



Minutes of the Nevada State Legislature Senate Committee on Government Affairs Date: Feb. 12, 1979 Page: One

Present:

Chairman Gibson Vice Chairman Ashworth Senator Dodge Senator Ford Senator Echols Senator Kosinski Senator Raggio

Also Present:

See Attached Guest Register

Chairman Gibson called the ninth meeting of the Government Affairs committee to order at 2:00 p.m. and due to requests <u>AB-9</u> would be heard first

AB-9 Changes qualifications of county engineer.

Sam Mamet, representing Clark County, testified to the committee that this bill will allow an engineer to be hired that is not a resident of the State of Nevada. They are currently looking for a professional engineer and have had applications throughout the country. All requirements except residency are the same.

Senator Ashworth stated that this bill would not be effective until July 1st unless it was amended to state effective upon passage. The committee agreed with the amendment proposal.

Bob Sullivan, Carson River Basin Council Governments, representing Douglas, Carson City, Lyon, Storey and Churchill counties. Mr. Sullivan concurred with the testimony given by Mr. Mamet and indicated that it was difficult to get a professional engineer in the smaller counties with the residency requirement. In favor of <u>AB-9</u>.

> Senator Ford moved "Amend and Do Pass" <u>AB-9</u> Seconded by Senator Ashworth Motion carried unanimously. (Effective upon passage.)

<u>AB-166</u> Extends grandfather clause in certain trusts for furtherance of public functions.

Kent Dawson, City Attorney for the City of Henderson and the Henderson Public Improvement Trust. Mr. Dawson testified in favor of <u>AB-166</u> stating that this one word change on line 20 will make the langauge more clearly understood regarding intent. This was the opinion of the Attorney General's office.

Brian Greenspun and David Funk, representing the Las Vegas Downs, testified in favor of <u>AB-166</u> and concurred with the statements made by Mr. Dawson.

Senator Ford moved "Do Pass" on <u>AB-166</u> Seconded by Senator Ashworth Motion carried unanimously.





Minutes of the Nevada State Legislature Senate Committee on <u>Government Affairs</u> Date: February 12, 1979 Page: <u>TWO</u>

<u>SB-169</u> Amends Charter of the City of Las Vegas to make the office of the city attorney appointive.

Senator Sloan, testified to the committee that this bill would not affect the city of Reno or Sparks. The bill was designed to help those officials in Las Vegas appoint a city attorney on the basis of experience and qualifications suited to the job. The primary purpose of the city attorney is to represent the city and would not involve policy making.

Senator Echols questioned the loyalties that would affect the city attorney's judgement if he were appointed by the city council. The Senator felt that the public and general welfare of the people might suffer.

Senator Sloan felt that this would not be a problem and considering the policy is set by the mayor, the representation by the city attorney shouldn't be affected by being appointed rather than elected.

Senator Dodge agreed with Senator Sloan and felt that it was good governmental structure to have the city attorney appointed.

Ron Jack, representing the City of Las Vegas, testified to the committee that the City of Las Vegas is concerned about creating a professional office for the people and having the city attorney appointed will enable them to choose an attorney that fits the qualifications necessary.

Senator Raggio voiced his concern about having the city attorney appointed. The Senator stated that he realized the trend is moving toward more appointed city and county personnel but does not feel it is a healthy situation.

> Senator Ashworth moved "Do Pass" on <u>SB-169</u> Seconded by Senator Ford Motion carried unanimously.

<u>SB-84</u> Transfers archives from office of secretary of state to Nevada State Library.

Senator Ford was requested to work on the amendments to <u>SB-84</u> after the January 29th meeting. The Senator testified to the committee on the proposed amendments and handed out copies for each Senator. (See <u>Attachment #1</u>) Senator Ford went over each amendment for the committee and explained the reason for same. At the conclusion of Senator Ford's testimony Mr. Bob Gray, Director of the Public Service Division of the Nevada State Library, was asked to testify 36





Minutes of the Nevada State Legislature Senate Committee on <u>Government Affairs</u> Date: Feb. 12, 1979 Page: Three

Bob Gray testified that with the passage of <u>SB-84</u> procedures to follow would be more clear cut for the State Librarian. The current language makes it difficult to deal with the various requests that come to their office regarding archives. Mr. Gray also concurred with Senator Ford's testimony and proposed amendments.

