

DISCLAIMER

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or library@lcb.state.nv.us.

May 22, 2003

To: Senate Legislative Affairs Committee
From: Lucille Lusk
RE: AJR 7 1st Reprint & AJR 13 1st Reprint

AJR 7: Oppose part, support part

Annual Sessions: We continue to *oppose* annual sessions of the legislature. Such a session is not truly limited in any sense except for the number of days. There is no limit on the number or scope of the measures that can be introduced, and it seems likely that another several hundred bills would be introduced for the additional session. This would not ease the extreme time demand placed on legislators and citizens alike, but would simply duplicate it every year. In addition, the character of our citizen legislature would be at risk because in order to serve, a legislator would have to be able to take that time off work every year. That would be impossible for many, especially those who work in the private sector.

Regular session 120 legislative days within 140 calendar days: We have *no objection* to this arrangement if it is what the members of the legislature wish to do and if it would relieve the crunch time demands on the LCB staff. It could also allow more time for legislators to review proposed amendments and feel less pressured at those times. However, it would be very important for the schedule of breaks to be established at least several weeks prior to the session so legislators and participating citizens alike could plan air fare, lodging etc.

Special session convened at call of Legislature: We *support* the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for any special session*, which is very important to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. (This provision is also found in AJR 13.)

Require executive budget 21 days before a session: The events of this session prove that you need access to the executive budget earlier than you currently receive it, in order that the LCB and legislative experts can analyze it and be on an equal footing with the Governor in evaluating the decisions for which you are responsible to the people you serve.

Limit special session to 20 days: This limit is necessary so that special sessions do not become additional sessions of unlimited duration.

AJR 13: Support

We support the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for the special session*, which is critical to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. It also *limits the special sessions to 20 days*, another very important provision.

May 22, 2003

To: Senate Legislative Affairs Committee
From: Lucille Lusk
RE: AJR 7 1st Reprint & AJR 13 1st Reprint

AJR 7: Oppose part, support part

Annual Sessions: We continue to *oppose* annual sessions of the legislature. Such a session is not truly limited in any sense except for the number of days. There is no limit on the number or scope of the measures that can be introduced, and it seems likely that another several hundred bills would be introduced for the additional session. This would not ease the extreme time demand placed on legislators and citizens alike, but would simply duplicate it every year. In addition, the character of our citizen legislature would be at risk because in order to serve, a legislator would have to be able to take that time off work every year. That would be impossible for many, especially those who work in the private sector.

Regular session 120 legislative days within 140 calendar days: We have *no objection* to this arrangement if it is what the members of the legislature wish to do and if it would relieve the crunch time demands on the LCB staff. It could also allow more time for legislators to review proposed amendments and feel less pressured at those times. However, it would be very important for the schedule of breaks to be established at least several weeks prior to the session so legislators and participating citizens alike could plan air fare, lodging etc.

Special session convened at call of Legislature: We *support* the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for any special session*, which is very important to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. (This provision is also found in AJR 13.)

Require executive budget 21 days before a session: The events of this session prove that you need access to the executive budget earlier than you currently receive it, in order that the LCB and legislative experts can analyze it and be on an equal footing with the Governor in evaluating the decisions for which you are responsible to the people you serve.

Limit special session to 20 days: This limit is necessary so that special sessions do not become additional sessions of unlimited duration.

AJR 13: Support

We support the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for the special session*, which is critical to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. It also *limits the special sessions to 20 days*, another very important provision.

May 22, 2003

To: Senate Legislative Affairs Committee
From: Lucille Lusk
RE: AJR 7 1st Reprint & AJR 13 1st Reprint

AJR 7: Oppose part, support part

Annual Sessions: We continue to *oppose* annual sessions of the legislature. Such a session is not truly limited in any sense except for the number of days. There is no limit on the number or scope of the measures that can be introduced, and it seems likely that another several hundred bills would be introduced for the additional session. This would not ease the extreme time demand placed on legislators and citizens alike, but would simply duplicate it every year. In addition, the character of our citizen legislature would be at risk because in order to serve, a legislator would have to be able to take that time off work every year. That would be impossible for many, especially those who work in the private sector.

