Minute the Nevada State Legislature

Assemb. committee on YS AND MEANS

Date: May 24, 1981, 3:00 p.m. Session

Page: One

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Chairman Bremner

Vice Chairman Hickey

Mr. Bergevin Mr. Brady Mr. Coulter Mr. Glover Mrs. Hayes Mr. Horn

Mr. Marvel Mr. Rhoads Mr. Robinson

Mr. Vergiels Mrs. Westall

ALSO PRESENT:

Bill Bible, Fiscal Analyst; Judy Matteucci, Deputy Fiscal Analyst; Mike Alastuey, Deputy Budget Director.

Chairman Bremner called the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m.

Requires sprinkler systems for fire protection in SB 214 hotels and requires fire codes in counties and cities.

Mr. Tom Huddleston, State Fire Marshall, stated that the Board that is called for in the bill will require 1 staff position, and 1 clerical position. He stated that he has requested 2 Deputy State Fire Marshalls to implement the surveys and enforcement of the bill, and 2 plans checkers to take care of the volume of plans anticipated.

Chairman Bremner asked if the requested 2 Deputy Fire Marshalls are in addition to those already provided in the budget. Mr. Huddleston stated that they are in addition, and with the 12 month limitation placed in the bill to accomplish the surveys of the property, the 2 additional deputies are needed to meet this deadline.

Mr. Joe Dini commented on the differences between the original **★**SB 505 and SB 214.

Provides for increases in certain fees in Department of SB 80 Motor Vehicles

Ms. Sharon P. Alcamo of the Driver's License Division of the Department of Motor Vehicles, addressed the committee with Her comments are contained in EXHIBIT A. respect to SB 80.

Creates an audit subcommittee of the Legislative SB 427 Commission and provides procedures for the audit of federal grant activities.

Mr. John Crosley, Legislative Auditor, stated that SB 427 proposes to establish an audit subcommittee of the Legislative Commission to monitor the requests for audits from grantee agencies of the state and determine the extent of involvement of the Legislative Auditor. He said the purpose of this approach is to run a pilot project for the next two years with limited resources in order to eliminate problems and coordinate the federal audit with the ongoing state audit program through the Legislative Commission and Legislative Auditor. The next legislature could then decide whether the Legislative Auditor should make the program comprehensive. He indicated the bill requires the Legislative Auditor to seek federal reimbursement

8769

Minut the Nevada State Legislature

Assem Committee on AYS AND MEANS

Date: May 24, 1981, 3:00 p.m. Session

Page: Page Two

for all audits and appropriates \$50,000 in state funds to match those moneys and provide resources for the pilot project. He said the Legislative Auditor is required to submit to the audit subcommittee before the 1983 Legislative Session an estimate of the cost of complying with the federal audit requirements for the 1983-85 biennium so that the legislature can determine its involvement.

Mr. Crosley distributed a handout to the committee, a permanent copy of which is on file with the Office of Fiscal Analysis.

STATE PARK PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

Mr. John Meder, Administrator of State Parks, stated that a major concern over the action taken on the State Park Planning and Development budget exists in the portion of the budget that is responsible for the carrying out of the capital construction program. He stated that with the loss of the funds as presently cut from the budget, they will not be able to qualify for matching funds from the federal government. He indicated that \$80,000 has been cut from the budget during the first year but the actual effect of this cut is \$124,000 because of the loss of federal funds.

Mr. Meder stated that with the loss of staff that has been cut from the budget, they will be unable to meet the SCORP requirements and they will lose their certification and will be no longer eligible to receive SCORP funds into the State of Nevada.

Mr. Bergevin stated that it does not seem rational to expend \$79,000 just to save \$74,000 in federal funds. Mr. Meder stated that in addition to these funds they are able to qualify for other federal funds which average about \$2,000,000 annually.

Mr. Robinson asked about inhouse work on SCORP and how practical it has been in the past. He pointed out that in the past outside help had to be hired to bring the SCORP reports up to an acceptable standard for Federal approval. Mr. Meder said that the Senate is recommending no funding for the state portion of the fund this year and the House of Representatives in their committee actions recommended funding. He said there is a possibility that funding will be coming through.

Mrs. Westall questioned the loss of maintenance personnel in the Lincoln County Park facility. Mr. Meder said they foresee no problems and anticipate that they will be able to do the work required with the personnel as proposed.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL BUREAU BUDGET

Mr. Arthur Palmer, Director of the Legislative Council Bureau, distributed a handout to the committee, a permanent copy of which is on file with the Office of Fiscal Analysis.