Joe Anderson, State Librarian, was present and stated that he was in favor of the bill with the proposed amendments.

Martha B. Gould, testified on behalf of the Nevada Library Association and the Nevada State Council on Libraries. Mrs. Gould read her testimony to the committee and concurred with Senator Ford's testimony and proposed amendments. (See <u>Attachment #2</u>) Mrs. Gould also offered a copy of the minutes from the January 25th meeting of the Nevada State Advisory Council on Libraries. (See <u>Attachment #2A</u>)

Carrie M. Townley, representing Nevada in the Conference of Intermountain Archivists, testified to the committee. Mrs. Townley read her prepared testimony to the committee. (See <u>Attachment #3</u>)

> Senator Ford moved Amend and Do Pass on <u>SB-84</u> Seconded by Senator Raggio. Motion Carried unanimously. (See <u>Attachment #1</u> for amend ments)

Chairman Gibson asked that Senator Ford have the agreed upon amendments drafted.

<u>SB-191</u> Raises threshold for required bidding and facilitates joinder in bidding by local governments in making purchases.

Jim Roberts, Chairman of the State Purchasing Agents in Southern Nevada, testified in favor of <u>SB-191</u> stating that Clark County was also in favor of this bill. Mr. Roberts stated that the \$2,500. limit is no longer reasonable with the current rate of inflation.

Steve Tapogna, Purchasing Agent for the City of Reno, testified in favor of <u>SB-191</u> and concurred with Mr. Robert's testimony. Mr. Tapogna further stated that they definitely wanted to retain the language on advertising. Advertising was healthy and a good way to get the best bidder. The language regarding joinder was put in merely as a time saver. Waiting for approval can cost the tax payers a great deal of money, especially if they must rent equipment until the item to be purchased is approved.



Minutes of the Nevada State Legislature Senate Committee on Government Affairs Date: Feb. 12, 1979 Page: Four

Mr. Tapogna went over a prepared Telephone Survey for the committee on the limits of various cities and states that they were able to contact. (See Attachment #4)

Terry Sullivan, Administrator of the State Purchasing Department, testified against <u>SB-191</u> stating that the intent is to allow more purchasing power to the local purchasing agent without consulting the state. Mr. Sullivan felt that the latitute for purchasing by the local entities should be more stringent, not relaxed. This bill will lead to more costs for the taxpayers.

Mr. Sullivan informed the committee that <u>AB-18</u> is a bill that his office supports. Mr. Sullivan stated that this would accomplish the problem that both Mr. Roberts and Tapogna testified to but would be more stringent in application. This bill further requires that the bidders be notified and Mr. Sullivan indicated that he would like to see <u>AB-18</u> amended to state that all interested bidders be notified.

Bob Sullivan, Carson River Basin Council Governments, representing Douglas, Carson City, Lyon, Storey and Churchill counties, testified that he disagreed with Mr. Terry Sullivan's testimony, they favored portions of <u>AB-18</u>. The counties support <u>SB-191</u>, they support the threshold being raised from \$2,500 to \$5,000. The counties are fearful of being over legislated. Mr. Sullivan stated there are many occasions where the county can purchase material outside the State Purchasing Department at a lower price.

Ron Jack, Representing the City of Las Vegas and the Nevada League of Cities as well as Clark County. Mr. Jack testified in favor of <u>SB-191</u> concurring with Mr. Roberts testimony. Mr. Jack felt that local governments have been responsible with their purchasing power and will continue to do so. The local entities, especially the City of Las Vegas, have tightened their controls over spending but too many state controls will "hamstring" the counties and local entities. Mr. Jack concluded by stating that the above mentioned agencies were also in favor of AB-86.

