Date: Tuesday, May 15, 1979

Page: One

PRESENT: Chairman Norman Glaser

Senator Carl Dodge Senator Wm. Raggio Senator Don Ashworth Senator Mike Sloan Senator James Kosinski

Mr. Ed Shorr, Fiscal Analyst

ABSENT: Senator Floyd Lamb

The meeting was called to order at 1:30 p.m. on Tuesday, May 15, 1979, in Room 213 with Senator Norman Glaser in the Chair.

S.B. 566 "Exempts petroleum-ethanol mixture from motor vehicle fuel taxes by state and counties."

Senator Don Ashworth: "Mr. Chairman, I think that Senator Dodge's point is well taken, that there is more to this bill than meets the eye."

Senator Don Ashworth moved to Indefinitely Postpone Senate Bill #566.

Senator Mike Sloan seconded the motion.

The motion carried.

Chairman Glaser then asked Mr. Ed Shorr to explain the difference between <u>Senate Bill #48 and Assembly Bill #111</u>, (See Exhibit "A").

Mr. Shorr: "The sheets I passed to you are revised figures based on an estimate of what the tax relief provides in reductions to the program. We used the figure of \$3.64/\$100, and related it to a \$5.00/\$100 rate, and said that is about a 27% reduction, so we asked the Department of Taxation to compare the two programs based on this percentage reduction. Now remember that two things may change a bit: One, that first year, you may not go that full \$3.64 rate, you may go somewhat above that; but, not everybody is at that \$5.00 rate. The average rate in the State is about \$4.71/\$100. It is probably a little higher than that for residential property because most of the residents that would qualify would tend to be in the higher rate area.

You can see that <u>S.B. 48</u> would be about \$100,000 more over the year 1979-80. The difference primarily lies in expanding that second range in the bill from \$2,000 - \$3,999, to \$3,000 - \$4,999, and then picking up the \$1,000 - \$2,999 in the first section."

Minutes of the Nevada State Legislature

Taxation Senate Committee on.....

Date: Tuesday, May 15, 1979

Page: Two

Mr. Shorr (Cont.): "...I asked where the people come from that are in the less than \$2,000, or less than \$3,000 range, since anyone who qualifies for S.S.I. (Supplemental Security Income) basically is going to have more income than that. These are people who have business losses, with very little net income..."

Senator Raggio: "What is the definition of household income?"

Mr. Shorr: "There is a definition in the statutes. It includes net tax income, income from tax exempt securities, railroad retirement, Social Security, etc.... I didn't mean to indicate that there are a lot of people working off of the system. I just wanted to point out that there is a small group in there that have net income because of business loss, and the next group you pick up is those on Social Security, plus S.S.I."

Senator Raggio: "My only interest is that in looking at this, it seems that by raising the lower ranges, especially when you consider the spouse income, you can give more relief to the people that are strictly on fixed Social Security income."

Mr. Shorr: "I believe that is the second range that you pick up there, Senator."

Senator Raggio: "I think that overall the impact doesn't make that much difference... I would rather process our bill (S.B. 48)."

> Senator Raggio moved "Do Pass" on Senate Bill #48.

Senator Ashworth seconded the motion.

The motion carried.

Senator Raggio moved Indefinite Postponement on Assembly Bill #111.

Senator Sloan seconded the motion.

The motion carried.

Chairman Glaser asked what the status of A.B. 268 was on the Assembly side.

Senator Sloan: "They said that they were having it reprinted with amendments, and then it would be referred to Ways and Means. And I don't think that we ought to pass S.B. 204, until that other bill goes first. Otherwise, we are just breaking our word to the cities and counties."

Date: Tuesday, May 15, 1979

Page: Three

A.J.R. 31 "Proposes to amend the Nevada Constitution to limit property tax to \$3.64/\$100 assessed valuation."

> Senator Sloan moved for Indefinite Postponement of Assembly Joint Resolution #31.

Senator Don Ashworth seconded the motion.

Discussion:

"Senator, if you pass that, and you still have the 35% ratio, the resolution would be meaningless."

Senator Kosinski: "I'm wondering if we should invite them over to make a pitch."

Senator Sloan: "Who sponsored this?"

Senator Dodge: "It's a Committee sponsorship, but I have an idea who is behind it ... maybe Jim is right and we ought to hold it."

Chairman Glaser: "Maybe we ought to withdraw the motion or have a vote on it."

Senator Sloan: "I withdraw my motion."

-- The Committee decided to hold A.J.R. 31 for further information .--

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 2:00 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted By:

