

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
MARCH 30, 1977

The meeting was called to order at 8:00 A. M.

Senator Floyd R. Lamb was in the chair.

PRESENT: Senator Floyd R. Lamb, Chairman  
Senator James I. Gibson, Vice Chairman  
Senator Eugene V. Echols  
Senator Norman D. Glaser  
Senator Norman Ty Hilbrecht  
Senator Thomas R. C. Wilson  
Senator C. Clifton Young

OTHERS: Ronald W. Sparks, Chief Deputy, Fiscal Analysis  
Howard Barrett, Budget Director  
Cy Ryan, UPI  
Senator Mary Gojack  
John Duarte, Welfare  
Senator Joe Neal  
Jim Shields, Distributive School Fund  
Vernon Bennett, Executive Officer, Retirement System

---

S. B. 388: Establishes multipurpose service programs for certain homemakers in later life. Senator Gojack presented the bill, which she was sponsoring, and explained the purposes and the needs for the legislation. She said that this was a fairly new concept and in other states where the legislation had passed, it was a pilot program, as it would be in this state if the bill was passed. She answered questions from the Committee and presented the fiscal note that would accompany the bill.

S. B. 370: Entitles children of unemployed fathers to aid. Senator Neal was not present to speak on the bill and Senator Lamb asked John Duarte to give the costs and details of the bill. He said that the restrictions that were built into the bill were the same as in the federal law so there were no conflicts. There was a stipulation that an individual could not exceed 100 hours of employment a month in qualifying for the aid. He explained that the aid was based on the income of the family and not contingent to total unemployment.

Mr. Duarte explained how they determined the amounts which were listed in the fiscal note. They had reviewed information from other states which had this legislation (presently there are 28 states with this program). They took the three western states that presently have this legislation and ran a comparison and applied those percentages to the Governor's recommended program in this state, in order to arrive at the fiscal note that was presented. Based upon this they projected a figure of 489 cases that would be potentially added to the regular ADC program in the state of Nevada. They projected \$1,482,480 for ADC assistance costs. They estimated that with administration costs the total would be \$3.2 million in the first year and approximately \$3.3 in the second year.

Senator Neal said there was a great need for the bill and the intent of the bill is to reach the situation where the father is in the home and unemployed and he would not have to leave the family in order for them to get aid for the children. He felt the administration should not be too difficult as the applicant would generally be listed with the unemployment office, with his records readily available. He said the Welfare Department had no statistics on which to base their estimates of the numbers who might receive aid. He answered questions from the Committee as to the needs and desirability of the program.

The criteria upon which the need was established was discussed by the Committee with relation to the mother's income, if any, and the father's income from part time sources. Senator Neal suggested a trial period for a year to establish the needs and the costs.

Senator Lamb thanked them for appearing.

Senator Lamb introduced Jim Shields speaking on the Distributive School Fund. He reviewed, in general, testimony already given to the Committee. He said that the money in the local school budgets for teachers salaries, on the national average, was declining and that was also true in Nevada. He said that Nevada ranked 49th out of 50 states in pupil/teacher ratio. He stated that they believed that Nevadans had the ability to provide better support for their schools, which was evidenced by the current budgetary surplus, the surplus projected by the Executive Budget and the increased revenues demonstrated by the Legislative Fiscal Analyst.

He recommended that the Legislature cut basic support from \$1,043, the department's request, to \$1,035, a cut of \$8.00 per student. He referred to Mr. Sparks memorandum to substantiate these figures. In 1978-79, they recommended a cut from the departments requests; \$1,159 to \$1,156. This would cost the state \$3.56 million. They recommended that the trigger mechanism be continued.

There were no questions and Senator Lamb thanked them.

S. B. 173: Mr. Bennett presented the new amendments. (Attached #553 A.) The amendments listed on the first and part of the second pages concerned a technical clean-up. They are deleting "Fireman" and inserting "A fireman". Mr. Bennett explained that the present wording means a fireman who is a full time employee of a participating public employer, whose principal duties are controlling and extinguishing fires and who is employed in one of the following positions. They are deleting "employed in one of the following positions" and starting the sentence with "A fireman". Mr. Bennett said he did not know why, it was considered a technical clean-up.

