Chapter 353C of NRS is hereby amended to read as follows:

- 1. Except as otherwise provided in this section, upon the determination that a debt owed by a person to an agency or the State of Nevada is in default, the State Controller shall notify each agency which has issued a license, permit or registration to the person.
- 2. If an agency receives a notice from the State Controller pursuant to subsection 1 that a person to whom the agency has issued a license, permit or registration is in default on a debt owed to an agency or the State of Nevada, the agency to whom the debt is owed shall send a written notice to that person advising him that his license, permit or registration is subject to suspension, cancellation or refusal to renew.

The notice must include:

- (a) The reason for the suspension, cancellation or refusal to renew the license, permit or registration;
- (b) The text of this section; and
- (c) Any other information that the agency deems necessary.
- 3. After sending the written notice required pursuant to subsection 2, the agency which has issued a license, permit or registration to the person may suspend, cancel or refuse to renew the license, permit or registration issued to the person. This agency shall reinstate such a license, permit or registration if the agency receives:
- (a) A notice from the State Controller that the person has:
 - (1) Paid the debt, including all penalties, interest, costs and fees, if any;
 - (2) Entered into an agreement for the payment of the debt on an installment basis pursuant to NRS 353C.130; or
 - (3) Obtained a discharge in bankruptcy of the debt; and

- (b) Payment of any fee specifically prescribed by statute or regulation for the reinstatement of the license, permit or registration.
- 4. For the purposes of this section, "agency" does not include the Department of Taxation, Nevada Gaming Commission or State Gaming Control Board.
- 5. As used in this section, "license, permit or registration" includes, without limitation:
- (a) A professional, occupational or recreational license, permit, certificate or registration;
- (b) A driver's license; and
- (c) A registration for a vehicle or boat.
- 6. The State Controller shall adopt regulations establishing a fee of \$25 that an agency shall charge a person for each check or draft returned to the agency because the person had insufficient money or credit with the drawee to pay the check or draft, or because the person stopped payment on the check or draft.
- 7. Notwithstanding any specific statute or regulation to the contrary, an agency may only charge and collect a fee for a check or draft returned to the agency because the person has insufficient money or credit, or because the person stopped payment on the check or draft, in accordance with the regulations adopted by the State Controller pursuant to this section.
- 8. For the purposes of this section, "agency" does not include the Department of Taxation, Nevada Gaming Commission or State Gaming Control Board.

NRS 353C.110 is hereby amended to read as follows: 353C.110 The [Director of the Department of Administration and the Attorney General may jointly] State Controller shall adopt such regulations as the State Controller determines are necessary to carry out the provisions of this chapter.

NRS 353C.120 is hereby amended to read as follows:

353C.120 1. Each agency shall submit to the State Controller periodic reports of the debts owed to the agency. The State Controller shall [maintain]:

(a) Maintain the reports to the extent that resources are available. The Director of the Department of Administration and the Attorney General shall jointly prescribe; and

(b) Prescribe the time, form and manner of the reports.

- 2. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 3 and to the extent that the information on the reports is declared to be confidential by a specific statute of this state or federal law, the State Controller shall make the reports available for public inspection and may, without charge, make available for access on the Internet or its successor, if any, the information contained in the reports.
- 3. If a report or a portion of a report pertains to a debt that is proven to be owed and not in dispute, the State Controller may, except to the extent that the report or portion thereof is declared to be confidential by federal law:
 - (a) Make the report or portion thereof available for public inspection; and
 - (b) Without charge, make the report or portion thereof available for access on the Internet or its successor, if any. The provisions of this subsection apply regardless of whether the report in question or portion thereof is otherwise declared to be confidential by a specific statute of this state.

NRS 353C.130 is hereby amended to read as follows:

- 353C.130 [An] 1. The State Controller or an agency may enter into an agreement with a debtor which provides for the payment of a debt owed by the debtor to the State Controller or the agency on an installment basis over a 12-month or lesser period.
- 2. Upon good cause shown by the debtor, the State Controller or the agency may extend the period during which installment payments will be made for more than a 12-month period.

NRS 353C.135 is hereby amended to read as follows: 353C.135 1. Except as otherwise provided by specific statute [,] and subsection 2, a person who owes a debt [of more than \$200] pursuant to this chapter shall, in addition to the debt, pay as reimbursement for the costs and fees actually incurred to collect the debt an amount not to exceed 25 percent of the amount of the debt or [\$25,000,] \$50,000, whichever is less. Any prejudgment or postjudgment interest on the debt authorized by law must not be included in the calculation of the costs and fees actually incurred to collect the debt.

2. If a debtor:

- (a) Makes a partial payment on an agreement between the State Controller and the debtor, contrary to the agreement; or
- (b) Defaults on an installment payment for which the State Controller extended the period of payment to more than 12 months pursuant to subsection 2 of NRS 353C.130, twelve months or more after the payment was due, the State Controller may assess costs and fees for any payment made more than 12 months after the first payment. The cumulative amount of costs and fees paid pursuant to this subsection may exceed the maximum amount set forth in subsection 1.

NRS 353C.195 is hereby amended to read as follows:
353C.195 J. The amount of a debt owed to an agency must be established on the date the debt is turned over to the State Controller for collection. The State Controller may charge interest on the debt.

NRS 353C.220 is hereby amended to read as follows: 353C.220 1. [If] Except as otherwise provided in subsection 3, if an agency determines that it is impossible or impractical to collect a debt, the agency may request that the State Board of

Examiners [to] designate the debt as a bad debt. [The] Except as otherwise provided in subsection 3, the State Board of Examiners, by an affirmative vote of the majority of the members of the Board, may designate the debt as a bad debt if the Board is satisfied that the collection of the debt is impossible or impractical.

