

3/17/81
Page 1

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Chairman Bremner
Vice Chairman Hickey
Mr. Bergevin
Mr. Brady
Mr. Coulter
Mr. Glover
Mrs. Hayes
Mr. Horn
Mr. Marvel
Mr. Robinson
Mr. Rhoads
Mr. Vergiels
Mrs. Westall

OTHERS PRESENT:

Bill Bible, Fiscal Analyst; Judy Matteucci, Deputy Fiscal Analyst; Mike Alastuey, Deputy Budget Director; (SEE ATTACHED GUEST LIST).

Chairman Bremner called the meeting to order at 8:00 a.m.

SUPREME COURTAdministrative Office of the Courts

Justice Springer commented that the agency has managed the judicial education program, seminars, intern programs, federal grants, and provided administrative assistance throughout the court system.

Mr. Glover asked about the large salary increase for the deputies and assistant deputies. Justice Springer stated that the top staff officer within the system has been receiving less salary than some staff attorneys and some comparable positions in the executive or legislative branch of government. He pointed out that the Administrative Office is planned for reorganization, and a raise is justified as compared with top staff officials in the Governor's Office.

Chairman Bremner asked if the Supreme Court is going to follow the salaries that will be set by the Legislature or will they set their own salaries. Mr. Brown, Administrative Officer of the Courts, stated they will follow the salaries set by the Legislature.

Mr. Glover asked who the salaries are being compared with. Justice Springer stated that the figures were obtained from the Governor's Executive Budget. He added that the top staff positions in the Governor's Office are pretty much in line with the present requests of the budget.

Mr. Glover asked how many positions are requested to be transferred from federal support. Mr. Mike Brown stated that one position is scheduled for transfer. He added that it is the position of Judicial Planner which was supported by LEAA grant and the Judicial Council.

Mr. Glover asked what the justification was for that and why it should be funded from the General Fund now. Mr. Brown stated that the planning and research function that this person performs is valuable for the office. The research abilities would be needed and other positions have been cut to make room for this person to come on line and pick up additional duties and still maintain the planning function.

Mr. Glover asked what the duties are of the Judicial Council. Mr. Brown stated that the largest responsibility is to sit as a governing body for the court system, but in addition they are responsible for court reform, court improvements, legislation, uniform records, uniform traffic citations, personnel accounting systems. He added that the Council is made up of judges who design, study and implement the items mentioned above.

3/17/81
Page 2

Mr. Glover asked if there were any real major accomplishments of the Judicial Council during the last biennium. Mr. Brown stated that the Judicial Council had a great input on the first bench book which is in every justice and municipal court in the state. He stated the Council is presently working on, and it will soon implement, a uniform register of actions at the district court level. He added that they did a great amount of study on uniform traffic citations and this procedure was tested in Carson City and Lyon County and was well received by law enforcement individuals and the courts. He added that there will be legislation introduced to implement the system statewide, which will result in a substantial savings for courts and local governments.

Mr. Glover asked how many civil cases are in the courts. Mr. Brown stated that during the last year, the accumulation of this information has been on a voluntary basis and a Supreme Court order is being drafted to make it mandatory to collect this information. He added they do have filings, dispositions and a pending civil, criminal, and domestic case tabulation. He said actual areas have not been studied because they haven't received the information from the courts. He added that by July 1, 1981, this will become mandatory.

Mr. Glover asked if these statistics were required to be published for the general public. Mr. Brown stated they are not required at this time; however, they are putting together an interim report which will contain a general overview of these statistics. He said this is a start and will become better developed as they proceed.

Mr. Glover asked what the duties of the accountant are. Mr. Brown stated that the accountant does all the accounting for the Supreme Court, the Administrative Office of the Courts, each of the 8 or 10 budgets they have, and the judicial education budgets for all the judges.

Mr. Glover asked what the turnover has been in the accounting positions. Mr. Brown stated they have had five different employees in approximately 3 and a half years, and they have had 3 people in the administrative assistant position in the last 2 years. Mr. Brown stated that the increases in salaries as requested are not quite so significant but the position has been upgraded to hopefully encourage the people filling the positions to keep the job. Mr. Glover asked if those leaving the positions in the past had stated their reasons for leaving. Mr. Brown stated that he did not know their reasons for leaving.