Senator Lamb said he felt the correction was made to specify that the bill covered "The fireman" and no one else. There was considerable discussion on the suggested Amendment of Section 10, page 4, to delete lines 17 through 20 and insert: "(e) The former holder of one of the positions enumerated in paragraphs (a) to (d), inclusive, who has been promoted by the same public employer to a position related to controlling and extinguishing fires." The Committee agreed that Senator Young would write a letter of intent to the Board covering the Committee's concern on possible interpretations of this section. On Amendment Section 52.5, page 30, delete line 10 and insert: "not been classified as a police officer, plus interest at the assumed investment income rate used in the most recent actuarial valuation of the system, and shall refund the same", Senator Lamb asked Mr. Bennett to give the rationale for this. Mr. Bennett said the attorney for the Retirement Board and Mr. Daykin felt that they had already been advised that there was not only a possibility but a probability of legal action. They feel that the state's position in court will be considerably improved if they pay interest on the amount of money that they are reimbursing. If not, they felt the groups would say "we were previously covered by law, we contributed the extra money, and now you have given us the extra money back but we have lost the use of that money during the last three or four years." The Retirement System will pay to them, on the money that is reimbursed, interest based on the most recent actuarial valuation.

SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
MARCH 30, 1977  
PAGE THREE

The other amendments were read and discussed. Senator Hilbrecht moved the amendments be adopted; Senator Young seconded and the motion carried. Senator Gibson moved the bill be passed as amended; Senator Echols seconded and the motion passed.

A. B. 452: Establishes Western Regional Higher Education student loan program. This bill was compared with S. B. 349. The first area of change was in Subsection 2, line 6 the word "chancellor" is to be deleted and "WICHE Commission" substituted. In Sub-section 3, the Senate Bill indicates that the loans shall bear interest at 5% per annum from the date of receipt. The Assembly bill says the loans shall bear interest at 5% per annum from the date when the student leaves the school or program for which the loan was made. These differences were discussed and it was agreed that the Senate Committee would take a firm stand and amend the Assembly bill to say that the loans shall bear interest at 5% per annum from the date of receipt.

The indenture section of the bill was discussed and Senator Hilbrecht suggested that the following be included: "the WICHE Commission by rule or regulation may provide service of less than three years if the student serves in a rural area." Senator Young suggested that the following be added: "Indenture shall be pursuant to regulations to be promulgated by the Commission, designed to insure the type of professional services needed throughout the state."

Senator Hilbrecht moved that the suggested amendments to the bill be adopted; Senator Wilson seconded and the motion carried. Senator Wilson moved that the bill as amended be passed; Senator Young seconded and the motion carried.

S. B. 370: Entitles children of unemployed fathers to aid. Senator Young moved the bill be indefinitely postponed; Senator Glaser seconded and the motion carried.

DISTRIBUTIVE SCHOOL FUND BUDGET: A detailed memo on this Budget was distributed to the Committee. Some general discussion followed and it was agreed that action would be postponed as the Committee wanted time to study the budget in more detail


The meeting was adjourned at 10:30 A. M.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:



MURIEL P. MOONEY, SECRETARY

APPROVED:



FLOYD R. LAMB, CHAIRMAN

ASSEMBLY ACTION

SENATE ACTION

ASSEMBLY / SENATE AMENDMENT BLANK

Adopted   
 Lost   
 Date:  
 Initial:  
 Concurred in   
 Not concurred in   
 Date:  
 Initial:

Adopted   
 Lost   
 Date:  
 Initial:  
 Concurred in   
 Not concurred in   
 Date:  
 Initial:

Amendments to ~~Assembly~~ / Senate  
 Bill / ~~Joint Resolution~~ No. 173 (BDR 23-165)  
 Proposed by Committee on Finance

1977 Amendment N<sup>o</sup> 553 A

Replaces Amendment No. 547A. Conflicts with Amend. Nos. 391A, 409A and 420A.
---

Amend section 10, page 4, line 7, insert italicized colon before the open bracket.

Amend section 10, page 4, line 9, delete "employed in one of the following positions:".

Amend section 10, page 4, line 10, delete "Fireman" and insert "A fireman".

Amend section 10, page 4, line 11, delete "Fireman" and insert "A fireman".

AS Form 1a (Amendment Blank) 3044A

Drafted by FWD:ml Date 3-29-77.

To Xerox (1) CB

Amend section 10, page 4, line 13, delete "Fireman" and insert "A fireman".

Amend section 10, page 4, line 15, delete "Fireman" and insert "A fireman".

Amend section 10, page 4, delete lines 17 through 20 and insert:

"(e) The former holder of one of the positions enumerated in paragraphs (a) to (d), inclusive, who has been promoted by the same public employer to a position related to controlling and extinguishing fires."

Amend section 11, page 4, delete line 29 and insert:

"subdivisions and who is : [employed in one of the following positions:]

Amend section 11, page 4, line 36, after "(d)" insert an open bracket.

Amend section 11, page 4, line 37, after "(e)" insert a closed bracket.