Regular session 120 legislative days within 140 calendar days: We have *no objection* to this arrangement if it is what the members of the legislature wish to do and if it would relieve the crunch time demands on the LCB staff. It could also allow more time for legislators to review proposed amendments and feel less pressured at those times. However, it would be very important for the schedule of breaks to be established at least several weeks prior to the session so legislators and participating citizens alike could plan air fare, lodging etc.

Special session convened at call of Legislature: We *support* the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for any special session*, which is very important to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. (This provision is also found in AJR 13.)

Require executive budget 21 days before a session: The events of this session prove that you need access to the executive budget earlier than you currently receive it, in order that the LCB and legislative experts can analyze it and be on an equal footing with the Governor in evaluating the decisions for which you are responsible to the people you serve.

Limit special session to 20 days: This limit is necessary so that special sessions do not become additional sessions of unlimited duration.

AJR 13: Support

We support the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for the special session*, which is critical to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. It also *limits the special sessions to 20 days*, another very important provision.

May 22, 2003

To: Senate Legislative Affairs Committee
From: Lucille Lusk
RE: AJR 7 1st Reprint & AJR 13 1st Reprint

AJR 7: Oppose part, support part

Annual Sessions: We continue to *oppose* annual sessions of the legislature. Such a session is not truly limited in any sense except for the number of days. There is no limit on the number or scope of the measures that can be introduced, and it seems likely that another several hundred bills would be introduced for the additional session. This would not ease the extreme time demand placed on legislators and citizens alike, but would simply duplicate it every year. In addition, the character of our citizen legislature would be at risk because in order to serve, a legislator would have to be able to take that time off work every year. That would be impossible for many, especially those who work in the private sector.

Regular session 120 legislative days within 140 calendar days: We have *no objection* to this arrangement if it is what the members of the legislature wish to do and if it would relieve the crunch time demands on the LCB staff. It could also allow more time for legislators to review proposed amendments and feel less pressured at those times. However, it would be very important for the schedule of breaks to be established at least several weeks prior to the session so legislators and participating citizens alike could plan air fare, lodging etc.

Special session convened at call of Legislature: We *support* the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for any special session*, which is very important to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. (This provision is also found in AJR 13.)

Require executive budget 21 days before a session: The events of this session prove that you need access to the executive budget earlier than you currently receive it, in order that the LCB and legislative experts can analyze it and be on an equal footing with the Governor in evaluating the decisions for which you are responsible to the people you serve.

Limit special session to 20 days: This limit is necessary so that special sessions do not become additional sessions of unlimited duration.

AJR 13: Support

We support the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for the special session*, which is critical to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. It also *limits the special sessions to 20 days*, another very important provision.

May 22, 2003

To: Senate Legislative Affairs Committee
From: Lucille Lusk
RE: AJR 7 1st Reprint & AJR 13 1st Reprint

AJR 7: Oppose part, support part

Annual Sessions: We continue to *oppose* annual sessions of the legislature. Such a session is not truly limited in any sense except for the number of days. There is no limit on the number or scope of the measures that can be introduced, and it seems likely that another several hundred bills would be introduced for the additional session. This would not ease the extreme time demand placed on legislators and citizens alike, but would simply duplicate it every year. In addition, the character of our citizen legislature would be at risk because in order to serve, a legislator would have to be able to take that time off work every year. That would be impossible for many, especially those who work in the private sector.

Regular session 120 legislative days within 140 calendar days: We have *no objection* to this arrangement if it is what the members of the legislature wish to do and if it would relieve the crunch time demands on the LCB staff. It could also allow more time for legislators to review proposed amendments and feel less pressured at those times. However, it would be very important for the schedule of breaks to be established at least several weeks prior to the session so legislators and participating citizens alike could plan air fare, lodging etc.

Special session convened at call of Legislature: We *support* the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for any special session*, which is very important to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. (This provision is also found in AJR 13.)

Require executive budget 21 days before a session: The events of this session prove that you need access to the executive budget earlier than you currently receive it, in order that the LCB and legislative experts can analyze it and be on an equal footing with the Governor in evaluating the decisions for which you are responsible to the people you serve.

Limit special session to 20 days: This limit is necessary so that special sessions do not become additional sessions of unlimited duration.

AJR 13: Support

We support the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for the special session*, which is critical to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. It also *limits the special sessions to 20 days*, another very important provision.

May 22, 2003

To: Senate Legislative Affairs Committee
From: Lucille Lusk
RE: AJR 7 1st Reprint & AJR 13 1st Reprint

AJR 7: Oppose part, support part

Annual Sessions: We continue to *oppose* annual sessions of the legislature. Such a session is not truly limited in any sense except for the number of days. There is no limit on the number or scope of the measures that can be introduced, and it seems likely that another several hundred bills would be introduced for the additional session. This would not ease the extreme time demand placed on legislators and citizens alike, but would simply duplicate it every year. In addition, the character of our citizen legislature would be at risk because in order to serve, a legislator would have to be able to take that time off work every year. That would be impossible for many, especially those who work in the private sector.

Regular session 120 legislative days within 140 calendar days: We have *no objection* to this arrangement if it is what the members of the legislature wish to do and if it would relieve the crunch time demands on the LCB staff. It could also allow more time for legislators to review proposed amendments and feel less pressured at those times. However, it would be very important for the schedule of breaks to be established at least several weeks prior to the session so legislators and participating citizens alike could plan air fare, lodging etc.

Special session convened at call of Legislature: We *support* the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for any special session*, which is very important to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. (This provision is also found in AJR 13.)

Require executive budget 21 days before a session: The events of this session prove that you need access to the executive budget earlier than you currently receive it, in order that the LCB and legislative experts can analyze it and be on an equal footing with the Governor in evaluating the decisions for which you are responsible to the people you serve.

Limit special session to 20 days: This limit is necessary so that special sessions do not become additional sessions of unlimited duration.

AJR 13: Support

We support the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for the special session*, which is critical to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. It also *limits the special sessions to 20 days*, another very important provision.

6

May 22, 2003

To: Senate Legislative Affairs Committee
From: Lucille Lusk
RE: AJR 7 1st Reprint & AJR 13 1st Reprint

AJR 7: Oppose part, support part

Annual Sessions: We continue to *oppose* annual sessions of the legislature. Such a session is not truly limited in any sense except for the number of days. There is no limit on the number or scope of the measures that can be introduced, and it seems likely that another several hundred bills would be introduced for the additional session. This would not ease the extreme time demand placed on legislators and citizens alike, but would simply duplicate it every year. In addition, the character of our citizen legislature would be at risk because in order to serve, a legislator would have to be able to take that time off work every year. That would be impossible for many, especially those who work in the private sector.

Regular session 120 legislative days within 140 calendar days: We have *no objection* to this arrangement if it is what the members of the legislature wish to do and if it would relieve the crunch time demands on the LCB staff. It could also allow more time for legislators to review proposed amendments and feel less pressured at those times. However, it would be very important for the schedule of breaks to be established at least several weeks prior to the session so legislators and participating citizens alike could plan air fare, lodging etc.

Special session convened at call of Legislature: We *support* the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for any special session*, which is very important to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. (This provision is also found in AJR 13.)

Require executive budget 21 days before a session: The events of this session prove that you need access to the executive budget earlier than you currently receive it, in order that the LCB and legislative experts can analyze it and be on an equal footing with the Governor in evaluating the decisions for which you are responsible to the people you serve.

Limit special session to 20 days: This limit is necessary so that special sessions do not become additional sessions of unlimited duration.

AJR 13: Support

We support the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for the special session*, which is critical to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. It also *limits the special sessions to 20 days*, another very important provision.

May 22, 2003

To: Senate Legislative Affairs Committee
From: Lucille Lusk
RE: AJR 7 1st Reprint & AJR 13 1st Reprint

AJR 7: Oppose part, support part

Annual Sessions: We continue to *oppose* annual sessions of the legislature. Such a session is not truly limited in any sense except for the number of days. There is no limit on the number or scope of the measures that can be introduced, and it seems likely that another several hundred bills would be introduced for the additional session. This would not ease the extreme time demand placed on legislators and citizens alike, but would simply duplicate it every year. In addition, the character of our citizen legislature would be at risk because in order to serve, a legislator would have to be able to take that time off work every year. That would be impossible for many, especially those who work in the private sector.