- 2. Upon the designation of a debt as a bad debt pursuant to [this section,] subsection 1, the State Board of Examiners shall immediately notify the State Controller [thereof.] of that designation. Upon receiving the notification, the State Controller shall direct the removal of the debt from the books of account of the State of Nevada.
- 3. If the State Controller determines that it is impossible or impractical to collect a debt of \$500 or less, he may designate the debt as a bad debt.
- 4. A bad debt that is removed pursuant to this section remains a legal and binding obligation owed by the debtor to the State of Nevada.
- [3.] 5. If resources are available, the State Controller shall keep a master file of all debts that are designated as bad debts pursuant to this section. If such a file is established and maintained, for each such debt, the State Controller shall record the name of the debtor, the amount of the debt, the date on which the debt was incurred and the date on which it was removed from the records and books of account of the agency or the State of Nevada, and any other information concerning the debt that the State Controller determines is necessary.

SB 382 - Proposed amendment

Sec.	Proposed:	Reason:
NRS 353C	Eliminate sunset on pilot program of withholding licenses with DMV and Wildlife and create program of withholding other state licenses.	Withholding licenses gives additional leverage to use when collecting debt.
NRS 353C	Allow the Controller's Office to develop a consistent statewide charge for a returned check fee of \$25. The statewide standards to supercede individual agency's statutes excluding the Department of Taxation and Gaming Control.	To have uniform and consistent treatment among agencies.
NRS 353C.110	Authorize the Controller's Office to adopt regulations necessary to carry out the provisions of NRS 353C.	Needed to achieve goal of centralized debt collection. Currently the Department of Administration and AG may jointly adopt regulations.
NRS 353C.120	For periodic reports of debts owed to agencies, change to have the Controller's Office prescribe the time, form and manner of the reports. Amend so if a debt is proven to be owed to the State, the confidentiality statute does not apply unless superceded by a federal law.	Allows agency receiving the forms (Controller's Office) to change the form. Current NRS says Administration and AG shall prescribe form. To have uniform and consistent treatment of agencies.
NRS 353C.135	Repeal the \$200 restriction for reimbursement of cost and fees for collection of certain debt. Increase the maximum charge to pass on to \$50,000.	More equitable to charge everyone uniformly for fees. Will save the State at least \$2,500 in fees per year. Can save the State up to \$25,000 for large settlements as current maximum is \$25,000.
NRS 353C.135	If a debtor defaults on a payment plan and any payment lapses by a year, or a debtor had only made a partial payment as a settlement and a year lapses, allow the Controller's Office to calculate and add on fees again even if the maximum fees were already reached.	Creates an incentive for a debtor to adhere to their payment plan and allows the State of Nevada to start the collection process over if a year lapses without payment.

SB 382 - Proposed amendment

Sec.	Proposed:	Reason:
NRS 353C.195	When an agency turns over a debt to the Controller's Office, the agency will stop accruing additional fees except for interest charges at a rate to be determined by the Controller's Office.	To insure uniform and consistent treatment among agencies. Will also save time in reconciling accounts turned over to debt collection vendors to agency's accounts.
NRS 353C.220	Authority to write off uncollectible debts under \$500 and debts where the cost to collect exceeds the debt.	This process will not keep the account from being collected at a later date if the debt later is determined to be collectable.

DISCLAIMER

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or library@lcb.state.nv.us.

RENEE L. PARKER

Chief Deputy Secretary

of State



SCOTT W. ANDERSON
Deputy Secretary
for Commercial Recordings

SUSAN MORANDI

Deputy Secretary

for Elections

PAMELA A. ASHWORTH
Deputy Secretary for
Southern Nevada

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

April 8, 2003

Senator Mike McGinness, Chair Senate Taxation Committee Capitol Complex Carson City, NV 89701

Re: Proposed Amendment to Senate Bill 382

Dear Senator McGinness,

In preparation for your April 8, 2003 hearing on Senate Bill 382, we enclose proposed amendment to Senate Bill 382, which increases the portion of special services fees allocated to the Secretary of State.

Specifically, if adopted, the amendments will allow our special services account to remain solvent. As we previously testified on Senate Bills 238 and 298, the special services account currently funds 58 FTE positions in the office of the Secretary of State. Based upon current filing levels and no increase in the amount allocated to the Secretary of State, this account will be bankrupt by the end of Fiscal-Year 2004. Leaving the allocation percentage at 50% and increasing the maximum amount allocated to \$75 will allow this account to remain solvent and allow the account to provide not only for the positions it currently funds, but also the special services our customer have come to expect from our office. The increase will also allow for maintaining and increasing the efficiency of the office.

If you have any questions concerning the foregoing or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at 684-5711. We remain available to answer any questions posed by the members of the Committee concerning the above.

Respectfully Submitted,

DEAN HELLER Secretary of State

Scott W. Anderson

Scott W. Adderson

Deputy, Commercial Recordings Division

LAS VEGAS OFFICES 555 E. Washington Avenue SECURITIES: SUITE 5200 Telephone (702) 486-2440 Fax (702) 486-2453 CORPORATIONS: SUITE 2900 Telephone (702) 486-2880 Fax (702) 486-2888 MAIN OFFICE 101 N. Carson, Street, Suite 3 Carson City, Nevada 89701 Telephone (775) 684-5708 Fax (775) 684CORPORATE SATELLITE OFFICE 202 N. Carson Street Carson Citv. Nevada 89701

EXHIBIT BB Committee on Taxation

Date: 04/08/03 Page / of 2

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO S.B. 382

OFFERED BY SECRETARY OF STATE DEAN HELLER

April 8, 2003

Amend Section 130, Page 61, Subsection 3(a), line 16, to strike [\$50] and replace with \$75.