Mr. Glover asked what the systems analyst is needed for to implement the uniform register of actions systems in Douglas County. Mr. Brown stated that it takes 3 basic filing documents at the district court level and puts them into a small computer. He said all reports from the court as well as the court system statistics that the AOC is supposed to collect, are generated from these entries. He added that it reduces filings of paper and increases the speed tremendously. He said the systems analyst will go into the Douglas County Court for 2 or 3 months, do the systems work unique to Douglas County, implement the program there, train their people, run the program on a test basis, and as soon as it is effective and working to the satisfaction of Douglas County Court Officials, they will move to the next court.

Mr. Glover asked if there was somebody on line for that position at this time. Mr. Brown stated they have a person working in the computer room at Dynatech, Andrea Hinez, who will probably be brought over to fill the position at its inception.

Mr. Glover asked why CDP was not going to be used instead of their own system. Mr. Brown stated that experiences in the past with CDP indicate that it would not be a financial savings to use CDP. He stated that CDP was contacted in the recent past by Mr. Brown's predecessor, and the estimate from CDP to put in a system such as this was \$40,000 to \$50,000. Mr. Glover asked what the estimated cost is for the court to do their own system. Mr. Brown stated that it will be a maximum of \$25,000, of which the counties will pick up most of this cost; and the cost for the state will be \$17,000 plus merit increases forever.

Page 3
3/17/81

Mr. Glover asked what the reason is for staff attorneys. Justice Springer stated that these attorneys are needed because of the large amount of work that it does through AOC that is of a legal nature and requires this expertise. Justice Springer stated that he is of the opinion at this time that someone from the legal staff could be placed on call to handle the legal needs of the Administrative Office of the Courts.

Mr. Glover asked what type of courses are offered through the continuing education program. Mr. Brown stated that the bulk of the money is tied up at the judicial college in Reno. He added that some courses are offered in court administration, managing delay in the courts, and calendaring. He stated they would like to send their staff to the college to attend these courses and get fresh ideas from the programs.

Chairman Bremner asked if the existing Deputy Director position is really needed, since the request indicates that it may not be necessary. Mr. Brown stated that they can live without the position but they would rather not. He said the Deputy Director has served in the last year and a half in a budget analyst role, which is a good function, but it can be completed by other staff members. He added that the person presently filling the position has recently written three chapters on a financial accounting handbook to try to bring the courts into some order of accounting standards. He said he would like to have economic forecasting done by this position, but if it is not approved, these functions just won't be done.

Mr. Glover asked if there is legislation pending asking for an increase in judge's salaries. Justice Springer stated there is none in at this time. He said there will be a bill submitted asking for salary increase to keep judges in line with other unclassified employees.*

Judge Guinan, District Judge, addressed the committee and stated that a bill has been drafted to request a salary increase for district judges.† He said it is designed to compensate judges for other functions such as sitting with the Supreme Court, acting as circuit judges, and sitting on the Board of County Law Library Trustees. He stated it is designed to keep them up with the increases in the cost of living, and it has no request for increase in real salary. He pointed out that salary plus the additional compensation would amount to approximately \$59,000 per year per judge.

Chairman Bremner pointed out that a salary package of this type would be effective immediately if adopted for judges. He stated that it would circumvent the rule that judges cannot receive salary increases during their time of office. Judge Guinan stated that this is correct and they would not receive a salary increase until 1985 if they do not approach the matter from the angle of compensation for other duties.

Mr. Glover asked how many cases have been processed during the last biennium. Ms. Jane Nelson, Administrator of the Court Legal Staff, addressed the committee and stated that total filings in 1979 were 895 and in 1980 were 726. She said of those in 1979, 214 were writs and petitions, appeals were 681; writ and petitions in 1980 were 214 and appeals 512. She added that pretrial appeals in 1979 were 230, and 1980 there were 32. She stated other criminal appeals in 1979 were 194 and in 1980, 207; civil appeals 257 in 1979 and 273 in 1980.

Chairman Bremner asked why the state-owned building rent figure had increased. Mr. Brown stated the figures were received last fall from Building and Grounds and were just plugged in as they were received. Chairman Bremner asked that the subcommittee check into this.

Mr. Glover asked for a justification for the increase in the out-of-state travel funds and would there be a need to request additional funds. Mr. Brown stated they would ask for a rework program of existing funds to shift money into the travel category if necessary.

*SB592

† S.B. 442

(Committee Minutes)

*as BDR 1-1001

†as BDR 1-1330

972

3/17/81
Page 4

Mr. Glover asked why dues and registrations have been increased by \$20,000. Justice Springer stated that this represents dues that are payable to the National Center for State Courts, which apparently the previous Justice made a commitment to the National Center for these funds. He added that this is a research organization that assists state courts in streamlining procedures. He stated the reason the dues have increased so much is because they were previously funded federally and now the company is looking to the states for compensation because they have lost federal funds. He said it is a question of how binding the commitment is and he added that he does not see where they will receive \$20,000 worth of services from this organization.

