

## DISCLAIMER

Electronic versions of the exhibits in these minutes may not be complete.

This information is supplied as an informational service only and should not be relied upon as an official record.

Original exhibits are on file at the Legislative Counsel Bureau Research Library in Carson City.

Contact the Library at (775) 684-6827 or [library@lcb.state.nv.us](mailto:library@lcb.state.nv.us).

661 Northwood Dr.  
Sparks, NV 89431  
May 1, 2003

Assembly Judiciary Committee  
State Capitol #3127B  
Carson City, NV 89701

Dear Assemblyman Bernard Anderson,

I write in opposition to Senate Bill 298 which includes several provisions which appear to be inimical to the interests of both the State of Nevada and to its citizens in general and to its entrepreneurial class specifically.

I recently returned to Nevada after 18 years in California in large part to reduce my tax burden and regulatory stricture. I find the bill very disturbing.

It is well known that tax receipts are more reflective of a healthy, vigorous and growing business community than to the details of tax rate and placements.

The direct sales provision of this bill will place serious strictures upon the activity of small business owners such as Quixtar Independent Business Owners by raising the paperwork and economic threshold for Nevada Citizens wishing to improve their economic situation through this medium of internet based sales and personal direct sales. No doubt it would adversely affect other similar home based business such as Mary kay, Avon Watkins etc.

The majority of the people potentially interested in this line of business development would find the resulting \$200+ /yr fee associated with the proposed business license (we are now exempt in the absence of employees) and "business activity fees" an additional hurdle between their current circumstance and personal economic improvement.

I most strongly urge abandonment of this counter productive proposal. It seems to quite specifically target a small group of people with what appears to be a punitive tax which will in fact raise very little money. Its chief effect will be to raise barriers to Nevada citizens attempting to improve their circumstances by developing a part time home based business. We already pay substantial Nevada sales taxes and the bill in question would limit the growth of those tax receipts more that it would raise in the new tax.

No other state has chosen to stifle its entry level entrepreneurs with this burden.

Respectfully,

  
Maynard Christian & Beverly Christian

ASSEMBLY JUDICIARY

DATE: 5/5/03 ROOM: 3138 EXHIBIT H

SUBMITTED BY: M. Christian