The committee discussed <u>SB-191</u> and Senator Raggio expressed concern about doubling the threshold. The Senator felt that passage of this bill in its present language would allow for abuse. <u>AB-18</u> was more acceptable and maintains the stronger controls over spending.

Chairman Gibson noted that <u>AB-18</u> was being held until the committee took action on <u>SB-191</u>. It was further suggested that we hold <u>SB-191</u> until <u>AB-18</u> could be processed.





Minutes of the Nevada State Legislature Senate Committee on <u>Government Affairs</u> Date: Feb. 12, 1979 Page: Five

<u>SB-168</u> Broadens provisions for purchase of surplus property among governmental entities.

Jim Roberts, Chairman of Purchasing Agents for Southern Nevada, testified in favor of this bill stating that this bill was part of the overall surplus purchasing package.

> Senator Kosinski moved a "Do Pass" on <u>SB-168</u> Seconded by Senator Raggio. Motion carried unanimously.

<u>AB-92</u> Allows employees of legislative counsel bureau to transfer to positions in classified service of state.

Assemblyman Getto, testified to the committee that he introduced this bill on behalf of the employees that work for the legislature. This bill extends to those employees the same benefits enjoyed by other state agencies when applying for a transfer. At the present the legislative branches and the judicial branches are unable to take their experience ratings with them to another state agency.

Art Palmer, Legislative Counsel Bureau Director, testified in favor of <u>AB-92</u> and concurred with Mr. Getto's testimony. Mr. Palmer felt that there are many people with a reservior of experience and they should be utilized in the classified service. Mr. Palmer also agreed that the two year limit was justified.

Senators Dodge and Kosinski suggested that the word "entitled" on line 4 should be amended to read, "eligible". Mr. Palmer agreed with the suggestion, he further suggested that the two years should read, "two contiguous years".

Bob Gagnier, Nevada State Employees Association, testified in favor of AB-92 and further stated that they only wanted to be sure the intent on transferring was clear.

Mr. Simmons from the Governor's office stated that if Mr. Palmer and Mr. Gagnier were satisfied with the language in this bill then they would withdraw a suggestion that the two years be amended to six months.

Jim Wemmer, representing the Department of Administration, testified against $\underline{AB-92}$ stating that it would provide an opportunity for those employees in the judicial and legislative branches to transfer to other state agencies without the qualifying tests. He felt that it would place the handicapped in the same classification as the judicial and legislative branches with the bassage of $\underline{AB-92}$



Minutes of the Nevada State Legislature Senate Committee on Government Affairs Date: Feb. 12, 1979 Page: Six

Mr. Wemmer suggested that the language be amended to be completely sure of who the bill encompasses.

Senator Dodge asked Mr. Wemmer if the legislative or judicial branches were required to take some form of examination. If so, the time frame can be dropped from two years to six months.

Mr. Wemmer stated that if the legislative and judicial branches required similar examinations prior to employment, dropping the time frame to six months would be acceptable.

Senator Ashworth felt that some credit should be given for experience. Senator Kosinski agreed with Senator Ashworth and felt that there should be some language in the bill stating that the department or agency could waive the test requirements when appropriate experience is indicated.

After considerable discussion the following amendments to <u>AB-92</u> were agreed upon: 1) Line 3, Section 1 "Employees of the Supreme Court or Legislative branches of the government of"... 2) Line 4, Section 1, "2 years" amended to read, "2 contiguous years"...

> Senator Ashworth moved "Amend and Do Pass" on <u>AB-92</u> Seconded by Senator Ford. Motion carried unanimously.

Senator Ashworth was requested to get the appropriate amendment changes.

<u>SB-193</u> Resolves conflict concerning authority of political subdivisions.

Frank Daykin, Legislative Counsel, testified to the committee that this bill is to clear up an inconsistency that was created last session. The bill removes the phrase, "and regulate" and adds language that would allow any county under 100,000 to decide if they wished to have licensed greyhound racing. See subsection 2 for the language clarification.