Mr. Palmer said that the combined budgetary requests of the Legislative Council Bureau reflect a 10 and 1/2 percent increase. He stated that the Legislative Commission's budget is up 66.7 percent. He said General Services budget is up 19.6 percent, Legal Division is down 7 percent, Audit Division is up 37.6 percent, Research and Fiscal are both up 16 percent.

Chairman Bremner stated that salaries are not included in the figures as presently being discussed for the committee. Mr. Palmer stated that the figures include only a contingency for salaries for the unclassified personnel; the salaries for others are not included.

Mr. Glover asked if the Commission had given any consideration to taking the present budget and cutting it 10 percent as all other departments had done.

(Committee Minutes)

Minut the Nevada State Legislature
Assem Committee on YS AND MEANS

Date: May 24, 198
Page: Page Three

Mr. Palmer stated that the budget was cut by a special committee of the commission but there was no overall across-the-board cut.

Mr. Marvel asked how many studies have been funded during the iterim. Mr. Palmer stated that of the concurrent resolution, none have been endorsed by both houses.

Service Division

Mr. Palmer stated that an attempt to place a realistic projection in the budget for repair and maintenance was seriously considered. He stated that the budget has been previously over expended due to the fact that the building is now over 10 years old and a lot of the equipment is now beginning to break down.

Mr. Palmer addressed the maintenance costs for the elevators. Mr. Robinson asked if the company that services the elevators comes by on a regular basis or an on call basis. Mr. Palmer stated that they come by about twice a month and also they respond on an on call basis. He added that additional charges are levied by the company for elevator service if the service call is an evening call. He stated that the maintenance contract is up in June and has been placed out to bid.

Mr. Glover asked why a seasonal janitor is employed. Mr. Palmer stated that when the Legislative Session is dismissed the building is still used by various state agencies and there is a need for janitorial services.

Mr. Robinson stated that perhaps the agencies using the building during the off session years should be billed for the use to help defray the costs of employing janitorial services and added security. Mr. Palmer stated that if added security is employed for any reason, the agencies are charged for the added costs.

Mr. Glover asked how much money was expended on the drip irrigation system. Mr. Palmer stated that the system has been operating since it was put in but does not show in the budget being presented at this time. He added that the system cost between \$10,000 and \$12,000. Mr. Palmer stated that the drip system has been very beneficial, although there have been some problems, it has cut down on costs.

Chairman Bremner stated that a subcommittee is going to be appointed consisting of himself, Mr. Glover and Mr. Marvel. He stated that the subcommittee will go through the budget and will report to the committee with recommendations.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:30 p.m.



DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

555 WRIGHT WAY

CARSON CITY, NEVADA 89711 DRIVER'S LICENSE DIVISION (702) 885-5360

T0:

CHAIRMAN BREMNER

ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS

FROM:

There Olland SHARON P. ALCAMO, CHIEF DRIVER'S LICENSE DIVISION

SUBJECT: AMENDMENT TO SB 80

It is necessary to make the following deletions in SB 80 to remove wording from two more subsections to fully eliminate any reference to power cycles. The wording to be deleted is as follows:

486.161.3 Any person who has been issued a driver's license without having the authority to drive a motorcycle [or power cycle] endorsed thereon shall, before driving a motorcycle, [as defined in NRS 486.041,] successfully pass a driving test conducted by the department [, pay a fee of \$2] and have [such] the authority endorsed upon [such] his license.

486.161.4 [4. As used in this section, "power cycle" means every motor vehicle equipped with a seat or saddle for the use of the driver designed to travel on not more than three wheels in contact with the ground and propelled by a motor of 70 cc. displacement or less which produces 6 1/2 horsepower or less.]

Thank you for your assistance.

