

DISCLAIMER

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or library@lcb.state.nv.us.

Department of Human Resources
Division for Aging Services
Senior Citizens' Property Tax Assistance – B/A #2363
AB 515 – Summary of Provisions

1. Simplify the existing income range schedule to provide for a more equitable distribution to eligible claimants; and provide a 100 percent rebate for taxes paid up to the \$500 cap to those eligible claimants whose income is at or below the federal poverty level for family units of one (if filing single) or two (if filing jointly). Section 1
 2. Hold harmless those eligible claimants whose income is at or below the federal poverty levels for family units of one (if filing single) or two (if filing jointly) if there are insufficient funds available to provide the full calculated rebate to all eligible claimants. Sections 1 and 9
 3. Limit the assessed value of the applicant's principal residence to \$87,500 (amended from \$70,000 by Ways and Means, May 15, 2003). Section 5
 4. Limit the value of the applicant's liquid assets to \$150,000 (amended from \$100,000 by Ways and Means, May 15, 2003). Section 5
 5. Disallow claims for applicants who own real property in other states which exceeds the assessed limitations in Nevada. Section 5
- Change the Consumer Price Index adjustment month from December to November. Section 1
- Extend the filing period from April 15th until April 30th. Section 3
- Add clean-up language to reference an exception which allows the Administrator to extend the time to file a claim in the event of a hardship. Section 3
- Add language to allow the Administrator to delegate administration of the claimant grievance process. Section 8
- Add language to allow the Administrator to delegate authority to certify claims against the Account. Section 9

Department of Human Resources
Division for Aging Services
Senior Citizens' Property Tax Assistance - B/A #2363
AB 515 - Proposed Graduated Schedule

Income Ranges for Income Year 2001	Current Refund %	Proposed Graduated % Ranges
\$0 - \$13,882	90%	100.000%
\$13,883 - \$16,177	80%	92.556%
\$16,178 - \$18,581	50%	34.171%
\$18,582 - \$20,877	25%	14.488%
\$20,878 to \$23,500	10%	10.000%

Federal Poverty Level History:

Units per Household	Income Year 2000	Income Year 2001	Income Year 2002
1 Person Household	8,590	8,860	8,980
2 Person Household	11,610	11,940	12,120

Projected Rebates for Income Year 2002 - State Fiscal Year 2004

# Of Refunds	Income Range	Current Schedule Total Refunds	Average Refund	Proposed Total Refunds	Average Refund	Difference Total Refunds	Difference Avg. Refund	Current Refund %	Proposed Percentage Range
7,929	\$0 - \$13,882	2,386,629.00	301	\$2,521,422	318	\$134,793	17	90%	100.000% - 92.557%
1,994	\$13,883 - \$16,177	761,708.00	382	\$783,642	393	\$21,934	11	80%	92.556% - 69.651%
1,747	\$16,178 - \$18,581	522,353.00	299	\$539,823	309	\$17,470	10	50%	69.650% - 34.171%
1,318	\$18,582 - \$20,877	234,604.00	178	\$241,194	183	\$6,590	5	25%	34.170% - 14.488%
917	\$20,878 - \$23,500	71,526.00	78	\$75,194	82	\$3,668	4	10%	14.487% - 10.000%
13,905		3,976,820.00	286	\$4,161,275	\$299	\$184,455	13		

*((\$10) rounding difference to projected actual of \$3,976,830

Projected Refunds for Income Year 2003 - State Fiscal Year 2005

# Of Refunds	Income Range	Current Schedule Total Refunds	Average Refund	Proposed Total Refunds	Average Refund	Difference Total Refunds	Difference Avg. Refund	Current Refund %	Proposed Percentage Range
8,330	\$0 - \$13,882	2,573,970.00	309	\$2,715,580	326		17	90%	100.000% - 92.557%
2,108	\$13,883 - \$16,177	826,336.00	392	\$847,416	402	\$21,080	10	80%	92.556% - 69.651%
1,836	\$16,178 - \$18,581	563,652.00	307	\$580,176	316	\$16,524	9	50%	69.650% - 34.171%
1,382	\$18,582 - \$20,877	252,906.00	183	\$259,816	188	\$6,910	5	25%	34.170% - 14.488%
944	\$20,878 to \$23,500	75,520.00	80	\$79,296	84	\$3,776	4	10%	14.487% - 10.000%
14,600		4,292,384.00	294	\$4,482,284	\$307		13		