> Senator Raggio moved a "Do Pass" on <u>SB-193</u> Seconded by Senator Ashworth Motion carried unanimously.

BDR-31-446 This measure involves the Governor's program on the expenditure limits. Goes into Chapter 353 and 354 of the NRS statutes.

Senator Dodge felt that this should be considered along with other review measures in the Taxation committee.

Minutes of the Nevada State Legislature Senate Committee on <u>Government Affairs</u> Date: Feb. 12, 1979 Page: Seven

The committee agreed to introduce the bill as a committee measure and have it re-referred to the Taxation committee.

With no further business the meeting was adjourned at 5:05 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Janice M. Peck

Committee Secretary

Approved:

Tine Chairman Senator James I. Gibson

PROPOSED AMENDMEN SB 84

pg. 1, line 3-4: leave in "state, county, and municipal"

pg. 2, line 5: add after the word "office": "or the division of state, county, and municipal archives"

pg. 2, line 48: change Chapter 239 to Chapter 378.

pg. 3. Add a new section to read: "As used in this chapter, 'division of archives' means the division of state, county and municipal archives of the Nevada state library."

pg. 3, lines 1-2: Substitute the following:"1.There is hereby created within the Nevada state library the division of archives, which shall be administered by the state librarian."

"2. It is the intent of the Legislature that state, county, and municipal archival material be preserved, maintained and coordinated according to accepted standards of archival practice to assure maximum accessibility by the public."

pg. 3, line 3: After "shall," add : "within the limits of legislative appropriations,"

pg. 3, lines 7-8: delete "within the limits of legislative appropriations,"

pg. 3, line 23: delete "city, town or county" and add "local governmental entity"

pg. 3, line 38: delete "shall" and add "may"

pg. 3, line 39: after "producing" add "and for certifying"

pg. 3, line 43: leave in "state, county, and municipal"

pg. 3, after line 44: Add a new section amending 239.070 as follows:

1. In lieu of or in addition to the method of recording required or allowed by statute, the county recorder may use the microfilm method of recording.

2. The division of archives, "in cooperation with the State printing and records division," shall provide microfilming service to any local governmental entity. The charge for the service shall not exceed the actual cost.

pg. 4, after line 21: Add a new section amending 239.123 as follows:

1. As an alternative to the destruction of old records as provided by NRS 239.122, such records, with the consent of the governing body, may be submitted to the division of archives.

"2. The division of archives may return a submission or any part thereof, if the submission has no historical or permanent value."

pg. 4, after line 21: Add a new section amending 239.125 as follows:

1. A local governmental entity may establish a records management program, including the adoption of record retention schedules and microfilming procedures which shall be approved by the governing body and comply with applicable law.

2. [The division of archives] "The state librarian" shall adopt regulations providing for categories of old records and minimum retention periods for local government records. The proposed regulations or any amendment thereto shall be submitted to the local government advisory committee, established pursuant to NRS 354.594, for advice and recommendations.

pg. 4, lines 26-28: delete and renumber on lines 29-31.

ЕХЛІВІГ

142

Testimony of Mrs. Martha B. Gould to SB 84 on behalf of the Nevada Library Association and the Nevada State Council on Libraries.

The Nevada Library Association and the State Council on Libraries supports the recommendations of the study directed by Gov. O'Callahan on the as a consolidation of cultural agencies - specifically the intent of SB 84 to consolidate the information sources of the State of Nevada. By moving Archives to the State Library access to primary documents concerning the state will be more efficient. Furthermore, such a move will releive the Office of the Secretary of State of the responsibility of a function that is not indiginous to its operation. And Archives is within the scope of the responsibilities of the State Library.

I would ask also that the state recognize the importance of its Archival materials, and that, in the past, Archives has been somewhat under-budgeted. Now that Legislative sessions are on tape, it is most important that tapes be transcribed for access, and that the tapes, themselves, be of archival quality, as per the specifications of theSociety of American Archivists and the American National Standards Institute.