Amend Section 130, Page 61, Subsection 3(a), lines 17 and 17, to retain the original word "half" and strike [one-third].

DISCLAIMER

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or library@lcb.state.nv.us.

State of Nevada Controller's Office Debt Collection Program as of April 4, 2003

		\$ Amount	 Amount Collected	% Collected
Accounts turned over to OSI	\$	5,957,063.48	\$ 1,315,051.43	22.08%
Accounts turned over to RecoverMetrics		1,600,517.00	6,245.32	0.39%
Amounts collected by the Controller's Office	се		 324,608.29	
Total amount collected			\$ 1,645,905.04	

Total fees paid for collection were \$154,518 of which debtors paid \$148,776.

The Controller's Office is collecting \$286.64 for each dollar paid to a collection agency.

INTERLOCAL CONTRACTS SIGNED WITH CONTROLLER'S OFFICE

- 1. Dept of Motor Vehicles
- 2. Dept of Business & Industry
- 3. Dept of Agriculture
- 4. Dept of Conservation & Natural Resources
- 5. Dept of Taxation
- 6. Private Investigators Licensing Board
- 7. Commission on Economic Development
- 8. Dept of Transportation
- 9. Public Employees Benefits Program
- 10. Commission on Ethics
- 11. Human Resources Welfare Division, Child Support Overpayments

EXHIBIT CC Committee on Taxation

Date: 04/08/03 Page 1 of 8

State Controller's Office Centralized Debt Collection Totals As of April 4, 2003

Warrant Offset/Vendor Hold	\$ 234,532.68
Payroll	\$ 34,441.92
Controller - DMV	\$ 55,633.69
OSI - DMV Licenses	\$ 1,311,627.82
OSI -DMV Motor Carrier)	\$ 529.43
OSI - NDOT	\$ 2,894.18
Recover Metrics	\$ 6,245.32
Total Collected	1,645,905.04
Total Collected Payroll Debt Collection	- No the Control of t

Total Payroll Debt	\$ 323,818.02
Total Referred to Controller	\$ 162,982.44
Total Collected	\$ 34,441.92
Total Referred to Collections	\$ 82,176,83
Total Written Off *	\$ 11.378.02

^{* 99%} over the statute of limitations

Debt Outstanding Over 60 Da	ys re	Amount
Department of Taxation	\$	69,684,681.03
Other Agencies	\$	47,560,844.29
Internal Service Funds	\$	1,161,750.06
Total Outstanding ** *		448 407-275 38

^{*} As of 12/31/02 Account Receivables Quarterly Report

COLLECTING

REVENUES

BY M. CORINNE LARSON AND OLGA SPAIC

2

Centralized Collection Programs. A key issue in the collection process, especially for larger governmental entities, is the need for centralization. A decentralized collection process often leads to a fragmented and less efficient collection operation, particularly in collecting delinquent revenues. Many jurisdictions have responded to this potential problem by centralizing the revenue collection function and designating overall responsibility for collecting delinquent accounts to one person in the organization, e.g., the treasurer, tax collector, finance director or, in some cases, the jurisdiction's legal department. In many instances, smaller governments already function in a cohesive manner by virtue of their size. Regardless of a government's size or other characteristics, implementation of an efficient, well-conceptualized delinquent revenue collection program will produce positive results.

Advantages of a centralized delinquent revenue collection program include:

· A dedicated staff whose primary function is collecting, who is trained in professional collection techniques, and who is familiar with federal, state, and local laws governing collection practices;

· Uniform and consistent collection efforts, often as simple as computergenerated dunning letters, that indicate the jurisdiction is serious about col-

lecting revenues owed;

 Consolidation of accounts that combines debts of one debtor from several departments, centralizes information on a debtor who may use various names, or identifies other responsible parties;

Offsetting a debt by reducing or eliminating the amount of a payment

due, where permitted by law or custom;

· Refusal of services to citizens or groups with poor payment histories, thereby preventing a collection problem. For example, collection staff can check references or credit histories on individuals or groups requesting police and

fire services for parades, concerts, etc.; and

· Economies of scale and increased efficiency which make the use of credit reporting services feasible and profitable, and increasing the accountability of staff, which results in obtaining better information when an account is opened; preventing other departments from setting up payment schedules or other payment arrangements; and referring accounts to the collection staff on a more timely basis.

Whether or not a government chooses to centralize revenue collections, it is important that overall responsibility for the collection of delinquent accounts be assigned to a single individual in the organization. Other aspects of a successful collection program include support from the top of the organization and

cooperation from other departments.