**(\$16) rounding difference to projected actual of \$4,292,400

Department of Human Resources
 Division for Aging Services
 Senior Citizens' Property Tax Assistance - B/A #2363
 AB 515 - Scenarios/Existing Versus Proposed Distribution

Refunds for Income Year 2000 - State Fiscal Year 2002:

Case Scenarios	Income	Existing % Refund	Property Tax	Current Refund	Proposed Refund	Proposed % Refund	Refund Difference
Client A	\$5,808	90%	\$350	\$315	\$350	100%	\$35
Client B	\$13,678	90%	\$260	\$234	\$241	92.692%	\$7
Client C	\$13,679	80%	\$260	\$208	\$241	92.692%	\$33
Client D	\$15,940	80%	\$831	\$500	\$500	60.168%	\$0
Client E	\$15,941	50%	\$831	\$416	\$500	60.168%	\$84
Client F	\$20,571	25%	\$877	\$188	\$127	14.481%	(\$61)
Client G	\$20,572	10%	\$877	\$88	\$127	14.481%	\$39

Refunds for Income Year 2001 - State Fiscal Year 2003:

Case Scenarios	Income	Existing % Refund	Property Tax	Current Refund	Proposed Refund	Proposed % Refund	Refund Difference
Client A	\$5,732	90%	\$462	\$416	\$462	100%	\$46
Client B	\$13,882	90%	\$353	\$318	\$327	92.635%	\$9
Client C	\$13,883	80%	\$353	\$282	\$327	92.635%	\$45
Client D	\$16,177	80%	\$561	\$449	\$391	69.697%	(\$58)
Client E	\$16,178	50%	\$561	\$281	\$391	69.697%	\$111
Client F	\$20,877	25%	\$683	\$171	\$99	14.495%	(\$72)
Client G	\$20,878	10%	\$683	\$68	\$99	14.495%	\$31

Department of Human Resources
 Division for Aging Services
 Senior Citizens' Property Tax Assistance - B/A #2363
 AB 515 - Global Impact of New Schedule

Refunds for Income Year 2000 - State Fiscal Year 2002:

Number of Rebates	Impact of New Schedule	% of Total Refunds
1,222	Rebates decreased by more than \$2.	9.84%
983	Rebates decreased by more than \$10.	7.91%
632	Rebates decreased by more than \$25.	5.09%
46	Rebates decreased by more than \$100.	0.37%
8,444	Rebates increased by more than \$2.	67.98%
7,212	Rebates increased by more than \$10.	58.06%
3,231	Rebates increased by more than \$25.	26.01%
58	Rebates increased by more than \$100.	0.47%

*Total rebates = 12,422

Refunds for Income Year 2001 - State Fiscal Year 2003:

Number of Rebates	Impact of New Schedule	**% of Total Refunds
1,288	Rebates decreased by more than \$2.	9.73%
1,071	Rebates decreased by more than \$10.	8.09%
718	Rebates decreased by more than \$25.	5.42%
67	Rebates decreased by more than \$100.	0.51%
8,881	Rebates increased by more than \$2.	67.08%
7,452	Rebates increased by more than \$10.	56.28%
3,434	Rebates increased by more than \$25.	25.94%
62	Rebates increased by more than \$100.	0.47%

**Total rebates = 13,240

57

Department of Human Resources
 Division for Aging Services
 Senior Citizens' Property Tax Assistance - B/A #2363
 Projected Refunds to Claimants Whose Assessed Value is Greater than \$87,500