As the Public Services Librarian for Washoe County Library and a former perence Librarian for the State Library, I know the frustration one feels on one has to go to the Bancroft Library at Berkley for Nevada materials. Thermore, the State of Nevada cannot plan for her future if she does not have access to the records of her past. LACK of Such Access Could also Be very Costly to the State.

EXHIBIT 2 13.

NEVADA STACE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON LIBRARIES

CAPITOL COMPLEX CARSON CITY, NEVADA 89710 (702) 885-5130 TWX 910-395-0139

Robert List Governor . Raymond M. Smith, Chairman.

JOSEPH J. ANDERSON, Vice Chairman, Secretary

January 25, 1979

MEETING OF NEVADA STATE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON LIBRARIES

CONFERENCE ROOM

NEVADA STATE LIBRARY

Mr. Edmond McGoldrick moved that Council urge the 1979'Legislature to adopt SB84, transferring the Office of State Archives from the Office of Secretary of State to the Nevada State Library, with maintenance of appropriate funding to maintain current levels of activity and carry out the intent of the Legislature.

Ms. Katherine Slocum seconded the motion.

Motion carried, with Mr. Charles Hunsberger voting No.

CARRIE M. TOWNLEY

S.B. 84

I am the Council Representative from Nevada for the Conference of Intermountain Archivists, Historiographer of the Episcopal Church in Nevada, and a Nevada historian currently writing a textbook on Nevada history for junior high schools.

As an historian and archivist, I have visited and researched in other state archives. When I compare these with our own, I am appalled at how far behind we in Nevada are in preserving our state and county records.

I view the roll of a state archives as two-fold: as an agency to preserve and make accessible to researchers our public records, and as an agency which helps to educate and guide county and municipal recordkeepers.

So many of our early state records are missing -- many are scattered from coast to coast -- Bancroft Library in Berkeley, California and Yale University in New *now* Haven, Connecticut are only two of many depositories which own Nevada's state records. These records should never leave the state, but unless there is a state archives properly organized, staffed with trained employees, and with proper archival equipment and housing, our state records will continue to disappear. I would like to see a records review board set up composed of people involved with government, but also with archivists and historians who sometimes view records differently from those who generate them.

I helped organize and implement a pilot workshop for public recordkeepers held in Winnemucca in December. Recordkeepers from five counties attended, and we found they knew almost nothing of how to care for the public records in their charge. They expressed confusion at which records they were obligated by state law to keep, and for how long. These elected officials asked if some state group could not sponsor every six months or even once ayear educational workshops such as we presented to insure they understood how to perform their duties properly. The state archives is the ideal agency to handle a program such as this. The need is great.

I implore the committee to do all in its power to provide a state archives which

EXHIBIT 3

will properly serve not only government officials, but those of us who are attempting to write the history of our state. Nevada must imporve in this important, but previously neglected, field. I believe placing the state archives under the State Library will be an adventageous first step toward what the State Archives can become.

Carrie m Jourley 2/12/1979

TELEPHONE SURVEY - LOCAL AREA

	Formal Bid	Small Business	<u>Minority</u>
Arizona	\$ 5,000.00	No	No
Maricopa County	5,000.00	No	No
Idaho	5,000.00	No	No
Boise	5,000.00	No	No
Colorado	Set by administrative		
	policy	No	No
Denver	5,000.00		
	City charter	No	No
Utah	3,000.00	No	No
Oregon	5,000.00	No	No
Portland	20,000.00	No	No
Seattle	2,500.00	No	No
California	5,000.00	5% Preference	No
Sacramento	10,000.00	No	No

Small Business and Minority set asides applied when required by Federal Funding. None of the agencies contacted were aware of any state statutes governing small business or minority set asides with the exception of California. Approximately one third of the agencies have an affirmative policy of soliciting minority or small business participation.