Regular session 120 legislative days within 140 calendar days: We have *no objection* to this arrangement if it is what the members of the legislature wish to do and if it would relieve the crunch time demands on the LCB staff. It could also allow more time for legislators to review proposed amendments and feel less pressured at those times. However, it would be very important for the schedule of breaks to be established at least several weeks prior to the session so legislators and participating citizens alike could plan air fare, lodging etc.

Special session convened at call of Legislature: We *support* the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for any special session*, which is very important to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. (This provision is also found in AJR 13.)

Require executive budget 21 days before a session: The events of this session prove that you need access to the executive budget earlier than you currently receive it, in order that the LCB and legislative experts can analyze it and be on an equal footing with the Governor in evaluating the decisions for which you are responsible to the people you serve.

Limit special session to 20 days: This limit is necessary so that special sessions do not become additional sessions of unlimited duration.

AJR 13: Support

We support the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for the special session*, which is critical to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. It also *limits the special sessions to 20 days*, another very important provision.

May 22, 2003

To: Senate Legislative Affairs Committee
From: Lucille Lusk
RE: AJR 7 1st Reprint & AJR 13 1st Reprint

AJR 7: Oppose part, support part

Annual Sessions: We continue to *oppose* annual sessions of the legislature. Such a session is not truly limited in any sense except for the number of days. There is no limit on the number or scope of the measures that can be introduced, and it seems likely that another several hundred bills would be introduced for the additional session. This would not ease the extreme time demand placed on legislators and citizens alike, but would simply duplicate it every year. In addition, the character of our citizen legislature would be at risk because in order to serve, a legislator would have to be able to take that time off work every year. That would be impossible for many, especially those who work in the private sector.

Regular session 120 legislative days within 140 calendar days: We have *no objection* to this arrangement if it is what the members of the legislature wish to do and if it would relieve the crunch time demands on the LCB staff. It could also allow more time for legislators to review proposed amendments and feel less pressured at those times. However, it would be very important for the schedule of breaks to be established at least several weeks prior to the session so legislators and participating citizens alike could plan air fare, lodging etc.

Special session convened at call of Legislature: We *support* the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for any special session*, which is very important to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. (This provision is also found in AJR 13.)

Require executive budget 21 days before a session: The events of this session prove that you need access to the executive budget earlier than you currently receive it, in order that the LCB and legislative experts can analyze it and be on an equal footing with the Governor in evaluating the decisions for which you are responsible to the people you serve.

Limit special session to 20 days: This limit is necessary so that special sessions do not become additional sessions of unlimited duration.

AJR 13: Support

We support the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for the special session*, which is critical to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. It also *limits the special sessions to 20 days*, another very important provision.

May 22, 2003

To: Senate Legislative Affairs Committee
From: Lucille Lusk
RE: AJR 7 1st Reprint & AJR 13 1st Reprint

AJR 7: Oppose part, support part

Annual Sessions: We continue to *oppose* annual sessions of the legislature. Such a session is not truly limited in any sense except for the number of days. There is no limit on the number or scope of the measures that can be introduced, and it seems likely that another several hundred bills would be introduced for the additional session. This would not ease the extreme time demand placed on legislators and citizens alike, but would simply duplicate it every year. In addition, the character of our citizen legislature would be at risk because in order to serve, a legislator would have to be able to take that time off work every year. That would be impossible for many, especially those who work in the private sector.

Regular session 120 legislative days within 140 calendar days: We have *no objection* to this arrangement if it is what the members of the legislature wish to do and if it would relieve the crunch time demands on the LCB staff. It could also allow more time for legislators to review proposed amendments and feel less pressured at those times. However, it would be very important for the schedule of breaks to be established at least several weeks prior to the session so legislators and participating citizens alike could plan air fare, lodging etc.

