DISCLAIMER

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or library@lcb.state.nv.us.

Projected <u>Savings (4)</u> 59,271 7,380	\$66,651	Projected Savings (9) (10) 100,685 141,860 \$242,546
Projected Fees at \$40 (3) 162,400 323,040	\$485,440	Projected Mileage Fees (8) S 17,874 25,024 \$42,898
	ı	36.5 cents a mile Reimbursement (7) \$47 \$47 \$47
		Number of Jurors Beyond 65 Miles (6) 377 527
Number of Jurors (2) 1,015 2,019	3,034	% of Jurors Beyond 65 Miles (5) 3.4% 3.4%
<u>Jury Fees Paid (1)</u> FY02 (Feb - June) 221,671 FY03 (July - February) 330,420	Subtotals \$552,091	Mileage Fees Paid (1) FY02 (Feb - June) 118,559 FY03 (July - February) 166,884 Subtotals \$285,444

fnotes:

FY02 based on 5 months. FY03 through February 5,2003.

\$309,197

Potential Savings (February 2002 through February 2003)

Number of jurors who served February 4, 2002 through February 4, 2003.

Estimated amount of savings if the recommended increase in jury fees and elimination of appearance fees for two days had been in effect. Based on average number of days per jury trial (3 to 5 days). However, fees would be paid after the second day of jury selection.

Estimated percentage based on total number of jurors that report to jury duty.

Estimate based on total number of jurors that report to jury duty.

Minimum reimbursement per juror who travels 65 miles to/from the court, one way.

Estimate of the amount that would have been paid at the new rate (36.5 cents a mile) for jurors living 65 miles or beyond.

Estimate amount it would have saved if the new rate were paid, exclusively, to jurors living 65 miles or beyond.

Does not include the savings from not having to create and process checks or vouchers for citizens traveling less than 65 miles one way.

All information provided by District Court Jury Services.

EXHIBIT O Senate Committee on Judiciary

Date: 2/14 Page of 2

3/6/2003

2003 Legislative Session

Department of Finance						
	AB	SB	BDR			
Purpos The prodeparts	se urpose of this worksheet is a ment or County for the follow	to determi	ne whether there is ably bill/senate bill/b	a fiscal impact or oill draft request.	n the	
Analys 1.	sis Has the bill been discussed i Unknown.	in a previo	us Session? If so, p	rovide history.		
2.	Provide a <u>Brief</u> Background This BDR revises the proselection of jurors, and comp	ovisions ;	governing exemption	ons from jury ser	vice,	
3.	Should the County remain n	eutral, opp	oose or support (exp	lain position):		
4.	Assumptions used in arriving No fiscal impact. District Court Jury Services 2002 and February 4, 2003:	s reports to the sum ury duty. ary. ays in trial ls.	the following activing activing activing activiting act	ty between Februa	ry 4,	
·	3.4% (904) of perso the court.A few times	ns who re per year,	oned report to jury du port to jury duty live these jurors are rec ay of selection or wh	e 65 miles or more quired to stay over	night	
	This analysis does not inch and where asked to return a	ude the nu second or	mber of persons wh third day. This num	no reported to the below the liber is minimal.	court	
	See attached for a fiscal brea	akdown of	the aforementioned	information.		
	Remainder of FY 2002-03:					
	Fiscal Year 2003-04:					

C:\Documents and Settings\abednarski\Local Files\OLKC\SB73 response.doc

Fiscal Year 2004-05:

EXHIBIT O Senate Committee on Judiciary 2/14/03 Page 2 of 2 Date: