

DISCLAIMER

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or library@lcb.state.nv.us.

The Howard Hughes Corporation SM

An Affiliate of THE ROUSE COMPANY



May 13, 2003

To: To: Assembly Committee on Judiciary
Honorable Bernie Anderson, Chairman
Honorable John Ocequera, Vice Chairman
Committee Members: Barbara Buckley, Jerry Claborn, Marcus Conklin, William Horne, Harry Mortenson, Genie Ohrenschall, Sharron Angle, David Brown, John Carpetner, Jason Geddes, Don Gustavson, Garn Mabey, Rod Sherer

From: Pamela Scott
Summerlin

Re: SB 100 – Proposed Amendment
Section 62, Subsection 5, page 28, lines 13 – 21

5. As used in this section:

- (a) "Costs of collecting" includes, without limitation, any collection fee, filing fee, recording fee, referral fee, fee for postage or delivery, and any other fee or cost that an association, ~~a collection agency, a community manager and any other person or entity engaged to collect a past due fine~~ may reasonably incur **charge to the units owner** for the collection of a past due fine. The term does not include any costs incurred by an association during civil action to enforce the payment of a past due fine.

The intent of this amendment is to clarify that dollar amounts outside the outlined caps cannot be charged to the units owner in the associations attempts to collect fines. Associations, collection agencies, managers, etc. have no control over the costs to record documents, postage for certified mail, charges for documents from title companies, etc. They can only control which of those costs they will pass through to the homeowner who has been fined for violations.

ASSEMBLY JUDICIARY

DATE: 5/14/03 ROOM: 3138 EXHIBIT I

SUBMITTED BY: Pam Scott