

DISCLAIMER

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or library@lcb.state.nv.us.

April 11, 2003

Anna Titus

Comments on PIC Wisconsin Suggestions for SB 122

Please consider these amendments in place of those originally suggested at first hearing on bill.

Section 1

I agree with the suggestions for managing intervener input but do not believe the commissioner should be able to exclude anyone having input as an intervener or should limit time to only fifteen minutes.

Section 2 and 3

No changes

Section 4

I agree with PIC suggestions with 2 slight modifications:

1. insert the word *claims* before experience
2. insert the words *or fraudulent* before activities

Section 5

No changes

Section 6-9

Agree

Section 10

Agree

Section 11

No changes

Section 12

Disagree. This section is important to keep in the bill.

Section 13

Agree

Section 14

Disagree. Instead eliminate Section B, page 8, lines 3-7 through *the defendant*.

Section 15

Agree. If the Commissioner needs additional staff, I would suggest funding it from revenues of Board of Medical Examiners.