0
P
Les .
**

	CURRENT FEES TYPES OF LICENSES	CURRENT		ANTICIP 81-82 V		FEES ENERATED	ANTICIPATED 82-83 VOLUM		FEES GENERATED	
	Original license (70 yrs.) Original license Renewal (70 yrs.) 5.5% Renewal Duplicate/Change Motorcycle Endorsement Reinstatement	3 6 3 6 2 3 5		8 72,8 11,3 194,8 92,7 6,6 3,3	38 12 1 69 33	2,505 437,046 34,014 168,872 185,538 19,899 16,550	880 76,744 7,665 131,702 97,778 6,991 3,488		2,640 460,464 22,995 790,212 195,556 20,973 17,440	8 H
)	I. D. Card Original I. D. Card Original (70 yrs.) I. D. Card (Duplicate) TOTAL	6 3 2			28 30	19,746 : 384 1,060 .885,614	3,468 134 558 329,408	-	20,808 402 1,116 \$1,532,606	•.
)	PROPOSED FEES TYPES OF LICENSES		GESTED AME PROPOSED	NDMENT	ANTICIPATED 81-82 VOLUME	FEES GENERATED	ANTICIPATED 82-83 VOLUME		FEES GENERATED	
	Original license (70 yrs.) Original license Renewal (70 yrs.) Renewal Duplicate/Change Motorcycle Endorsement Reninstatement		3 10 3 7 3 3		835 72,841 11,338 194,812 92,769 6,633 3,310	2,505 728,410 34,014 1,363,684 278,307 19,899 49,650	880 76,744 7,665 131,702 97,778 6,991 3,488		2,640 767,440 22,995 921,914 293,334 20,973 52,320	
}	I.D. Cars Original I.D. Card Original (70 yrs.) I.D. Card (Duplicate)		10 3 3	3	3,291 128 530	32,910 384 1,590	3,468 134 558		34,680 402 1,674	
=		8			386,487	2,511,353	329,408		2,118,372	ja:
) -	,			FY	/ 81-82	FY 82-83	+ OR - 81-82	BUDGET	82-83	,:
	DRIVER'S LICENSE DIVISION BUDG REVENUE GENERATED WITH CURRENT REVENUE GENERATED WITH SB80			1,8	003,132 885,614 511,353	1,914,13 1,532,60 2,118,37	06 -117,51		-381,524 +204,242	

^{*} Each fee includes \$1 for the photo license fee, except the reinstatement fee.



DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

555 WRIGHT WAY

CARSON CITY. NEVADA 89711 DRIVER'S LICENSE DIVISION

May 24, 1981

T0:

FROM:

ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE SHARON P. ALCAMO, CHIEF, DRIVER'S LICENSE DIVISION NATIONAL DRIVER'S LICENSE FEES AND TERMS OF LICENSES

SUBJECT:

FIFTY (50) STATES AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

The national average fee charged for a driver's license is: Original

9.90 per license*

Renewa1

Duplicate

Reinstatement -

8.79 per license*
2.31 per license
14.35 (Average for the 26 states which charge

reinstatement fees.)

*In order to calculate the national fee average, we prorated the fee based on a four year term of license to make it consistent with Nevada.

The following is an individual breakdown by state of the fees and terms of license:

STATE ORIGINAL PRORATED RENEWAL PRORATED DUPLICATE LICENSE REINSTATEMENT Alabama \$ 10.00 \$ 10.00 \$ 1.50 4 years \$ 25.00 Alaska 5.00 4.00 5.00 4.00 2.00 5 years 5.00 Arizona 5.00 6.68 5.00 6.68 4.00 3 years 10.00 Arkansas 13.00 13.00 2.00 4 years -0-			3		7		TERM OF	
Alaska 5.00 4.00 5.00 4.00 2.00 5 years 5.00 Arizona 5.00 6.68 5.00 6.68 4.00 3 years 10.00 Arkansas 13.00 13.00 2.00 4 years -0-	STATE	ORIGINAL	PRORATED	RENEWAL	PRORATED	DUPLICATE		REINSTATEMENT
Alaska 5.00 4.00 5.00 4.00 2.00 5 years 5.00 Arizona 5.00 6.68 5.00 6.68 4.00 3 years 10.00 Arkansas 13.00 13.00 2.00 4 years -0-	41abama	\$ 10.00	\$.	\$ 10.00	\$	\$ 1.50	4 years	\$ 25.00
Arizona 5.00 6.68 5.00 6.68 4.00 3 years 10.00 Arkansas 13.00 13.00 2.00 4 years -0-	Alaska	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00			•
Arkansas 13.00 13.00 2.00 4 years -0-	Arizona *	5.00	6.68	5.00	6.68			
				13.00	12	2.00		
	California	3.25	8 8	3.25		1.25	4 years	
Colorado 5.50 5.50 5.00 4 years 20.00				5.50		5.00		
Connecticut 7.50 21.00 3.00 4 years 10.00						3.00	4 years	
Delaware 10.00 10.00 2.00 4 years 15.00						2.00	4 years	15.00
Dist. of Columbia 12.00 12.00 2.00 4 years 10.00						2.00	4 years	10.00
Florida 9.50 6.50 2.00 4 years 25.00							4 years	25.00
Georgia 6.50 6.50 1.50 4 yeara 10.00	_						4 yeara	10.00
Hawaii 4.00 4.00 1.00 4 years -0-							4 years	-0-
Idaho 7.00 9.33 7.00 9.33 3.00 3 years -0-							3 years	-0-
Illinois 8.00 10.66 8.00 10.66 3.00 3 years 8.00			10.66		10.66		3 years	8.00
Indiana 6.00 6.00 3.00 4 years -0-							4 years	-0-
Iowa 10.00 10.00 2.00 4 years 15.00							4 years	15.00
Kansas 6.00 6.00 1.00 4 years -0-							4 years	-0-
Kentucky 4.00 8.00 4.00 8.00 2.00 2 years 5.00							2 years	
Louisiana 3.50 7.00 3.50 7.00 1.50 2 years 15.00			7.00		7.00	1.50	2 years	15.00
Maine 10.00 10.00 2.00 4 years 10.00							4 years	10.00
Maryland 15.00 6.00 6.00 4 years -0-							4 years	-0-
Massachusetts 13.00 10.00 3.50 4 years -0-							4 years	-0-
Michigan 7.50 6.00 1.50 4 years -0-							4 years	
Minnesota 10.50 10.50 2.00 4 years 2.50			• • • •					2.50
Mississippi 5.00 10.00 5.25 10.50 1.25 2 years -0-	Mississippi	5.00	10.00	5.25	10.50	1.25	2 years	-0-

STATE	ORIGINAL	PRORATED	RENEWAL	PRORATED	DUPLICATE	TERM OF LICENSE	REINSTATEMENT
Missouri Montana	\$ 3.00 8.00	\$ 4.00	\$ 3.00 8.00	\$ 4.00	\$ 3.00 1.00	3 years 4 years	-0- -0-
Nebraska	7.00	381	7.00	g (f	2.00	4 years	25.00
Nevada New Hampshire	6.00 12.00	8 .	6.00 12.00	50	2.00	4 years	5.00
New Jersey	8.00	16.00	8.00	16.00	2.00 3.00	4 years 2 years	-0- -0-
New Mexico	5.25	10.50	5.25	10.50	1.25	2 years	-0-
New York North Carolina	13.00	*	8.00	1000°	3.00	4 years	-0-
North Dakota	4.00 8.00		4.00 8.00		1.00 1.00	4 years	15.00
Ohio	5.00		5.00		1.00	4 years	8.00
Oklahoma	9.00	18.00	7.00	14.00	2.00	4 years 2 years	-0- 25.00
Oregon	9.00		9.00		3.00	4 years	25.00
Pennsylvania Rhode Island	20.00 13.00	26.00	20.00	36.00	5.00	4 years	· -O-
South Carolina	4.00	20.00	8.00 4.00	16.00	1.00 4.00	2 years	-0-
South Dakota	6.00		6.00		3.00	4 years 4 years	· -0- 25.00
Tennessee Texas	6.00	12.00	6.00	12.00	2.00	2 years	-0-
Utah	7.00 5.00		7.00		1.00	4 years	-0-
Vermont	18.00	36.00	5.00 8.00	16.00	3.00 2.00	4 years	-0-
Virginia	9.00		9.00	10.00	3.00	2 years 4 years	-0- 25.00
Washington West Virginia	10.00	20.00	7.00	14.00	3.50	2 years	10.00
Wisconsin	5.00 8.50	17.00	5.00	0.00	1.00	4 years	-0-
Wyoming	2.50	17.00	4.00 2.50	8.00	2.00 2.50	2 years	18.50
					2.30	4 years	-0-



DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

555 WRIGHT WAY
CARSON CITY, NEVADA 89711
DRIVER'S LICENSE DIVISION

May 24, 1981

T0:

ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE

FROM:

SHARON P. ALCAMO, CHIEF, DRIVER'S LICENSE DIVISION

SUBJECT: COST OF PRODUCING A LICENSE

The direct cost of producing a license is \$6.59 per license; the combined indirect and direct costs of producing one are \$7.40.

The following is a description of the method as well as the actual figures used in computing the cost. It is based on fiscal year 1979-80 and addresses the following three areas:

- Total of all direct and indirect costs associated with the administration of the Driver's License Division during fiscal year 1979-80.
- II. Percentage of time and resources allocated to the issuance of driver's licenses and other Divisional responsibilities.
- III. Total number of licenses issued for all classes including originals, renewals, duplicates, changes, and identification cards for fiscal year 1979-80.

I. DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS:

DIV	ISION/SERVICE PROVIDED/PERSON	% DLD	ANNUAL SALARY/COST	INDIRECT FOR DLD	COST	DIRECT COST FOR DLD
Α.	Driver's License Division Budget (FY 1979-80)	100%	1,618,486	at	e ²²	1,618,486
В.	Director's Office Director Secretary Deputy Director Deputy Director's Secretary Assistant to the Director Hearings Officer	12% 10% 10% 10% 15%	71	4,431 1,679 2,479 1,534 3,995	340	s s
	Hearings Officer's Secretary	90% 90%		12,628		

7			1	C=0	
DIVI	SION/SERVICE PROVIDED/PERSON	% DLD	ANNUAL SALARY/COST	INDIRECT COST FOR DLD	DIRECT COST FOR DLD
	Deputy Attorney General Northern Deputy Attorney General Southern Legal Secretary	30% 30% 70%	27,170 27,958 15,345	8,151 8,387 10,741	•
	Personnel Officer Training Officer Personnel Technician Personnel Clerk	20% 20% 15% 15%	26,638 22,114 16,796 11,763	5,327 4,422 2,519 1,764	e :
C.	Administrative Services Division (Driver's License Division costs)		ere i	a e	
	Salaries Operating (Space, Telephone, Mail, etc.)	8		56,962	210,716
D.	Automation Division (Driver's License Division costs)	14 A	an an		=
	Salaries Computer Facility (including		e = =	108,302	
	storage) Raytheon Mini-Computer Hardware Microwave Phone lines Microfiche Printed Forms				125,000 99,960 4,000 1,100 24,000 3,605
-			TOTAL	256,202	2,086,867

II. PERCENTAGE ALLOCATION:

The following is a breakdown by section of the percentage of staffing, money, and resources allocated to the issuance of driver's licenses versus other Divisional responsibilities.

SECTION

Division Administration
Examining Bureau
Special Programs Eureau
License Withdrawal Section
Safety Responsibility Section
Documents Section
TOTAL PERCENTAGE
ALLOCATION

PERCENT ALLOCATION OF	
DRIVER'S LICENSE	OTHER
ISSUANCE	RESPONSIBILITIES
1% 67%	1%
	1%
	· 7%
	10%
7%	6%
75%	25%

III. TOTAL TRANSACTIONS:

The total number of driver's licenses and identification cards for FY 1979-80 were as follows:

Originals Renewals	59,715
Duplicates	71,809 4,539
Changes	74,178
Endorsements	5,689
Instruction Permits	14,699
Original Identification Cards	5,919
Duplicate Identification Cards	
Changed Identification Cards	910
TOTAL TRANSACTIONS	237,515

(I) TOTAL DIRECT COST	(11)	% DRIVER'S LI ISSUANCE	ICENSE	(III) TOTAL TRANSACTIONS		AVERAGE COST PER LICENSE
\$2,086,867 X		.75	•	237,515	=	\$6.59
(I) TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT COST	(II)	% DRIVER'S L ISSUANCE		(III) TOTAL TRANSACTIONS	<u>.</u>	AVERAGE COST PER LICENSE
\$2,343,069	X ::	.75	20 <u>0</u>	237,515	=	\$7.40

As previously stated, the cost of producing a license is based on FY 79-80. This fiscal year was chosen because it was the most current year from which we could take the actual cost of operating as well as the actual activity which occurred. However, for each subsequent year a minimum of at least %12 inflationary cost should be added. It would increase the cost as follows:

YEAR	FEE
1980-81	\$ 8.29
1981-82	9.28
1982-83	10.39

Name
Jom Huddleston

Bo B G-Agnier

Sohn Crossley

Arthur Palmer

Je Dini

Slewow Planco

Marke Back

John Chardsen

John Mark

Robon & D. Westengeref

Representing

Hade Sine Maishell

SNEA

LCB - Andth

Logislative Counsel Bureau

asserblyman Dest 58

Donv- Dnivers Diceis & Division

MAA

Dio of State Parks

Dept. of Conservation