Amend section 11, page 4, line 41, delete "or other employee".

Amend section 11, page 4, line 43, delete "(f)" and insert "(e)".

Amend section 11, page 4, delete lines 44 through 46.

Amend section 11, page 4, line 47, delete "(i)" and insert "(f)".

Amend section 11, pages 4 and 5, delete line 49 on page 4, lines 1 through 3 on page 5 and insert:

"(g) The former holder of one of the positions enumerated in paragraphs (a) to (f), inclusive, who has been promoted by the same public employer to a position related to law enforcement."

Amend section 12, page 5, line 14, insert ", the Nevada interscholastic activities association" after "450.500".

Amend section 52.5, page 30, line 7, delete "or fireman".

Amend section 52.5, page 30, delete line 10 and insert:

"not been classified as a police officer, plus interest at the assumed investment income rate used in the most recent actuarial valuation of the system, and shall refund the same".

Amend the bill as a whole by adding sections designated section 52.6 and 52.7, following section 52.5, to read as follows:

"Sec. 52.6. Any member who has completed 10 years of creditable service on or before July 1, 1977, and whose classification as a police officer is abrogated by this act is entitled to receive benefits under the early retirement provisions for police officers for any contributions made on or before July 1, 1977.

Sec. 52.7. A surviving spouse whose benefits were canceled before July 1, 1977, because of restrictions on the amount of permissible earnings which were removed by chapter 575, Statutes of Nevada 1975, is entitled to the reinstatement of monthly benefits as of July 1, 1977, if any refund of unused employee contributions is repaid to the system with interest from the date of the refund at the assumed investment income rate used in the most recent actuarial valuation of the system."

ASSEMBLY ACTION

SENATE ACTION

ASSEMBLY SENATE AMENDMENT BLANK

Adopted   
 Lost   
 Date:  
 Initial:  
 Concurred in   
 Not concurred in   
 Date:  
 Initial:

Adopted   
 Lost   
 Date:  
 Initial:  
 Concurred in   
 Not concurred in   
 Date:  
 Initial:

Amendments to ~~Assembly~~ Senate  
 Bill / ~~JOHN ROSS LUKER~~ No. 173 (BDR 23-165)  
 Proposed by Committee on Finance

1977 Amendment No 547 A

Conflicts with Amendment Nos. 391A, 409A and 428A.

- Amend section 11, page 4, line 36, after "(d)" insert an open bracket.
- Amend section 11, page 4, line 37, after "(e)" insert a closed bracket.
- Amend section 11, page 4, line 41, delete "or other employee".
- Amend section 11, page 4, line 43, delete "(f)" and insert "(e)".
- Amend section 11, page 4, delete lines 44 through 46.
- Amend section 11, page 4, line 47, delete "(i)" and insert "(f)".

Amend section 11, page 4, line 49, delete "(j)" and insert "(g)".

Amend section 11, page 5, line 1, delete "(i)," and insert "(f),".

Amend section 11, page 5, line 2, delete "(i)," and insert "(f),".

Amend section 12, page 5, line 14, insert ", the Nevada interscholastic activities association" after "450.500".

Amend section 52.5, page 30, line 7, delete "police officer or".

Amend section 52.5, page 30, delete line 10 and insert:

"not been classified as fireman, plus interest at the assumed investment income rate used in the most recent actuarial valuation of the system, and shall refund the same".

Amend the bill as a whole by adding sections designated section 52.6 and 52.7, following section 52.5, to read as follows:

"Sec. 52.6. Any member whose classification as a police officer is abrogated by this act is entitled to receive benefits under the early retirement provisions for police officers for any contributions made on or before July 1, 1977.

Sec. 52.7. A surviving spouse whose benefits were canceled before July 1, 1977, because of restrictions on the amount of permissible earnings which are removed by this act is entitled to the reinstatement of monthly benefits as of July 1, 1977, if any refund of unused employee contributions is repaid to the system with interest from the date of the refund at the assumed investment income rate used in the most recent actuarial valuation of the system."

1-50



SENATE BILL NO. 370—SENATOR NEAL

MARCH 22, 1977

Referred to Committee on Finance

SUMMARY—Entitles children of unemployed fathers to aid.  
(BDR 38-672)

FISCAL NOTE: Local Government Impact: No.  
State or Industrial Insurance Impact: Yes.