y,	1,411.44 28,348.00 25,537.65 25,537.65 2 33.00 33.00	15,819.88 	4107 4140 4172 4172 4175 4176 4199 4202 4211 4212 4229 4228	101 101 101	705 705	Division of Water Resources	12/31 12/31 12/31 12/31 12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources Conservation and Natural Resources Conservation and Natural Resources
		15,819.88 	4107 4140 4172 4175 4176 4176 4199 4202 4202 4211 4212 4212	101 101 101	705 705	Division of Water Resources Division of Water Resources Division of Water Resources Division of Water Resources		Conservation and Natural Resources Conservation and Natural Resources
		15,819.88 	4107 4140 4172 4175 4176 4199 4202 4202 4211	101 101	705	Division of Water Resources Division of Water Resources		Conservation and Natural Resources
		15,819.88 - 200.00 - 66.00 4,699.32 6,252.75 - 6,418.30 508,617.26	4107 4140 4172 4175 4176 4199 4202 4211	101	705	Division of Water Resources Division of Water Resources		
		15,819.88 - 200.00 - 66.00 4,699.32 6,252.75 - 6,418.30	4107 4140 4172 4175 4176 4199 4202			Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
9 19 28 25 29 59 59		15,819.88 - 200.00 66.00 4,699.32 6,252.75	4107 4140 4172 4175 4176 4176 4199	101	705			Conservation and Natural Resources
9 19 28 25 29 59 59		15,819.88 - - 200.00 - 66.00 4,699.32 6,252.75	4101 4140 4172 4175 4176	101	705	Division of Water Resources		Conservation and Natural Resources
9 19 28 25 25 29 59		15,819.88 - - 200.00 66.00 4,699.32	4140 4140 4172 4175	101	705	Division of Water Resources		Conservation and Natural Resources
9 19 28 25 25 29 59		15,819.88 - - 200.00 66.00	4140 4172	101	705	Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
9 19 28 25 25 59		15,819.88 - - 200.00	4140	101	705	Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
		15,819.88	4107	101	705	Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
		15,819.88		101	708	Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
		15,819.88	9707	101	707	Division of State Lands	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
		15,819.88	6122	101	706	Division of Forestry-Pr yr	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
	1,411.44 28,348.00	15,819.88	4235-15	101	706	Division of Forestry-Seed bank	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
	1,411.44	15,819.88	4235-10	101	706	Division of Forestry-Nursery	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
		9-1011	4198-40	101	706	Division of Forestry-Camp	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
	2,699.83	6.218.14	4198-38	101	706	Division of Forestry-Camp	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
2.550.00 28.787.78		16,337.78	4198-36	101	706	Division of Forestry-Camp	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
		15,071.06	4198-34	101	706	Division of Forestry-Camp	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
		5,636.47	4198-33	101	706	Division of Forestry-Camp	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
u	14,344.74	15,853.47	4198-32	101	706	Division of Forestry-Camp	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
6,543.68 29,912.04	11,427.44	11,940.92	4198-20	101	706	Division of Forestry-Camp	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
		16,140.48	4198-19	101	706	Division of Forestry-Camp	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
2,334.63 29,693.81		20,109.37	4198-14	101	706	Division of Forestry-Camp	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
		7,534.00	4198-12	101	706	Division of Forestry-Camp	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
		13,779.08	4198-10	101	706	Division of Forestry-Camp	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
		•	4196*	101	706	Division of Forestry-Fire	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
76,783.71 604,246.27	501,307.48	26,155.08	4196	101	706	Division of Forestry-Fire	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
120.00 320.00			4101	101	708	DCNR-Natural Heritage Program	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
- 24,375.40		24,375.40	4220	101	500	Division of Minerals	12/31	Commission on Mineral Resources
- 42,250,00		42,250.00	4219	101	500	Division of Minerals	12/31	Commission on Mineral Resources
148,520.00 7,369,770.00	- 14	7,221,250.00	4502	505	690	Supplemental Power	12/31	Colorado River Commission
		2,661,472.29	4502	505	690	505- HydroPower	12/31	Colorado River Commission
2,501,600.00		2,501,600.00	4501	505	690	Supplemental Power	12/31	Colorado River Commission
82,154.05		82,154.05	4490	296	690		12/31	Colorado River Commission
185,720.00 197,415.15	1,695.15 18	10,000.00	3923	226	751	Transportation Services Authority	12/31	Business and Industry
		173,767.00	9748	101	748	Real Estate Commission	12/31	Business and Industry
18,663.74 18,663.74			3818	223	741	Division of Insurance	12/31	Business and industry
226,857.55 411,218.53	74,046.06 22	110,314.92	3817	223	741	Division of Insurance	12/31	Business and Industry
4,315,601.34 4,432,076.96	48,629.48 4,31	67,846.14	6025	210	742	Division of Industrial Relations	12/31	Business and Industry
		17,495.00	4682	210	742	Division of Industrial Relations	12/31	Business and Industry
350,854.45 467,613.43		40,679.00	4680	210	742	Division of Industrial Relations	12/31	Business and Industry
215,240.45		215,240.45		101	550		12/31	Agriculture
Over Due 60 Total Rec Amt	31-60 Over I	Current	B/A	cy# Fund Acct#	Agency #	Department/Account		Agency
								Representation of the Part of
					-			The state of the s