EXHIBIT 4 197

4

trol stars alfaire 2-12-79 GUEST LIST

Yes

WISH TO SPEAK

No

NAME

10711

RON

eRRU

Cent Dawson

DAVID FUNK

(Please print),

ACIC

Ferrington archives \times LOUNTY AM MAMEI 169 of LAS VEGAS ile. Library SB 84 X ounle ter 5384 unclassing. 50191 buren Roberts 513191 true Tapoyna 11 11 11 11 A ADMINIStat S DEpt SB92 ity of Henderson / Public In Trust #B166 í r LAS VEGAS DOWNS Brian Greenspun LAS VEGAS DOWNS 10 2 JOB GAGNIER SNEA

AMEY

REPRESENTING

10

as VEGAS Nev. BL. 81104

(REPRINTED WITH ADOPTED AMENDMENTS) FIRST REPRINT

A. B. 9

ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 9-ASSEMBLYMAN HARMON

JANUARY 15, 1979 -0-

Referred to Committee on Government Affairs

SUMMARY-Changes qualifications of county engineer. (BDR 20-609) FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No. Effect on the State or on Industrial Insurance: No.

-

EXPLANATION-Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets [] is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to county engineers; changing their qualifications by removing residence requirements; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

The People of the State of Nevada, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. NRS 254.020 is hereby amended to read as follows:

254.020 The county engineer [shall:] must be:

1 2

3

4 5

Be a] A qualified and competent civil engineer.
Be registered] Registered as a professional engineer by the state board of registered professional engineers.

amendment Affaboage [3. Have been a resident of the county in which he is appointed for at least 6 months prior to the appointment.]

ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 166—ASSEMBLYMEN JEFFREY AND SENA

A. B. 166

JANUARY 23, 1979

Referred to Committee on Government Affairs

 SUMMARY—Extends grandfather clause in certain trusts for furtherance of public functions. (BDR S-884)
FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No. Effect on the State or on Industrial Insurance: No.

EXPLANATION-Matter in Italics is new; matter in brackets [] is material to be omitted.

AN ACT to amend an act entitled, "An Act relating to trusts for the furtherance of public functions; repealing the provisions of chapter 242B of NRS for their creation; adding similar provisions to the charter of the City of Henderson; preserving existing and certain potential rights and liabilities; and providing other matters properly relating thereto," approved May 26, 1975.

The People of the State of Nevada, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 6 of the above-entitled act, being chapter 694, Statutes of Nevada 1975, at page 1412, is hereby amended to read as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

Sec. 6. 1. Any application for the establishment of a project by a trust which become effective prior to April 10, 1975, to be financed by the issuance of securities pursuant to the former provisions of chapter 242B of NRS which was received by the intended beneficiary on or before April 10, 1975, may be accepted at any time, the details of the project may be modified after acceptance of the application, and the beneficial interest may be accepted by the beneficiary as proposed or modified, to the same extent as if this act had not been passed.

2. Any securities necessary for the acquisition of any property appropriate for the furtherance of a public function by a trust which became effective prior to April 10, 1975, with respect to which the beneficiary has accepted the beneficial interest prior to the effective date of this act or pursuant to subsection 1 may be be issued at any time thereafter and are valid and enforceable to the same extent as if this act had not been passed. Any **Euch** other securities which are issued on or after the effective date of this

> Original bill is <u>2</u> pages long. Contact the Research Library for a copy of the complete bill.

SENATE BILL NO. 169-COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS Mayadalia

No such appointant shall extend beyond the next

FEBRUARY 1, 1979

S. B. 169

Referred to Committee on Government Affairs

SUMMARY--Amends charter of the City of Las Vegas to make the office of city attorney appointive. (BDR S-1430) FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No. Effect on the State or on Industrial Insurance: No.

EXPLANATION-Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets [] is material to be omitted.

AN ACT to amend an act entitled, "An Act incorporating the City of Las Vegas, in Clark County, Nevada, and defining the boundaries thereof, under a new charter: and providing other matters properly relating thereto," approved April 24, 1971, as amended.

