MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS

SIXTY-FIRST SESSION NEVADA STATE LEGISLATURE February 4, 1981

The Senate Committee on Government Affairs was called to order by Chairman James I. Gibson, at 2:02 p.m., Wednesday, February 4, 1981, in Room 243 of the Legislative Building, Carson City, Nevada. Exhibit A is the Meeting Agenda. Exhibit B is the Attendance Roster.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Senator James I. Gibson, Chairman Senator Jean Ford, Vice Chairman Senator Keith Ashworth Senator Gene Echols Senator Virgil Getto Senator James N. Kosinski Senator Sue Wagner

GUEST LEGISLATORS:

Senator William J. Raggio

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:

Mr. Frank Daykin, Legislative Counsel Anne L. Lagé, Committee Secretary

SENATE BILL NO. 78

Makes various amendments to charter of City of Reno.

Mr. Ed Spoon, Reno City Councilman, explained the requested City Charter Amendments. See Exhibit C.

Senator Ford questioned the rational behind the requirement for one year residency in Sec. 3.040.2. Mr. Spoon replied that the position of City Clerk has always been appointed from those people who have worked within that office for some time.

Mr. Ron Creagh, Reno City Manager, felt that there would not be any problem deleting this reference and also the

requirement that one must be a property owner.

After further discussion by the committee, it was agreed to omit the entire section on requirements for the City Clerk (Section 3.040, No. 2. a-d). The bill was to be further amended to include Section 2050 (Topic Title No. 5), and also Section 9.270.3 (Topic Title No. 8). See Exhibit C.

Senator Ford moved "Amend and Do Pass" on <u>Senate</u> Bill No. 78.

Senator Wagner seconded the motion.

The motion carried unanimously.

Chairman Gibson assigned Senator Wagner to get the amendments and bring them back to the committee. Senator K. Ashworth reminded the committee that the City of Reno should see the amended bill prior to the committee acting on it.

SENATE BILL NO. 6

Changes provisions regarding ties and contests of certain elections.

Senator Raggio testified that this bill was a result of problems that arose in the last primary election held in Reno. A contest had been filed with the court on a very close election. Among other decisions, the court indicated that it had no jurisdiction. Senate Bill No. 6. was designed to remedy the problem in primary elections where there was a tie or contest. This bill will provide for a tie vote to be resolved by lot before the Secretary of State, or the Governor if it applies to the Secretary of State position.

Chairman Gibson inquired as to why Nevada Revised Statute No. 3.190 was being repealed. Senator Raggio felt that this was an error.

Chairman Gibson assigned this bill to the Election subcommittee to make it consistent with any other changes they may find necessary to make.

SENATE BILL NO. 41

Requires hearings on adoption of county ordinances.

Senator Getto introduced this bill as a result of a problem which was encountered in Churchill County. The following suggested changes refer to the amendment of the Nevada Revised Statute No. 244.100, part b.

Mr. Bob Sullivan, Carson River Basin, Council of Governments, testified that he felt this bill was necessary although most local governments already follow procedures similar to this bill.

Mr. Dan Fitzpatrick, Clark County, expressed concern over the phrase "within 30 days after the date of publication". He stated that this would be limiting because very often an ordinance may be discussed during several hearings before agreement occurs. He suggested to change the above mentioned phrase to say "within 30 days after the date of the final public hearing on the ordinance".

Ms. Sharon Cleary, Nevada Association of Realtors, asked if the committee would consider deleting the phrase "adoption of the ordinance", and replace it with "date set for the hearing". Ms. Cleary stated that this followed the intent of the bill to insure that adequate notice be given to the public.

Mr. Bill Curran, Clark County District Attorney's office, suggested that "l week" be changed to read "three working days" to stay consistent with the open meeting law.

Mr. Thomas E. Lee, Vice President of Pacific West Financing Corporation in Silver Springs, testified that he was in support of this bill.

Mr. Pete Kelly, Representative of the Nevada State Press Association, testified that the Nevada State Press Association was unanimously in favor of this bill.

Mr. Thomas Wixom, Nevada State Press Association and Vice President and General Manager of Carson Valley Publishing Company which publishes the Record Courier of Douglas

County, testified that he favors this bill for the following reasons:

- 1. Cities are, at present, required to do this. Citizens of the county should be afforded this same courtesy.
- 2. The existing law requires proposed ordinances to be filed with the County Clerk. This no longer is an adequate way of notifying the public.
- 3. This bill would provide notice to interested citizens enabling them to express their opinions on proposed ordinances.

After discussing the proposed amendments to <u>Senate Bill No.</u> 41, the committee agreed to amend the bill as suggested.

Senator Getto moved "Amend and Do Pass" on <u>Senate Bill</u> No. 41.

Senator K. Ashworth seconded the motion.

The motion carried unanimously.

Chairman Gibson assigned Senator Getto to get the amendments.