14,202.02		00.67672	11,2/3.12	1366	712	82		12/31	Department of Administration
97,250.94	76,922.45	18,154.34	2,174.15	1362	101	83		12/31	Department of Administration
3,962.86	3,897.86	65.00		1358	718	83		12/31	Department of Administration
10,851.23	5,375.75	4,372.44	1,103.04	1354	711			12/31	Department of Administration
9,966.50	117.00		9,849.50	1353	101	82		12/31	Department of Administration
22,621.82	22,621.82	ı	•	1352	715			12/31	Department of Administration
4,820.98	3,269.94	99.00	1,452.04	1349	710	82		12/31	Department of Administration
12,353.89	5,638.95	3,484.90	3,230.04	1346	713	82		12/31	Department of Administration
32,50	32.50		•	1340	101	81		12/31	Department of Administration
14,583.05	970.98	1,198.37	12,413.70	1330	741	87		12/31	Department of Administration
911.60	301.00	102.60	508.00	9705	101		GF-Xerox fees	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
1,967.00	1,844.00	13.00	110.00	4162	101	704	NV State Parks	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
50.00	50.00	-		4605	101	704	NV State Parks	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
5,000.00	5,000.00		1	4147	101		EPA	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
492,239.27	492,239.27			3188	101	709	EPA		Conservation and Natural Resources
276,500.00			276,500.00	3187	101	709	NDEP/BWM	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
63,100.00	63,100.00	t	•	3186	101	709	EPA	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
4				3185	101		EPA	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
68,434.66	68,434.66			3174	101		EPA	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
101,179.50	93,244.50	7,935.00	ı.	4458	101	702	Division of Wildlife	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
1,085.00	1,040.00		45.00	4456	101		Division of Wildlife	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
1,953.53	1,953.53	•	-	4452	101		Division of Wildlife	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
			•	ନ	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
1	•			4268	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
371.37	•	-	371.37	4267	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
5,377.19		•	5,377.19	4266	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
169.61	-		169.61	4264	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
117.38	-	•	117.38	4263	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
1,611.82		,	1,611.82	4262	101	705	Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
914.56		•	914.56	4260	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
82.34			82.34	4259	101	705	Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
1,087.48	ı	•	1,087.48	4258	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
4,312.75		ı	4,312.75	4257	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
6,549.06		•	6,549.06	4256	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
4,508.10	•	•	4,508.10	4255	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
1,093.03	•	,	1,093.03	4254	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
49,781.85	t		49,781.85	4253	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
33.72	-		33.72	4246	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
9,580.25			9,580.25	4249	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
947.10			947.10	4248	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
-	•	-	•	4245	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
946.32	•	-	946.32	4243	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
15,838.40	•	- 1	15,838.40	4242	101	705	Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
6,372.00	-	•	6,372.00	4239	101		Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
109,522.37		-	109,522.37	4237	101	705	Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
22,331.50	•	•	22,331.50	4231	101	\rightarrow	Division of Water Resources	12/31	Conservation and Natural Resources
Total Rec Amt	Over Due 60	31-60	Current	B/A	Fund Acct #	Agency #	Department/Account	-	Agency

٠,

10.017.790.17	10 017 790 17			Benefit Overnorite	205	902	Directors Office	12/31	Employment, Training and Rehabilitation
170,111.00	170,111.30			4/0/	CUS	206	Directors Office	12/31	Employment, Training and Rehabilitation
178 111 38	470 444 20	•		3204	2	9	Directors Office		Employment, Training and Rehabilitation
2 746 86	2 746 86	,	001,010	VSCE	0.1	8	Pinaton Office		Department of transportation
3 198 292 80	2 421 242 11	125 380 24	651 670 45	9998	201	800		12/21	Department of Transportation
•	•	•	•	4660	201	800		_	Department of Transportation
266,322.40	255,691.58	10,630.82		9130	101	130	Short Term Lessor	_	Department of Taxation
200,929.82	200,929.82			9130	101	130	Insurance Premium Tax	_	Department of Taxation
200,844.56	194,538.15	6,285.59	20.82	9130	101	130	Other Tobacco products		Department of Taxation
1,189,038.03	1,189,038.03			6066	101	130	Net Proceeds of Mines	_	Department of Taxation
2,237,194.89	2,237,194.89			6064	101	130	Unitary Property Tax	L	Department of Taxation
				6063	608	130	Cigarette Tax	\vdash	Department of Taxation
3,619.59	2,302.36	867.91	449.32	6062	101	130	Liquor Tax		Department of Taxation
18,080,230.35	17,991,866.84	70,308.75	18,054.76	6060	101	130	ST/BT inactive	12/31	Department of Taxation
21,416,593.27	21,416,593.27			6060	101	130	OSIA Allesiigii Seryks	12/31	Department of Taxation
74,690.42	74,690.42			6060	101	130	Payment Plan		Department of Taxation
26,124,300.48	26,106,360.65	14,407.70	3,532.13	6060	101	130	Bankruptcy Accounts	_	Department of Taxation
3,606.47	3,606.47			6059	101	130	Estate Tax	12/31	Department of Taxation
13,611.94	11,868.55		1,743.39	3187	f01	130	Tire Tax	12/31	Department of Taxation
5,305.67	5,305.67			3761	101	440	SNWCF		Department of Corrections
1,212,41	8.91	•	1,203.50	3759	101	440	Lovelock		Department of Corrections
131,068.71	46,375.86	44,381.00	40,311.85	3727	525	440	Prison Dairy	12/31	Department of Corrections
711.95			711.95	3723	101	440	Pioche	12/31	Department of Corrections
705,752.64	309,525.53	87,509.14	308,717.97	3719	525	440	Prison Industries		Department of Corrections
971.90	122.50		849.40	3717	101	440	NNCC		Department of Corrections
1,583.20	85.25	14.75	1,483.20	3710	101	440	Administration		Department of Corrections
7,711.15	4,035.06	2,893.95	782.14	3706	101	440	Medical		Department of Corrections
18,159.46	2,225.61		15,933.85	3763	240	440	Due from released inmates		Department of Corrections
3,490,500.62	1,587,358.11	,	1,903,142.51	3763	240	440	Due from incarcerated inmates		Department of Corrections
239,497.00	164,239.00	50,217.00	25,041.00	4709	101	650	Civil Applicant Fees	12/31	Department of Public Safety
45,060.00	12,096.00	15,084.00	17,880.00	4709	101	650	Civil Name Check Fees		Department of Public Safety
41,065.00	22,980.00	6,700.00	11,385.00	4709	101	650	Point of Sale Fees		Department of Public Safety
1,456.00	696.00	224.00	536.00	4709	101	650	Sex Offender Registry Fees	12/31	Department of Public Safety
4,720,687.00	4,614,752.00	52,967.50	52,967.50	4745	201	810	Admin. Service	12/31	Department of Motor Vehicles
8,500.00			8,500.00	4729	101	650	Emergency response	_	Department of Motor Vehicles
2,642,039.58	2,301,529.59	17,099.15	323,410.84	4717	201	810	Motor Carrier		Department of Motor Vehicles
105,101.00	24,264.00	6,731.00	74,106.00	4711	201	810	Records research		Department of Motor Vehicles
41,853.00	•		41,853.00	1373	721	184	Directors Office		Department of Information Technology
402,486.98	48,966.60	25,317.20	328,203.18	1370	721	183	Planning and Research		Department of Information Technology
638,813.46	601,405.46	18,704.00	18,704.00	1388	721	187	Mobil Communications		Department of Information Technology
536,792.61	205,635.28	91,870.38	239,286.95	1387	721	186	Telecommunications	12/31	Department of Information Technology
390,670.17	44,800.61	123,588.46	222,281.10	1386	721	185	Data Comm. & Technology	\Box	Department of Information Technology
1,505,240.33	109,001.66	288,171.77	1,108,066.90	1385	721	182	Computer Facilities	12/31	Department of Information Technology
362,597.50	52,236.75	21,992.75	288,368.00	1365	721	181	Application, Design & Development		Department of Information Technology
36,237.00	35,737.00	500.00		6101	718	83		12/31	Department of Administration
45,716.97	22,191.40	12,676.51	10,849.06	6100		83		12/31	Department of Administration
Total Rec Amt	Over Due 60	31-60	Current	B/A	Fund Acct #	Agency	Department/Account		Agency