The People of the State of Nevada, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 1.050 of the above-entitled act, being chapter 515, Statutes of Nevada 1971, at page 1064, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1.050 Elective offices.

1. The elective officers of the city consist of:

(a) A mayor.

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

16

17 18 19

20

21 22 (b) Four commissioners.

(c) Municipal judges, the number to be determined by the board of commissioners.

(d) A city attorney.

2. Such officers shall be elected as provided by this charter.

12 SEC. 2. Section 1.070 of the above-entitled act, being chapter 515, 13 Statutes of Nevada 1971, as amended by chapter 226, Statutes of Nevada 1975, at page 269, is hereby amended to read as follows: 14 Sec. 1.070 Elective offices: Vacancies. 15

1. A vacancy [in] on the board of commissioners or in the office of mayor [, city attorney] or municipal judge shall be filled by a majority vote of the members of the board of commissioners, or the remaining members in the case of a vacancy [in] on the board of commissioners, within 30 days after the occurrence of such vacancy. The appointee shall have the same qualifications as are required of the elective official.

S. B. 84

SENATE BILL NO. 84-COMMITTEE ON **GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS**

JANUARY 23, 1979

Referred to Committee on Government Affairs

SUMMARY—Transfers archives from office of secretary of state to Nevada state library. (BDR 33-433) FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No. Effect on the State or on Industrial Insurance: Executive Budget.

EXPLANATION-Matter in Italics is new; matter in brackets [] is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to public records; transferring the division of state, county and municipal archives of the office of the secretary of state to the Nevada state library; providing certain powers and duties for the state librarian; and pro-viding other matters properly relating thereto.

The People of the State of Nevada, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. NRS 225.070 is hereby amended to read as follows: 225.070 1. The secretary of state [shall have the] has custody of and shall carefully preserve in the division of state, county and munici-particles: **Jack 19** of the Nevada state library or in his office: (a) The enrolled copy of the Nevada state library or in his office:
(b) The enrolled copy of the constitution of the State of Nevada.

2 3

.4

5 67

12

21

(b) The description of the state seal and other seals of which a description may be required to be deposited in his office.

89 (c) The proceedings and all papers of the two constitutional conventions [heretofore] held for the purpose of framing a constitution of this 10 state. 11

(d) The manuscripts containing the enrolled acts and joint resolutions and journals of the legislature of this state and the Territory of Nevada.

(e) The records, papers and documents of Carson City, Utah Terri-tory, and all other books, records and documents which, by the laws of 13 14 15 the Territory of Nevada, were required to be deposited and kept in the 16 office of the secretary of the Territory of Nevada.

17 (f) All the books, records, parchments, maps, registers and papers [that may be] required to be deposited or kept in his office. [or kept 18 19 therein.] 20

(g) All deeds and conveyances belonging to the state.

(h) All official bonds approved by the governor.

(REPRINTED WITH ADOPTED AMENDMENTS) FIRST REPRINT A. B. 92

ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 92—ASSEMBLYMEN GETTO AND DINI

JANUARY 17, 1979

Referred to Committee on Government Affairs

 SUMMARY—Allows employees of legislative counsel bureau to transfer to positions in classified service of state. (BDR 23-604)
FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No. Effect on the State or on Industrial Insurance: No.

EXPLANATION-Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets [] is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to state employees; entitling employees of the legislative or judicial branches of the government of the state to transfer, under certain circumstances, to classified service on the same basis as employees may transfer within that service; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

The People of the State of Nevada, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Chapter 284 of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section which shall read as follows: equilative prime of the state of Nevada who have served for 2 years of more are emitted to ever transfer to a position thaving similar duties and compensation in the 2 3 4 5 6 classified service of the state on the same basis as employees may transfer 7 within the classified service from a position under one appointing authority to a position under another appointing authority. The benefit con-8 ferred by this section includes any exemption from the taking of a competitive examination, retention of credits for annual and sick leave 9 10 11 and longevity, and priority on the lists of eligible persons to the extent 12 that such privileges are accorded to employees transferring within the 13 classified service.