Mr. Glover asked what furniture is going to be bought with the requested funds for the Chief Justice's office. Justice Springer stated that the office is in need of new carpeting and drapes. He said he will utilize the furniture that was there and does not plan to purchase new. Mr. Brown added that the request can be reduced because they do not plan to purchase new furniture. He added that he will get some bids on carpet and drapes for the subcommittee.

Mr. Glover asked for information on the legal education seminars. Mr. Brown stated these seminars are utilized by the central staff in the court and are attended at various universities year around. He added that making these programs available to staff attorneys will encourage them to continue their professional education and growth and stay on as employees of the courts.

Mr. Glover asked how many meetings were held by the judicial commissions in 1979-80. Mr. Brown stated the Discipline Commission held 4, the Selection Commission 1.

Mr. Glover asked for information on the retired justice's retirement funds and who the judges sat for. Mr. Brown stated that he did not have the information but would supply it to the committee in a couple of days.

Mr. Glover asked why some judges were compensated when they did not actually sit in trials. Mr. Brown stated that these judges were working in chambers writing opinions and closing cases.

Chairman Bremner asked why positions under judicial education were being transferred to AOC. Mr. Brown stated this is because the positions were created and were paid for by the cities and counties when the program was being implemented. He added now that the program is functional and working smoothly; there is no longer the need for the additional staff. He said the positions are not being transferred, but are being eliminated.

Mr. Bible asked if some of the functions are being supported by county and city money or will they be asking for general funds. Mr. Brown stated there is no general fund request for education and they do not intend to bill out staff time.

Mr. Hickey asked if there is a means of having the justices and district court judges work outside their court duties, say in private practice to supplement their incomes. Justice Springer stated there is a statutory prohibition against this type of activity on the part of judges.

Mr. Glover asked if there was any pending legislation on the retirement fund area. Justice Springer stated there is some planned. Mr. Brown added that they have a first draft, and as soon as it is cleaned up and reviewed by the judges, it will be submitted.

Mr. Robinson asked if the retirement program is managed by PERS. Mr. Brown stated that it is not and the funds come from appropriations from the General Fund; there are no contributions made by the judges.

3/17/81
Page 5

Justice Springer addressed the request in the Law Library for a computerized research system and strongly urged that this be approved. He added that this system will greatly speed the legal research; it is thorough and accurate and would be an asset to the Law Library.

Ms. Catherine Finnegan, Law Librarian, stated that the \$3,000 in Other Equipment is for a terminal and a printer and is a one time expense.

Chairman Bremner asked why the wide range of figures in the Operating Supply line item. Ms. Finnegan stated that \$82,000 under Instructional Supplies is needed to set up a new set of general ledger numbers.

Mr. Glover asked the reason for the huge increase in this line item. Ms. Finnegan stated that the \$154,000 has in it \$62,000 worth of microfiche which is over and above the regular operating book budget; she said this is only because the library is running out of shelf space. She pointed out that this is to buy session laws for all 50 states in a microfiche form.

Mr. Glover asked what savings is realized by using microfiche, Ms. Finnegan stated that a card of microfiche from CCH cost \$4 per card as opposed to \$20 to \$30 per book. She stated that much of the materials they have presently in the library were acquired on an exchange basis from other states and would have to be offered back to those states. She added if the other states do not want the materials, then the microfiche company would probably make them a trade-in offer.

Ms. Finnegan stated that the University has indicated that they would make an offer to buy whatever books were converted to microfiche, so it is possible that they may receive some revenue of this type. She added that perhaps equipment will be offered instead of cash, such as microfiche cabinets.

Committee Bill Introduction

Chairman Bremner asked the committee to introduce several bills, of the following topics: *make an appropriation for drafts for executive agencies in judiciary for the 61st session; †change the name and increase the amount of funds administered by the Purchasing Division of the Department of General Services; †centralizes inventory of assets of service state agencies; #allocation of funds to help industries in White Pine and Lyon Counties, i.e., Kennedy Skylights; ^appropriation for repairs of roofs to various state buildings; **provide for reversion of money appropriated for punch card vote recording system; ††capital improvements for the Department of Military; †direct the sale of certain parcel of state land with the funds to go to the General Fund; ##remove the limitation on salaries of classified state employees based on salaries of intermediate supervisors, i.e., elimination of the 95 percent rule.