Special session convened at call of Legislature: We *support* the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for any special session*, which is very important to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. (This provision is also found in AJR 13.)

Require executive budget 21 days before a session: The events of this session prove that you need access to the executive budget earlier than you currently receive it, in order that the LCB and legislative experts can analyze it and be on an equal footing with the Governor in evaluating the decisions for which you are responsible to the people you serve.

Limit special session to 20 days: This limit is necessary so that special sessions do not become additional sessions of unlimited duration.

AJR 13: Support

We support the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for the special session*, which is critical to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. It also *limits the special sessions to 20 days*, another very important provision.

May 22, 2003

To: Senate Legislative Affairs Committee
From: Lucille Lusk
RE: AJR 7 1st Reprint & AJR 13 1st Reprint

AJR 7: Oppose part, support part

Annual Sessions: We continue to *oppose* annual sessions of the legislature. Such a session is not truly limited in any sense except for the number of days. There is no limit on the number or scope of the measures that can be introduced, and it seems likely that another several hundred bills would be introduced for the additional session. This would not ease the extreme time demand placed on legislators and citizens alike, but would simply duplicate it every year. In addition, the character of our citizen legislature would be at risk because in order to serve, a legislator would have to be able to take that time off work every year. That would be impossible for many, especially those who work in the private sector.

Regular session 120 legislative days within 140 calendar days: We have *no objection* to this arrangement if it is what the members of the legislature wish to do and if it would relieve the crunch time demands on the LCB staff. It could also allow more time for legislators to review proposed amendments and feel less pressured at those times. However, it would be very important for the schedule of breaks to be established at least several weeks prior to the session so legislators and participating citizens alike could plan air fare, lodging etc.

Special session convened at call of Legislature: We *support* the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for any special session*, which is very important to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. (This provision is also found in AJR 13.)

Require executive budget 21 days before a session: The events of this session prove that you need access to the executive budget earlier than you currently receive it, in order that the LCB and legislative experts can analyze it and be on an equal footing with the Governor in evaluating the decisions for which you are responsible to the people you serve.

Limit special session to 20 days: This limit is necessary so that special sessions do not become additional sessions of unlimited duration.

AJR 13: Support

We support the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for the special session*, which is critical to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. It also *limits the special sessions to 20 days*, another very important provision.

May 22, 2003

To: Senate Legislative Affairs Committee
From: Lucille Lusk
RE: AJR 7 1st Reprint & AJR 13 1st Reprint

AJR 7: Oppose part, support part

Annual Sessions: We continue to *oppose* annual sessions of the legislature. Such a session is not truly limited in any sense except for the number of days. There is no limit on the number or scope of the measures that can be introduced, and it seems likely that another several hundred bills would be introduced for the additional session. This would not ease the extreme time demand placed on legislators and citizens alike, but would simply duplicate it every year. In addition, the character of our citizen legislature would be at risk because in order to serve, a legislator would have to be able to take that time off work every year. That would be impossible for many, especially those who work in the private sector.

Regular session 120 legislative days within 140 calendar days: We have *no objection* to this arrangement if it is what the members of the legislature wish to do and if it would relieve the crunch time demands on the LCB staff. It could also allow more time for legislators to review proposed amendments and feel less pressured at those times. However, it would be very important for the schedule of breaks to be established at least several weeks prior to the session so legislators and participating citizens alike could plan air fare, lodging etc.

Special session convened at call of Legislature: We *support* the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for any special session*, which is very important to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. (This provision is also found in AJR 13.)

Require executive budget 21 days before a session: The events of this session prove that you need access to the executive budget earlier than you currently receive it, in order that the LCB and legislative experts can analyze it and be on an equal footing with the Governor in evaluating the decisions for which you are responsible to the people you serve.

Limit special session to 20 days: This limit is necessary so that special sessions do not become additional sessions of unlimited duration.

AJR 13: Support

We support the Legislature having authority to call a special session through a petition signed by 2/3 of the members stating the reason for the call. This reprint *limits the topics to those set forth in the call for the special session*, which is critical to avoid a potential "mini-session" of unlimited scope. It also *limits the special sessions to 20 days*, another very important provision.