SENATE BILL NO. 88 AND SENATE BILL NO. 124

Clarifies requirements for reporting campaign contributions and expenses.

Mr. William D. Swackhamer, Secretary of State, testified that there was some question as to when a person should be required to start reporting campaign expenditures and contributions. This bill would specify January 1 of the election year as the date to begin reporting.

Mr. David Howard, Assistant Secretary of State, testified that Nevada Revised Statute No. 293.031 was in conflict with Nevada Revised Statute No. 294A.

Senator Kosinski explained that <u>Senate Bill No. 124</u> attempts to deal with two issues. This bill would use January 1 of the election year for reporting contributions

and expenditures for the challenger. While the incumbent would begin reporting immediately following the general election in which he was elected.

The second issue would make it necessary to report any contribution in excess of \$500 received from an individual throughout a campaign, rather than treating each reporting period separately.

Mr. Donald Classic, Deputy District Attorney for the State of Nevada, questioned treating incumbents differently from challengers. He stated that both should be treated uniformly.

Senator K. Ashworth stated that he believed January 1 to be an appropriate date to begin to declare contributions and expenditures.

Senator K. Ashworth moved that January 1 of an election year be set as the date for reporting all contributions and expenditures.

Senator Echols seconded the motion.

The motion failed to carry. (Senators Ford, Getto, Kosinski and Wagner voted "No.")

Senator Ford moved that the law be amended to say that all candidates must report all contributions and expenditures from the last general election.

Senator Wagner seconded the motion.

The motion carried. (Senators Gibson, K. Ashworth and Echols voted "No.")

Senator Ford moved that the concept on <u>Senate Bill No. 124</u> regarding contributions be reported on a cumulative basis be adopted.

Senator Kosinski seconded the motion.

The motion carried. (Senators Gibson, K. Ashworth and Echols voted "No.")

Senator Ford moved to incorporate Senate Bill No. 88 into Senate Bill No. 124.

Senator Wagner seconded the motion.

The motion carried. (Senator K. Ashworth voted "No.")

Senator Ford moved "Amend and Do Pass" on <u>Senate Bill</u> No. 124.

Senator Kosinski seconded the motion.

The motion carried. (Senators Gibson and K. Ashworth voted "No.")

Chairman Gibson assigned this bill to Senator Kosinski to get the amendments and bring back to the committee for further discussion before presenting it to the Senate floor.

SENATE BILL NO. 105

Creates new filing requirements for initiative and referendum petitions.

Mr. William Swackhamer, Secretary of State, explained that this bill would require a copy of a petition for initiative or referendum to be placed on file in the office of the Secretary of State before the petition is circulated.

Senator Wagner moved "Do Pass" on Senate Bill No. 105.

Senator Kosinski seconded the motion.

The motion carried unanimously.

SENATE BILL NO. 97

Permits use of the Nevada National Guard in search and rescue operations.

Adjutant General William Engel, Nevada National Guard, testified that the purpose of this bill was to broaden

the Governor's ability to use the National Guard in cases of emergency. It would include the use of the Guard in search and rescue operations.

Sergeant Jerry Maple, Douglas County Sheriff's Office, testified that he was in favor of this bill.

Senator K. Ashworth moved "Do Pass" on Senate Bill No. 97.

Senator Ford seconded the motion.

The motion carried unanimously.

SENATE BILL NO. 89

Deletes obsolete provisions regarding photocopy room of Secretary of State.

Mr. William Swackhamer, Secretary of State, discussed Senate Bill No. 89 which he had revised upon the request of the committee on Monday, February 2, 1981.

In Nevada Revised Statute No. 225.140 the word "written" shall be omitted. He also asked that Nevada Revised Statute Nos. 225.110 and 78.785 (9) be repealed.

Mr. Swackhamer discussed the problem of charging. The committee decided to change the existing language to allow the Secretary of State discretion on charging fees.

Senator Getto moved "Amend and Do Pass" on <a>SenateBill No. 89.

Senator K. Ashworth seconded the motion.

The motion carried unanimously.

Chairman Gibson assigned Senator Ford to handle the amendments on this bill.

There being no further business, meeting was adjourned at 5:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by:

Anne L. Lage, Secretary

APPROVED BY:

Senator James I. Gibson, Chairman

DATE: /

2/9/81

EXHIBIT A

SENATE AGENDA

Revised 1/30/81

COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Committee	on Gove	cnment Af	fairs 🧳 🥏	, Room_	243	
Day We	ednesday	, Date	February	4 , Time	2:00 p.m.	