Mr. Vergiels moved and Mr. Robinson seconded the motion to introduce the bills and refer them back to committee for study. The motion carried unanimously.

SB 377

Chairman Bremner stated that this bill appropriates money for the state General Fund to the Legislature. Mr. John Crossley, Legislative Auditor, stated that this is the second appropriation to be made for the support of the Legislature during session. He said this appropriation will carry the Legislature through the 1st of May.

Mr. Glover asked what it costs to run the session per day. Mr. Crossley stated this is done in the biennial report and for the last biennium, it ran approximately \$17,000 per day, depending on the length of the session.

* A.B.359 # A.B.354 † A.B.351

† A.B.358 ^ A.B.353 †† A.B.356

† A.B.357 ** A.B.352 ## A.B.355

* as BDR 5-733

as BDR 31-1475

† as BDR 5-1004

† as BDR 27-1255

^ as BDR 5-1338

†† as BDR 5-1318

† as BDR 27-1440

** as BDR 5-1373

as BDR 23-1439

(Committee Minutes)

974

3/17/81
Page 6

Mr. Vergiels moved and Mr. Marvel seconded the motion to pass SB 377. The motion unanimously passed, with Mr. Hickey absent.

Chairman Bremner stated that he has received a letter from the Nevada State Education Association, indicating that they want to introduce legislation to allow them to take early retirement at age 55 with 20 years of service, if they pay for it. He added that retirement under this program would cost the employee an extra percent and a half. Mr. Robinson stated that he would like the matter discussed in detail with Vern Bennett before going to the expense of having a bill drafted. Chairman Bremner stated that he would take care of this.

ACR 18

Mr. Glover moved and Mr. Bergevin seconded a motion to pass ACR 18.

Mr. Bible commented on the testimony that was previously given by PERS officials with specific reference to the Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement 35.

The motion was unanimously carried by the committee, with Mr. Hickey absent.

AB 15~~4~~

Mr. Bill Bible commented on the proposed amendments to the bill.

Mr. Bergevin questioned the request to delete lines 26 to 29 of the bill, stating that his notes reflected a modification instead of deletion.

Mr. Bible stated that Mr. Vern Bennett has submitted written material to the Fiscal Analysis Office indicating that they desire deletion of lines 26 to 50 on page 2, 1 to 8 on page 3, and lines 9 through 39 entirely.

Mr. Glover moved and Mr. Robinson seconded the motion to amend AB 15~~4~~ as indicated. The motion unanimously carried.

Mr. Robinson moved and Mr. Hickey seconded the motion that the bill be passed as amended. The motion unanimously carried.

AB 26

Chairman Bremner stated that this provides for optional additional program contribution under the PERS. Mr. Bible stated that a number of amendments are proposed and addressed the proposed changes for the committee.

Mr. Brady asked if this will be overseen by the Interim Retirement Committee. Chairman Bremner stated that it will be overseen with the approval of the Interim Retirement Committee of the Legislature. He added if the Interim Retirement Committee is abolished, then the bill will have to be changed at a later date.

Mr. Hickey moved and Mr. Glover seconded a motion to adopt the amendments to AB 26. The motion was unanimously carried.

Mr. Bergevin moved and Mr. Vergiels seconded the motion that the bill be passed as amended. The motion was unanimously carried.

Mr. Bible stated that information and responses to a number of the questions of the committee to University Staff is available in his office.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:00 a.m.

DATE: 3/17/81

WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE

GUEST LIST

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)

REPRESENTING:

MIKE BROWN
JUSTICE CHARLES SPRINGER
ROBERT EISENBERG
CATHERINE FINNEGAN
Mark Stevens
Roy L. Tanninen
JUDGE GUINAN

Admin. office of the Courts
Supreme Court
SUPREME CT.
SUPREME COURT LIBRARY
Budget Division
Dist. Court
DIST. COURT

Library Note:

The minutes do not make mention of the following documents submitted to the committee. Because none of the attached material is labelled, it is impossible to determine which exhibits are or are not complete or missing.