Sheba L. Frost, Secretary

Norman By: Chairman

| | 1979-80 | 0-2,999 | 3-4,999 | 5-6,999 | 7-9,999 | 10-11,000 | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| | Refunds | 90% | 75% | 50% | 25% | 10% | TOTALS |
| | | | | | £ | | |
| | НО | 134,661 | 227,402 | 179,777 | 129,579 | 11,068 | 682,487 |
| | MHO | 7,342 | 14,871 | 12,775 | 11,581 | 880 | 47,449 |
| | MHR | 59,222 | 94,363 | 65,589 | 39,666 | 3,707 | 262,547 |
| | Renters | 151,178 | 191,720 | 98,995 | 39,949 | 3,055 | 484,897 |
| | County Admin. Fees | | | | | | . 62,000 |
| | TOTALS | 352,403 | 528,356 | 357,136 | 220,775 | 18,710 | \$ <u>1,539,380</u> |
| | Number of Claimants | | | | | | |
| | НО | 699 | 1,275 | 1,383 | 1,711 | 338 | 5,406 |
| | MHO | 76 | 161 | 189 | 290 | 54 | 770 |
| | MHR | 383 | 669 | 631 | 645 | 132 | 2,460 |
| | Renters | 934 | 1,232 | 705 | | 70 | 3,396 |
| | TOTALS | 2,092 | 3,337 | 2,908 | 3,101 | 594 | 12,032 |
| | | 2,032 | 5,007 | 2,300 | 3/101 | 371 | 12,002 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | AR 11 | 1* | | | ř |
| | , | * | AB 11 | 1* | | | |
| | 1979-80 | 0-1,999 | | | 7-9,999 | 10-11,000 | |
| | 1979-80 Refunds | | 2-3,999 | 4-6,999 | | 10-11,000 | TOTALS |
| 0 | | 0-1,999 100% | | | 7-9,999 25% | 10-11,000 | TOTALS |
| | | | 2-3,999 | 4-6,999 50% | | | TOTALS 635,260 |
| | Refunds | 100% | 2-3,999 80% | 4-6,999 50% | 25% | 10% | • |
| | Refunds HO | 100% | 2-3,999 80% 198,220 | 4-6,999 50% 269,750 | 25% 130,036½ 11,600 | 10% 11,154 | 635,260 |
| | Refunds HO MHO | 100% 26,100 1,107 | 2-3,999 80% 198,220 11,305 | 4-6,999 50% 269,750 19,312 | 25% 130,036½ 11,600 39,197 | 10% 11,154 864 | 635,260 44,188 |
| | Refunds HO MHO MHR | 100% 26,100 1,107 5,580 | 2-3,999 80% 198,220 11,305 96,722 | 4-6,999 50% 269,750 19,312 97,859 | 25% 130,036½ 11,600 39,197 | 10% 11,154 864 3,626 | 635,260 44,188 242,984 |
| | Refunds HO MHO MHR Renters | 100% 26,100 1,107 5,580 | 2-3,999 80% 198,220 11,305 96,722 249,920 | 4-6,999 50% 269,750 19,312 97,859 | 25% 130,036½ 11,600 39,197 39,760 | 10% 11,154 864 3,626 | 635,260 44,188 242,984 452,192 |
| | Refunds HO MHO MHR Renters County Admin. TOTALS | 100% 26,100 1,107 5,580 11,340 | 2-3,999 80% 198,220 11,305 96,722 249,920 | 4-6,999 50% 269,750 19,312 97,859 148,153 | 25% 130,036½ 11,600 39,197 39,760 | 10% 11,154 864 3,626 3,019 | 635,260 44,188 242,984 452,192 62,000 |
| | Refunds HO MHO MHR Renters County Admin. TOTALS Number of Claimants | 100% 26,100 1,107 5,580 11,340 44,127 | 2-3,999 80% 198,220 11,305 96,722 249,920 556,167 | 4-6,999 50% 269,750 19,312 97,859 148,153 | 25% 130,036½ 11,600 39,197 39,760 220,593 | 10% 11,154 864 3,626 3,019 18,663 | 635,260 44,188 242,984 452,192 62,000 \$1,436,624 |
| | Refunds HO MHO MHR Renters County Admin. TOTALS Number of Claimants HO | 100% 26,100 1,107 5,580 11,340 44,127 | 2-3,999 80% 198,220 11,305 96,722 249,920 556,167 | 4-6,999 50% 269,750 19,312 97,859 148,153 535,074 | 25% 130,036½ 11,600 39,197 39,760 220,593 | 10% 11,154 864 3,626 3,019 18,663 | 635,260 44,188 242,984 452,192 62,000 \$1,436,624 |
| | Refunds HO MHO MHR Renters County Admin. TOTALS Number of Claimants HO MHO | 100% 26,100 1,107 5,580 11,340 44,127 | 2-3,999 80% 198,220 11,305 96,722 249,920 556,167 | 4-6,999 50% 269,750 19,312 97,859 148,153 535,074 2,075 284 | 25% 130,036½ 11,600 39,197 39,760 220,593 1,711 290 | 10% 11,154 864 3,626 3,019 18,663 | 635,260 44,188 242,984 452,192 62,000 \$1,436,624 5,406 770 |
| | Refunds HO MHO MHR Renters County Admin. TOTALS Number of Claimants HO MHO MHO MHR | 100% 26,100 1,107 5,580 11,340 44,127 116 9 30 | 2-3,999 80% 198,220 11,305 96,722 249,920 556,167 1,166 133 706 | 4-6,999 50% 269,750 19,312 97,859 148,153 535,074 2,075 284 947 | 25% 130,036½ 11,600 39,197 39,760 220,593 1,711 290 645 | 10% 11,154 864 3,626 3,019 18,663 338 54 132 | 635,260 44,188 242,984 452,192 62,000 \$1,436,624 5,406 770 2,460 |
| | Refunds HO MHO MHR Renters County Admin. TOTALS Number of Claimants HO MHO | 100% 26,100 1,107 5,580 11,340 44,127 | 2-3,999 80% 198,220 11,305 96,722 249,920 556,167 | 4-6,999 50% 269,750 19,312 97,859 148,153 535,074 2,075 284 | 25% 130,036½ 11,600 39,197 39,760 220,593 1,711 290 | 10% 11,154 864 3,626 3,019 18,663 | 635,260 44,188 242,984 452,192 62,000 \$1,436,624 5,406 770 |

^{*}Estimated cost after major tax relief based on a 27 percent reduction of taxes to Homeowners and Mobile Homeowners.