- S. B. No. 6--Changes provisions regarding ties and contests of certain elections.
- S. B. No. 41--Requires hearings on adoption of county ordinances.
- S. B. No. 78--Makes various amendments to charter of City of Reno.
- S. B. No. 88--Clarifies requirements for reporting campaign contributions and expenses.
- S. B. No. 124--Revises provisions for reports of campaign contributions.
- S. B. No. 105--Creates new filing requirements for initiative and referendum petitions.
- S. B. No. 97--Permits use of Nevada National Guard in search and rescue operations.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS	MITTEE ON GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS
--	------------------------------

DATE: February 4, 1981

EXHIBIT B

PLEASE PRINT	PLEASE PRINT PLEASE PRINT	PLEASE PRINT
NAME	ORGANIZATION & ADDRESS	TELEPHONE
Bob Sullerian	Parson Rion Basei COG	85- H680
ED Speak	City of KENO P.O. Box 1800, REWO No	185-2018
EricAnderson	Rens Neuragnama In	786-8989
SHARON CLEARY		329-6648
Davie / Fitznatrich		731-1719
	NEUADA LIONE BULDERS	
Bill Fund	New Kylitany Dist	
GP Excheverry	No Conger of Char	882-2121
LOW CREAGO	CHY & RENO	185-20 ip
Mous Expo	P.WF.C. Lyon. Co.	897-7566
Toruse Cellson	Nev. Assn of Countres	883 7843
Due tono	Senator	
BHC urran	Clark Co. DA office	386-4765
Jerry Maple	Douglas Con Stern SF.	282-224
	•	
	 	

CITY OF RENO LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS 1981 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

EXHIBIT C

City Charter Amendments

The Reno City Council has reviewed and proposed the following modifications to the City Charter:

1. Topic Title: Sec. 1.040. Annexations (BDR No. S-287)

Existing Law: The City may annex territory by following

the procedure provided for the annexation of cities in those sections of chapter 268 of NRS, as amended from time to time, which apply to counties having a popula-

tion of less than 200,000.

Proposed Change: Amend the 200,000 population reference to

the current statutory requirements of

250,000.

Justification: To make the City Charter consistent with

provisions of NRS 268.

2. Topic Title: Sec. 1050.3. Wards: Creation; boundaries

(BDR No. S-288)

Existing Law: Ordinances establishing or changing the

boundaries of wards shall not be passed or amended until the County Clerk of Washoe County certifies that the number of registered voters in each proposed ward will not exceed the number of registered voters in any other ward by

more than 5 percent.

Proposed Change: Amend reference to County Clerk of Washoe

County to also include Washoe County

Registrar of Voters.

Justification: To anticipate this function being assumed

by a Registrar of Voters position.

Topic Title: Sec. 1090.3(a). Appointive Officers (BDR No.

S-287)

Existing Law: Provides for the City Council establish-

ment of appointive officers. Further provides that appointive officers are limited to the head of each department except "...(a) One immediate assistant for the director of public works and one immediate assistant for the airport manager".

76

ť.

Proposed Change: Delete reference to one immediate

assistant to the airport manager.

Justification: To reflect the fact that the Airport

Authority is no longer under the juris-

diction of the City.

4. Topic Title: Sec. 2010. Mayor and City Council (BDR No.

S-287)

Existing Law: Generally provides for qualifications

for Mayor and City Council, terms of

office, etc.

Proposed Change: Add subsection to require City Council

members to live in the ward from which

elected during their entire term.

Justification: To assure that Council members reside

in their ward during their entire term

of office.

5. Topic Title: Sec. 2050. Meetings: Special (BDR No. S-287)

Existing Law: 1. Special meetings may be held on call

of the mayor, city manager or by a

majority of the city council, by giving a minimum of 6 hours notice of such special meeting to each member of the

city council prior to the meeting.

Proposed Change: Amend by adding "subject to the provisions

of NRS Chapter 241,"

Justification: To assure that this Charter provision is

consistent with terms of the open meeting

law.

6. Topic Title: Sec. 3.020.2. City Manager: Duties, compensation

(BDR No. S-287)

Existing Law: The City Manager may appoint such clerical

and administrative assistants as he may deem necessary, subject to the approval

of the City Council.

Proposed Change: Delete reference to City Council approval

of City Manager appointment of clerical

personnel.

Justification: To make the City Manager clerical appoint-

ment process consistent with City Charter

Civil Service requirements.

7. Topic Title: Sec. 3.040.2(a). City Clerk: Duties; qualifications; salary (BDR No. S-287)

Existing Law: The City Clerk shall: (a) Serve for

a term of 4 years.

Proposed Change: Delete four-year term of City Clerk.

Justification: To make the City Clerk appointive at

the pleasure of City Council, which is

consistent with other appointive

positions.

8. <u>Topic Title</u>: Sec. 9.270.3 Civil Service Commission - Appeals to Commission (BDR No.)

Existing Law: City Charter currently requires all

hearings on appeals to Civil Service

to be transcribed.

Proposed Change: Delete reference to mandatory transcrip-

tion.

Justification: Transcription need not be required for

all appeals; this is also to achieve

cost savings.