0

153

by appropriate resolution or order any officeholder or employee of its 801can, enter a bid or bids in its behalf at any sale of any equipment, benvio Linned] any other gov-Ven and Ven SENATE BILL NO. 168-COMMITTEE ON guilded does drive GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS assured to them

5. Any provisions of any law, chatter, ordinance, resolution, bylawa -cos and to encience of drive FEBRUARY 1, 1979 dw noteinger to she tion are suspended to the extent such provisions are inconsistent here-HITW

Referred to Committee on Government Affairs

12

SUMMARY—Broadens provisions for purchase of surplus property among governmental entities. (BDR 27-964) FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No. Effect on the State or on Industrial Insurance: No.

EXPLANATION-Matter in italics is new; matter in brackets [] is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to governmental surplus property; broadening the provisions for the purchase of that property among governmental entities; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

The People of the State of Nevada, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. NRS 334.030 is hereby amended to read as follows:

334.030 1. The purpose of this section is to permit [state and local governmental units] any governmental entity to take full advantage of the available [federal] surplus properties [.] of any other governmental entity.

2. The state, or any department, division, bureau, commission, board, authority, agency or political subdivision thereof,] Any governmental entity may enter into any contract with [the United States of America or with any agency thereof any other governmental entity for the purchase of any equipment, supplies, materials or other property, real or personal, without regard to provisions of law which require:

12 (a) The posting of notices or public advertising for bids or of expendi-13 tures. 14

(b) The inviting or receiving of competitive bids.

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

15 (c) The delivery of purchases before payment, and without regard to 16 any provision of law which would, if observed, defeat the purpose of this 17 section.

18 3. In making any such contract or purchase the purchaser is authorized to accept any condition imposed pursuant to federal, state or local 19 20 law as a part of the contract.

4. The governing body or executive authority, as the case may be, of any [department, division, bureau, commission, board, authority, agency 21 22 23 or political subdivision of the state] governmental entity may designate

> Original bill is <u>2</u> pages long. Contact the Research Library for a copy of the complete bill.

S. B. 193

SENATE BILL NO. 193-COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

FEBRUARY 7, 1979

Referred to Committee on Government Affairs

SUMMARY-Resolves conflict concerning authority of political subdivisions to regulate greyhound racing. (BDR 41-293) FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No. Effect on the State or on Industrial Insurance: No.

at the second

EXPLANATION-Matter in *Italics* is new; matter in brackets [] is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to greyhound racing; resolving a conflict concerning the authority of political subdivisions to regulate greyhound racing; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

The People of the State of Nevada, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. NRS 244.347 is hereby amended to read as follows:

1

23

45

6

17

244.347 1. The county license board of a county having a population of less than 100,000, as determined by the last preceding national census of the Bureau of the Census of the United States Department of Commerce, may license [and regulate] greyhound racing in the county outside of an incorporated city or incorporated town.

7 2. An application for licensing under this section [shall] must not 8 be considered unless the applicant has first been approved for licensing 9 by the Nevada racing commission. Each member of the firm, partner-10 ship, association or corporation receiving such license [shall be] must 11 have been approved by the county license board before such license is 12 issued. Not more than one such license [shall] may be issued and it 13 [shall not be] is not transferable.

14 3. Such racing is subject to the control of the Nevada racing commission. Pari-mutuel wagering may be permitted at the track where such 16 racing occurs.

SEC. 2. NRS 466.210 is hereby amended to read as follows:

18 466.210 1. The provisions of this chapter are intended to be state-19 wide and exclusive in their effect, and no city, county or other political 20 subdivision of this state [shall have the authority or power to] may, 21 except as provided in NRS 244.347 or subsection 2 of this section, make 22 or enforce any local law, ordinance or regulation upon the subject of 23 racing.

24 2. A county or a city may prohibit greyhound racing outside or 25 inside the corporate limits respectively. A city whose charter so provides 26 may permit greyhound racing.

60