4.

198 aut. 450.38	3,/30,49/.43	23,022,333.90					+	OA
	_	372,839.32					12/31	Payroll overpayments
238.25	69.25	1,050.14	3920	224	580		12/31	Public Utilities
		612,779.00	1528	101	102	Revolving Loan Fund	12/31	Nevada Comm on Tourism & Economic Dev
1,800.00			1527	101	102	Nevada Film Office	12/31	Nevada Comm on Tourism & Economic Dev
15,887.36	448.16	45,024.67	1530	530	101	Nevada Magazine	12/31	Nevada Comm on Tourism & Economic Dev
6,741	312.65	-	2891	101	331	NV State Library and Archives	12/31	Museums, Library and Arts
1,951.46		•	1052	101	332	NV State Library and Archives	12/31	Museums, Library and Arts
2,305,245.77	188,137.50	175,606.01	3648	101	408	Rural Clinics Collectable	12/31	Human Resources
112,076.00	32,507.00	24,661.00	3646	101	409	So NV Child & Adolescent Services	12/31	Human Resources Karen 702-486-8027
8,530.00	1,740.00	3,930.00	3645	101	408	Mentally Disordered Offender	12/31	Human Resources
152,284.21	257,076.86	261,123.73	3281	101	409	Patient Collections	12/31	Human Resources Marty 688-1600
14,489,47	228,798.62	228,583.86	3281	101	409	Medicaid	12/31	Human Resources
79,241.72	25,678.34	30,464.62	3281	101	409	Insurance	12/31	Human Resources
	33,515.00	31,252.00	3280	101	408	Sierra Regional - Title XX	12/31	Human Resources
•	_	13,302.00	3280	101	408	Sierra Regional - Welfare TANF	12/31	Human Resources
	•	693,569.00	3280	101	408	Sierra Regional - Medicaid	12/31	Human Resources
67,175.21	108,877.72	1,054,773.94	3279	101	408	Desert Regional Center	12/31	Human Resources
	127,491.00	612,829.00	3229	101	409	Youth Community Services	12/31	Human Resources
3,913.00	2,543.00	3,712.00	3224	101	406	L .	12/31	Human Resources
4,526.00	16,180.00	13,303.00	3219	101	406	Family Planning Project	12/31	Human Resources
145,279.00	188,285.00	157,001.00	3208	101	406	Special Children's Clinic	12/31	Human Resources
	-	381,628.62	3167	101	408	Rural Clinics	12/31	Human Resources
1,473,135.00	104,584.00	207,182.00	3162	101	408	Southern Nevada Adult Mental Health	12/31	Human Resources
633,354.00	121,561.00	179,605.00	3161	101	408	Client Payers	12/31	Human Resources
94,883.00	16,512.00	25,608.00	3161	101	408	Private insurance	112/31	Human Resources
593,112.00	113,273.00	174,218.00	3161	101	408	Medicaid	12/31	Human Resources
367,902.00	77,867.00	113,281.00	3161	101	408		12/31	Human Resources
783.04	1,257.90	12,697.38	3146	101	402	Aging Services Senior Programs	12/31	Human Resources
1,993,241.83	•	•	4061	101	600		12/31	Garning Control
8,739,117.32		-	Employer/Wage	205	902	Directors Office	12/31	Employment, Training and Rehabilitation
00 and ravO	31-60	Current	B/A	Fund Acct#	Agency #	Department/Account	_	Agency

DISCLAIMER

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or library@lcb.state.nv.us.

Testimony to Senate Taxation Committee 08 April 2003 By State Controller Kathy Augustine

The State Controller's Office is here today in support of SB 383.

328 and especially Section 161 of the bill which mandates state agencies turn over their past due receivables to the State Controller's Office for collection.

One of my top priorities continues to be reducing the State's receivables which now exceed \$118 million. We have developed a plan to collect debt owed state agencies and supported SB 500 during the 1999 legislative session which set in motion a concentrated effort to meet this objective. The amount of monies owed the State of Nevada at that time was quite literally anyone's guess. Procedures were developed to implement consistent statewide policies as timely debt collection is imperative to meet future funding requirements and securing the state's financial well being.