Fiscal Year	Apps		Total		Refunds		% of Refunds
	>87.5k	Total	Apps	% of Apps	>87.5k	Total	
FY 2000	12	13625	13625	0.09%	5,681	3,471,870	0.16%
FY 2001	14	13198	13198	0.11%	6,281	3,455,970	0.18%
FY 2002	16	13233	13233	0.12%	6,571	3,452,338	0.19%
FY 2003	23	14197	14197	0.16%	10,514	3,699,196	0.28%
FY 2004 Est					11,303	3,976,830	0.28%
FY 2005 Est						4,292,400	0.28%

FY 2005 Est	Refunds		Refunds	
	>75k	>70k	>65k	>60k
	23,883	33,321	43,054	59,471

6

Department of Human Resources
 Division for Aging Services
 Senior Citizens' Property Tax Assistance - B/A #2363
 AB 515 - Limiting Liquid Assets

* Liquid Assets (Approximate)	Clients Within Range	Cumulative Clients	Refunds for Clients Within Range	Cumulative Refunds	Average Refund	Interest & Dividend Income	Total Income	Average Income
> 900,000	2	2	201.00	201.00	100.50	> 15,750	45,518.00	22,759.00
> 850,000	4	6	961.00	1,162.00	240.25	> 14,875	81,812.00	20,453.00
> 800,000	3	9	953.00	2,115.00	317.67	> 14,000	49,919.00	16,639.67
> 750,000	3	12	333.00	2,448.00	111.00	> 13,125	63,960.00	21,320.00
> 700,000	3	15	1,310.00	3,758.00	436.67	> 12,250	42,904.00	14,301.33
> 650,000	15	30	2,718.00	6,476.00	181.20	> 11,375	302,285.00	20,152.33
> 600,000	20	50	5,396.00	11,872.00	269.80	> 10,500	370,416.00	18,520.80
> 550,000	23	73	5,863.00	17,735.00	254.91	> 9,625	414,092.00	18,004.00
> 500,000	19	92	3,478.00	21,213.00	183.05	> 8,750	380,647.00	20,034.05
> 450,000	49	141	14,467.00	35,680.00	295.24	> 7,875	855,669.00	17,462.63
> 400,000	50	191	13,580.00	49,260.00	271.60	> 7,000	891,051.00	17,821.02
> 350,000	76	267	24,121.00	73,381.00	317.38	> 6,125	1,330,127.00	17,501.67
> 300,000	115	382	34,564.00	107,945.00	300.56	> 5,250	1,954,693.00	16,997.33
> 250,000	153	535	50,413.00	158,358.00	329.50	> 4,375	2,500,894.00	16,345.71
> 200,000	187	722	61,317.00	219,675.00	327.90	> 3,500	3,043,911.00	16,277.60
> 150,000	303	1,025	99,291.00	318,966.00	327.69	> 2,625	4,764,340.00	15,723.89
> 100,000	465	1,490	150,193.00	469,159.00	323.00	> 1,750	7,324,311.00	15,751.21
	1,490		469,159.00					

* Liquid Assets were calculated by dividing the interest income by 1.75% (average return on a certificate of deposit).
 Data is from the 2001 income year with refunds paid in state fiscal year 2003.
 In FY 2005, we project 14,600 refunds. This would equate to a decrease of approximately 7% in eligible claimants.

Department of Human Resources
 Division for Aging Services
 Senior Citizens' Property Tax Assistance - B/A #2363
 AB 515 - Fiscal Impact of Proposed Eligibility Limitations

Proposed Eligibility Limitations	Existing Eligibility Limitations	*Estimated Fiscal Impact
1. Limit the assessed value of the principal residence to \$87,500.	Unlimited	A reduction in projected rebates of (\$12,200). Approximately 25 applicants would drop off the program.
2. Limit liquid assets to \$150,000	Unlimited	A reduction in projected rebates of (\$318,966). Approximately 1,025 applicants would drop off the program.
3. Disallow ownership of real property in other states which exceeds the assessed limitations in Nevada.	Property, other than the home, may not have an assessed value of more than \$30,000 in this state.	The reduction in projected rebates is unknown as this information does not reside in the database.

*Net estimated fiscal impact is a reduction of \$331,166 in rebates.