Research Library
October 2014

SUPREME COURT SALARY

1981-82 Grade-Step 7/1/81		Auth. in Budget 1980-81	Actual Pay 2/15/81	Orig. Request 1981-82	Revised Request 1981-82	Original Request 1982-83	Revised Request 1982-83
U	Sup. Staff Atty.	34,474	34,474	41,632	37,585	41,632	37,585
U	Dep. Sup. St. Atty.	27,462	27,462	33,174	33,676	33,174	33,676
41-1	Legal Asst. III	25,004	23,263	25,424	23,168	26,639	24,271
41-1	Legal Asst. III	25,004	23,263	25,424	23,168	26,639	24,271
41-1	Legal Asst. III	25,004	22,779	25,424	23,168	26,639	24,271
41-1	Legal Asst. II	19,881	22,779	23,168	23,168	24,271	24,271
41-1	Legal Asst. II	19,881	22,779	23,168	23,168	24,271	24,271
41-1	Legal Asst. II	19,881	22,779	23,168	23,168	24,271	24,271
41-1	Legal Asst. II	19,881	18,105	23,168	23,168	24,271	24,271
37-1	Legal Asst. I	17,935	17,935	21,110	19,251	22,114	20,155
37-1	Legal Asst. I	17,935	17,935	21,110	19,251	22,114	20,155
37-1	Legal Asst. I	17,935	17,935	21,110	19,251	22,114	20,155
37-1	Legal Asst. I	17,935	17,935	21,110	19,251	22,114	20,155
37-1	Legal Asst. I	17,935	17,935	21,110	19,251	22,114	20,155
37-1	Legal Asst. I	17,935	17,935	21,110	19,251	22,114	20,155
31-13	Man. Asst. IV	18,396	18,396	20,155	19,251	20,155	20,155
31-13	Man. Asst. IV	18,396	18,396	20,155	19,251	20,155	20,155
31-13	Man. Asst. IV	18,396	18,396	20,155	19,251	20,155	20,155
31-13	Man. Asst. IV	18,396	18,396	19,251	19,251	20,155	20,155
31-13	Man. Asst. IV	17,577	18,396	20,155	19,251	20,155	20,155
31-13	Court Reporter	19,251	18,396	20,155	19,251	20,155	20,155
27-9	Man. Asst. II	14,032	14,032	14,677	14,677	15,346	15,346
27-1	Man. Asst. II	11,764	11,764	13,425	12,285	14,032	12,842
27-1	Man. Asst. II	11,764		13,424	12,285	14,032	12,842
21-14	Adm. Aid II-A	11,411	12,204	11,913	12,556	12,303	12,842
20-11	Adm. Aid II-A	11,411	10,797	11,913	11,267	12,303	11,764
21-3	Adm. Aid II-B	9,495	9,495	9,904	9,904	10,338	10,338
10-6	Student (.5)	3,235	3,235	3,567	3,359	3,745	3,496
10-6	Student (.5)	3,235	3,235	3,567	3,359	3,745	3,496
U	Clerk	30,326	30,326	36,634	33,676	36,634	33,676
37-6	Chief Dep. Clerk	20,627	20,627	21,607	21,607	22,635	22,635
34-3	Deputy Clerk	16,797	16,797	17,577	17,577	18,396	18,396
		578,591	568,001	648,644	617,001	668,930	640,691

SUPREME COURT REVISED SALARIES

	WORK PROGRAM 1980-81	ORIGINAL REQUEST 1981-82	REVISED REQUEST 1981-82	ORIGINAL REQUEST 1982-83	REVISED REQUEST 1982-83
JUSTICES	211,750	224,000	224,000	238,250	230,125
STAFF	578,591	648,644	617,001	668,930	640,691
	<u>790,341</u>	<u>872,644</u>	<u>841,001</u>	<u>907,180</u>	<u>870,816</u>
INDUSTRIAL INS.	11,983	17,455	16,822	20,415	19,597
RETIREMENT	62,247	69,812	67,280	72,574	69,665
GROUP INSURANCE	28,944	32,856	31,664	35,964	34,522
PAYROLL ASSESS.	2,723	2,007	1,934	2,087	2,003
RETIRE. GRP. INS		1,047		1,089	
UNEMPLOY. COMP.	1,945	2,724	2,625	2,809	2,696
SAL. ADJ. RESERVE	5,193				
LONGEVITY	2,550	2,425	2,425	2,725	2,725
TERM. ANNUAL LEAVE		5,000		5,000	
S-T	115,585	133,326	122,750	142,663	131,208
TOTAL	905,926	1,005,970	963,751	1,049,843	1,002,024

SUPREME COURT
101-1494

	1979-80 ACTUAL	1980-81 WORK PROGRAM	-----1981-82-----		-----1982-83-----	
			ORIGINAL REQUEST	REVISED REQUEST	ORIGINAL REQUEST	REVISED REQUEST
TOTAL SALARY	\$ 809,480	\$ 905,926	\$ 1,005,970	\$ 963,751	\$ 1,049,843	\$ 1,002,024
TOTAL OUT-OF-STATE TRAVEL	\$ 7,187	\$ 5,000	\$ 31,472	\$ 10,000	\$ 28,272	\$ 12,000
TOTAL IN-STATE TRAVEL	\$ 6,906	\$ 8,720	\$ 15,224	\$ 10,000	\$ 19,249	\$ 12,000
OFF SUPPLIES & EXPENSE	\$ 5,209	\$ 13,658	\$ 6,600	\$ 6,500	\$ 7,260	\$ 7,200
OPERATING SUPPLIES	\$ 7,862	\$ 8,684	\$ 10,421	\$ 9,500	\$ 12,505	\$ 11,000
COMMUNICATIONS EXPENSE	\$ 28,402	\$ 30,692	\$ 36,158	\$ 36,000	\$ 37,932	\$ 37,000
PRINT DUPLICATING COPY	\$ 82,305	\$ 65,600	\$ 164,262	\$ 82,000	\$ 188,110	\$ 90,000
ANNUAL REPORT	\$ 36,451			\$ 50,000		\$ 55,000
AGENCY PUBLICATIONS	\$ 4,574		\$ 5,000	\$ 13,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 9,000
INSURANCE EXPENSE	\$ 3,267	\$ 3,568	\$ 2,451	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,697	\$ 2,600
CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	\$ 11,200	\$ 49,358	\$ 56,218	\$ 57,500	\$ 63,692	\$ 62,500
OTHER CONTRACT SERVICE	\$ 10,632	\$ 24,074	\$ 18,519	\$ 21,750	\$ 17,556	\$ 21,000
EQUIPMENT REPAIR	\$ 6,765	\$ 730	\$ 12,431	\$ 12,500	\$ 10,331	\$ 10,500
STATE OWNED BUILDING RENT	\$ 63,449	\$ 73,100	\$ 83,998	\$ 84,000	\$ 96,598	\$ 97,000
ADV PUBLIC REL EXPENSE	\$ 1,126		\$ 1,351	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,486	\$ 1,200
CLOTH & UNIFORM ALLOW	\$ 20	\$ 300	\$ 300	\$ 300	\$ 300	\$ 300
BLDS & GRDS SERVICES	\$ 244	\$ 200	\$ 600	\$ 600	\$ 660	\$ 660

SUPREME COURT - Continued
101-1494

	1979-80 ACTUAL	1980-81 WORK PROGRAM	-----1981-82-----		-----1982-83-----	
			ORIGINAL REQUEST	REVISED REQUEST	ORIGINAL REQUEST	REVISED REQUEST
DUES AND REGISTRATIONS	\$ 5,925	\$ 4,400	\$ 26,203	\$ 26,000	\$ 26,866	\$ 27,000
SPEC PROJECT/REPORT	\$ 552		\$ 5,554	\$ 5,500	\$ 728	\$ 800
MISCELLANEOUS		\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100
IMPROV/STRUC ATTCH FIX	\$ 6,861	\$ 1,308	\$ 5,580	\$ 5,580	\$ 600	\$ 600
TOTAL OPERATING EXP	\$ 277,044	\$ 276,682	\$ 436,647	\$ 415,430	\$ 473,421	\$ 434,460
OFF FURNITURE & EQUIP	\$ 13,623	\$ 500	\$ 12,222	\$ 12,222	\$ 3,792	\$ 3,792
OTHER FURNITURE & EQUIP		\$ 10,501				
SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT	\$ 1,053		\$ 4,000	\$ 4,000		
TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY EQ.	\$ 14,676	\$ 11,001	\$ 16,222	\$ 16,222	\$ 3,792	\$ 3,792
CONTINUING EDUCATION			\$ 7,260	\$ 7,260	\$ 7,732	\$ 7,732
UNIFORM TRAFF CITATION	\$ 670	\$ 1,917				
COURT CLERK SEMINARS	\$ 10,218	\$ 3,000				
TRAFFIC COURT SPEC	\$ 1,450					
TCS SEMINARS	\$ 4,233					
CLARK TCS	\$ 14					
JUDICIAL CONFERENCE		\$ 1,900				
TOTAL AGENCY EXPENDITURES	\$ 1,131,878	\$ 1,214,146	\$ 1,512,792	\$ 1,422,663	\$ 1,582,309	\$ 1,472,008