Date: 04/08/03 Page 1 of 6

In April of 2001, the Board of Examiners, approved contracts between the State Controller and two private debt collection companies, OSI Collection Services, Inc. and RecoverMetrics in association with Nevada based Crisis Recovery, to assist in recovery efforts of monies owed the State. OSI is the largest provider of accounts receivable management in the United States servicing 26 states. Both Crisis Recovery and RecoveryMetrics have extensive experience in the identification of fraud and collection of institutional debt, using forensic loss recovery techniques to recover corporate debts over \$25,000.00. Fees in the contracts are based on a percentage of collections and are passed along to the debtor, except when the debt is under \$200.00.

Studies have shown that it is more cost effective for states to use outside agencies for debt collection and this has also been noted in a Government Finance Officer's Association publication we have included in your packets.

"In house" collection would result in an expansion of the State Controller's Office to almost double its current size (in terms of staff), not to mention the additional equipment needed for the extensive searches and warehousing of databases. In fact, there is currently a national task force exploring the feasibility of the IRS using private collection companies to recover debt.

The real "nuts and bolts" of our debt collection efforts lie in Assembly Bill 314 of the last legislative session. The State Controller is now authorized to act as the centralized point of collection for all state agencies. Centralizing the revenue collection function and designating responsibility for collecting delinquent accounts in our office achieve the following goals:

- Uniform and consistent collection efforts;
- Consolidation of accounts the combine debts of one debtor for several agencies;
- Centralization of information on one debtor who may use various names;
- Identification of other responsible parties;

- Reduction of payments to debtors of the State of Nevada through offsets;
- Increased collection when debts understand penalties and/or cancellation of permits, licenses or services.

To date, eleven Interlocal contracts have been signed with the State Controller's Office, a list of these agencies, boards and commissions is also included in your packet. AB 314 also mandated the State Controller to prepare and maintain a list of debtors available for public inspection and requires the State Controller to remove debts designated as "bad debts" from the books of the State. Additionally, it allows contracts with private debt collection agencies to include costs of collection and fees for collecting the debt.

My office has taken the following steps to recover monies:

• In December of 2001, we mailed out over <u>6,000</u> letters to citizens whose checks were returned to the DMV for insufficient funds informing them they were being turned over for collection. Their driver's licenses, permits and

vehicle registrations were 'flagged' in the DMV system and suspended if restitution was not made by December 31, 2001. The remaining accounts were turned over to OSI for collection. To date, \$1,315,051.00 has been recovered!

- A warrant offset program was also initiated at the beginning of last year in conjunction with the Department of Taxation and the Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation (DETR) to flag the accounts of businesses, or individuals, that owe back taxes to the State. This program is now available to all State agencies. Warrant offset and Vendor holds have resulted in an almost \$235,000.00 cost savings!
- We are also involved in Payroll overpayment recovery and have collected approximately \$34,400.00 from former state employees who were overpaid upon their termination from State Service

Recovery efforts have been lax in the past because the State had ample surpluses to cover state expenses. The mandated rather than

permissive centralization of debt collection for the State in the State Controller's Office will enhance the State's ability to recover outstanding debt.

We are proposing a friendly amendment to Section 161 of the bill which addresses NRS 353C. An explanation of the proposed amendments is attached to the back of the amendment packet. My Chief Accountant of Debt Collection/Accounts Receivables, Christi Thompson, will go over these proposed changes with you.

DISCLAIMER

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or library@lcb.state.nv.us.

Non Taxable Service Categories

Advertising Agricultural, Forestry, Fishery Services Amusement and Recreation Services Automobile- Personal Repair and Services Beauty and Barber Shops Bowling Alleys and Pool Halls Child Day Care Services

Construction Government New Facilities Construction New Highways and Streets Construction New Industrial and Commercial Buildings

Communications

Construction New Landscaping

Construction New Mineral Extraction Facilities

Construction New Residential Structures

Construction New Utility Structures

Doctors, Dentists and Prescription Drugs **Educational Services**

Gas and Electric Utility Services

Funeral Service and Crematories

Gas and Oil Production and Distribution

Hospitals

Job Trainings & Related Services Residential Maintenance and Repair Miscellaneous Personal Services (i.e. manicure and hairstyling)

Movie Tickets and Video Rentals Newspapers and Magazines

Nursing and Protective Care

Other Medical and Health Services

Personal Laundry, Cleaning and Shoe Repair

Portrait and Photographic Studios

Radio and TV Broadcasting

Residential Care

Sanitary Services and Steam Supply

Social Services

Theatrical Productions, Bands Etc.

Veterinary Services

Water Supply and Sewerage Systems

EXHIBIT EE Committee on Taxation

Nevada Annual Average Household Expenditures

(Services Taxed with Exemptions)

GOODS		SERVICES	
Food		Food	
Food Away From Home	\$ 1,957.65		
Household	+ 1,007.00	Household	
Household Furnishing		House Maintenance,	
	\$ 1,563.37		5
Housekeeping Supplies	7 1,0000	Household Personal	Exempt
	\$ 468.15		Evanous
Misc. Household	7	351 11005	Exempt
Equipment	\$ 767.08		
Apparel	 	Apparel	<u> </u>
Apparel	\$ 1,320.28	Apparel Services	Frances
Vehicles	<u> </u>	Vehicles	Exempt
Vehicles	\$ 2,731.60	Ventes	<u> </u>
Vehicle Maintenance	Ψ 2,701.00	Vehicle Maintenance	
(Parts & Repairs)*	\$ 347.47		—
Personal Care	ΨΟ1117	Personal Care	Exempt
Personal Care Products		Personal Care Products	
and Services *	\$ 205.73		Escare and
Entertainment	+	Entertainment	Exempt
TVs, Radios, Sound		Entertainment Fees and	<u> </u>
Equipment	\$ 541.17		Evenue
Pets, Toys and Playground		, tallineologie	Exempt
Equipment	\$ 316.11		
Reading	\$ 160.63		
Other Entertainment		Other Entertainment	
Supplies and Services*	\$ 263.71		Exempt
Miscellaneous*	\$ 477.17		Exempt
Multiply Total by 7.25%	\$11,120.12		Exempl
Current Tax rate	\$ 806.21		
			
Multiply Total by 5%	\$11,120.12		
New Tax Rate	\$ 556.01		
Savings in Taxes	\$ 250.20		
Total Savings Per	7 200.20	New Taxes	
Household	\$250.20		00.00
	φ 2 50.20		\$0.00

The Average Nevada Household Will Save Money and Benefit from a Reduction in the Sales Tax on Products.

- *Denotes a 50%-50% split between Goods and Services
- Food for Home Consumption, Mortgage and Rent, and Motor Fuel are not included, because they are not currently taxed under the sales tax rate.
 Data based on the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics Spending Survey, 2000. Nevada data was factored by the Governor's Task Force on Tax Policy.



Top 10 Selling Vehicles in the United States

Vehicle	Base Price	Current Nevada Sales Tax of 7.25%	Proposed Sales Tax of 5%	Savings
2003 Ford F-150 4x4	\$25,610	\$1,856.73	\$1,280.50	\$576.23
2003 Chevrolet Silverado 4x4	\$27,900	\$2,022.75	\$1,395.00	\$627.75
2003 Honda Accord	\$ 25,900	\$1,877.75	\$1,295.00	\$582.75
2003 Toyota Camry	\$25,405	\$1,841.86	\$1,270.26	\$571.60
2003 Dodge Ram	\$31,175	\$2,260.19	\$1,558.75	\$701.44
2003 Ford Explorer 4x2	\$34,575	\$2,506.69	\$1,728.75	\$777.94
2003 Ford Taurus	\$23,730	\$1,720.43	\$1,186.50	\$533.93
2003 Honda Civic	\$16,810	\$1,218.73	\$840.50	\$378.23
2003 Toyota Corolla	\$14,680	\$1,064.30	\$734.00	\$330.30
2003 Ford Ranger 4x2	\$17,295	\$1253.89	\$864.75	\$389.14

Internet Average Prices

Various Household Items

14	D -			
Item	Base Price	Current Nevada Sales Tax of 7.25%	Proposed Sales Tax of 5%	Savings
Alta Luxury Tight Top Queen Mattress	\$ 499.99	\$36.25	\$24.95	\$11.30
Sony PCVRZ22G Desktop Computer	\$1,449.99	\$105.12	\$72.50	\$32.62
Panasonic SV-AV10R Digital Camera	\$249.99	\$18.12	\$12.50	\$5.62
Sony 32 inch FD Trinitron® Wega TV	\$749.00	\$54.30	\$37.45	\$16.85
Frigidalre Washer Dryer Laundry Center	\$752.99	\$54.59	\$37.65	\$16.94
Alexa Sofa by Mitchell Gold	\$1,099.00	\$79.68	\$54.95	\$24.73
GE Profile Arctica™ Top- Freezer Refrigerator	\$1,079.00	\$78.23	\$53.95	\$24.28
Whirlpool Standard Clean Gas Range	\$499.00	\$36.18	\$24.95	\$11.23
Equator Full Size Dishwasher	\$529.00	\$38.35	\$26.45	\$11.90
Bosch Portable Table Saw	\$467.00	\$33.86	\$23.35	\$10.51
Brother PE 150 Sewing Machine	\$388.88	\$28.19	\$19.44	\$8.75
5 Michelin LX4 All-Season Tires	\$780.00	\$56.55	\$39.00	\$17.55
Weber Genesis Silver-A Liquid Propane Outdoor Gas Grill	\$329.88	\$23.91	\$16.50	\$7.41
Raven Hill 5-Piece Patio Set	\$398.00	\$28.86	\$19.90	\$8.96
Schwinn Deluxe Double Jogging Stroller	\$329.00	\$23.85	\$16.45	\$7.40
Honda HRS216SDA Self- Propelled Lawn Mower	\$399.00	\$28.93	\$19.95	\$8.98
Frigidaire Air Conditioner	\$579.00	\$41.98	\$28.95	\$13.03
Back to School Clothes and Supplies for 2 Children	\$1,000.00	\$72.50	\$50.00	\$22.50

Internet Average Prices

Sales Tax Rates for Large Cities in Neighboring States

City	Los Angeles	San Diego	Orange County	Phoenix	Sacramento

8.25%

7.75%

Sales Tax Rate

7.75% 7.50% 7.75%

Salt Lake City

DISCLAIMER

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or library@lcb.state.nv.us.

Business And Taxo

Business Representatives Group April 8, 2003

Care Amodel SS 382

- Best bill at this time
- © But...needs some enhancement to be consistent with ACR 1

No Since

- Transition Tax on Services
- Amusements folded in to create one system
- Business License Tax
- Sales & Use Tax Expansion
- © Passive Revenue Measures
- Budget Reductions

EXHIBIT FF, Committee on Taxation

Date: 04/08/03 Page 1 of 18