EXPLANATION—Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets [ ] is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to dependent children; providing for aid to children of certain unemployed fathers; providing for vocational education and training for certain unemployed persons; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

*The People of the State of Nevada, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:*

- 1 SECTION 1. Chapter 425 of NRS is hereby amended by adding  
2 thereto a new section which shall read as follows:  
3 *As used in this chapter, unless the context otherwise requires, the*  
4 *words and terms defined in NRS 425.030 and sections 4 to 11, inclusive,*  
5 *of this act have the meanings ascribed to them in such sections.*  
6 SEC. 2. NRS 425.030 is hereby amended to read as follows:  
7 425.030 [As used in this chapter:  
8 1.] "Applicant" means any person who has applied for assistance  
9 under this chapter.  
10 [2. "Assistance" means money payments with respect to, or medical  
11 care in behalf of, or any type of remedial care recognized under state law  
12 in behalf of, a dependent child or dependent children, and includes money  
13 payments or medical care or any type of remedial care recognized under  
14 state law for any month to meet the needs of the relative with whom any  
15 dependent child is living if money payments have been made with respect  
16 to such child for such month.  
17 3. "Board" means the state welfare board.  
18 4. "Department" means the department of human resources.  
19 5. "Dependent child" means:  
20 (a) A needy child under the age of 18 years, or under the age of 21  
21 years if found by the department to be regularly attending a school, col-  
22 lege or university, or regularly attending a course of vocational or techni-  
23 cal training designed to fit him for gainful employment, who has been  
24 deprived of parental support or care by reason of the death, continued

Original bill is 4 pages long.  
Contact the Research Library for  
a copy of the complete bill.

UNEMPLOYED FATHER'S PROGRAM

NEVADA STATE WELFARE

	FY 78		FY 79	
<u>TOTAL APPROPRIATION</u>		\$3,231,843		\$3,332,815
Federal		1,681,615		1,730,624
State		1,550,228		1,602,191
<u>Administration</u>				
<u>Assistance Payments</u>	<u>Nbr.</u>		<u>Nbr.</u>	
<u>Central Office</u>				
Program Coordinator	1	\$ 17,315	1	\$ 18,082
Program Specialist	1	15,847	1	16,542
Principal Clerk Typist	1	9,498	1	9,897
Assistance Payment Reviewer	1	13,890	1	14,495
<u>District Office</u>				
Eligibility Cert. Supervisor	2	27,780	2	28,990
Eligibility Cert. Specialist II	17	216,546	17	225,947
Senior Clerk Typists	5	42,055	5	43,795
Total Positions/Salaries	28	\$ 342,931	28	\$ 357,748
<u>In-State Travel</u>		\$ 12,003		\$ 12,664
<u>Operating Costs</u>				
Office Supplies		\$ 5,892		\$ 6,216
Communications		14,103		15,476
Printing, Duplicating		600		633
Other Bldg. Rent		25,088		25,829
Utilities		3,532		4,063
Maintenance of B&G		8,390		9,031
Data Processing		24,800		26,164
Total Operating Costs		\$ 82,405		\$ 87,412
<u>Training</u>		\$ 1,701		\$ 1,701
<u>Equipment</u>	<u>Nbr.</u>		<u>Nbr.</u>	
Executive Units	22	\$ 19,052		
Sec. Units	6	8,520		
Calculators	22	4,136		
Tab Units	1	588	1	588
Total Equipment		\$ 32,296		\$ 588
<u>TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS ASSIST. PAY.</u>		\$ 471,336		\$ 460,113
Federal 50%		235,668		230,056
State 50%		235,668		230,057

	FY 78		FY 79	
<u>Administration</u>	<u>Nbr.</u>		<u>Nbr.</u>	
<u>Social Services</u>				
Social Worker Supervisor	2	\$ 31,694	2	\$ 33,084
Social Worker II	10	138,900	10	144,950
Sr. Clerk Typists	4	33,644	4	35,036
Total Positions/Salaries	16	\$ 204,238	16	\$ 213,070
<u>In-State Travel</u>		\$ 6,859		\$ 7,237
<u>Operating Costs</u>				
Office Supplies		\$ 2,367		\$ 3,551
Communications		8,059		8,847
Printing, Duplicating		343		361
Other Bldg. Rent		14,336		14,700
Utilities		2,019		2,321
Maintenance of B&G		4,794		5,166
Total Operating Costs		\$ 32,918		\$ 34,999
<u>Training</u>		972		971
<u>Equipment</u>	<u>Nbr.</u>		<u>Nbr.</u>	
Executive Unit	12	\$ 10,392		
Sec. Units	4	5,680		
Calculators	6	1,128		
Tab Units	1	588	1	588
Total Equipment		\$ 17,788		\$ 588
<u>TOTAL ADMINISTRATION SOCIAL SERVICES</u>		\$ 262,775		\$ 256,866
Federal 75%		197,081		192,650
State 25%		65,694		64,216
<u>TOTAL ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS</u>		\$1,482,480		\$1,482,480
Federal		741,240		741,240
State		741,240		741,240
<u>MEDICAL COSTS</u>		\$1,015,252		\$1,133,356
Federal		507,626		566,678
State		507,626		566,678

NEVADA STATE WELFARE DIVISION

UNEMPLOYED FATHER'S PROGRAM

Program Statement

The unemployed father is an optional part of the ADC program authorized by Title IVA of the Social Security Act. Intact families where the father is employed could receive monies from the ADC Program now covering only families where one parent has died, is disabled, or absent. The Act sets very stringent requirements as to what constitutes unemployment and what constitutes the father's cooperation with program requirements to remain eligible.

Funding

Assistance Payments and Medical Costs are funded at 50% Federal and 50% State funds. Social Services is funded at 75% Federal and 25% State.

Control

Because unemployed fathers are employable, extensive control is required by the Certification Specialist to assure that continuing payments are made to only eligible cases. The complexity of the Federal requirements on what constitutes unemployment also requires these extensive controls. The experience of other states is that unemployed cases require the staff time as regular ADC cases. To assure that Federal matching for the total ADC Program is not endangered because of these error prone unemployed cases, a ratio of more line and supporting staff to caseload size is required.

Staffing

<u>Eligibility</u>	<u>On-Going</u>	<u>Intake</u>	<u>Total</u>
Cases	489	98	
Standard	60	11	
Workers	8.2	8.9	17
Supervisors 1:7			2
Clerical 1:4			5
	<u>Direct</u>	<u>Information</u>	
<u>Social Services</u>	<u>Services</u>	<u>&amp; Referral</u>	<u>Total</u>
Number of Recip. Mo.	24,708	24,708	
Hrs. per Recip. Mo.	.18	.05	
Total Hours	4,447	1,235	
Standard	520	1,409	
Workers	8.6	.9	10
Supervisors 1:6			2
Clerical 1:3			4

Assistance Payments

	FY 78	FY 79
Number of Cases	489	489
Family Size	4.21	4.21
Number of Recipients	2,059	2,059
Number of Recipient Months	24,708	24,708
Recommended ADC Average Grant	\$ 60	\$ 60

ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS COST

	FY 78	FY 79
Federal	\$1,482,480	\$1,482,480
State	741,240	741,240
	741,240	741,240

Medical Costs

<u>Medical Payments</u>	FY 78	FY 79
Number of Recipients Months	24,708	24,708
ADC Cost per Recipient Month	\$ 38.89	43.55

Medical Payments Costs

	FY 78	FY 79
Medical Payments Costs	\$ 960,894	\$1,076,034

Fiscal Agent Costs

	FY 78	FY 79
Recipient Months Times 2 Bills Times \$1.10 for FY 78 and \$1.16 for FY 79	\$ 54,358	\$ 57,322

TOTAL MEDICAL COSTS

	FY 78	FY 79
Federal	\$1,015,252	\$1,133,356
State	507,626	566,678
	507,626	566,678

1.152

(REPRINTED WITH ADOPTED AMENDMENTS)

FOURTH REPRINT

A. B. 452

ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 452—COMMITTEE ON  
WAYS AND MEANS

MARCH 14, 1977

Referred to Committee on Ways and Means

SUMMARY—Establishes Western Regional Higher Education student  
loan program. (BDR 34-1791)

FISCAL NOTE: Local Government Impact: No.  
State or Industrial Insurance Impact: Contains Appropriation.

EXPLANATION—Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets [ ] is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to the Western Regional Higher Education Compact; establishing a student loan program; requiring state contributions for stipends to be repaid unless certain conditions are satisfied; making an appropriation; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

*The People of the State of Nevada, represented in Senate and Assembly,  
do enact as follows:*

- 1 SECTION 1. Chapter 397 of NRS is hereby amended by adding  
2 thereto the provisions set forth as sections 2 to 4, inclusive, of this act.  
3 SEC. 2. 1. *There is hereby created in the state treasury a special fund*  
4 *which shall be designated as the Western Interstate Commission for*  
5 *Higher Education student loan fund.*  
6 2. *The fund shall be administered by the three commissioners from*  
7 *the State of Nevada, acting jointly, and the money in the fund shall be*  
8 *used solely to provide loans to Nevada residents who are certified to*  
9 *attend graduate or professional schools in accordance with the provisions*  
10 *of the Western Regional Higher Education Compact.*  
11 3. *Loans from the Western Interstate Commission for Higher Edu-*  
12 *cation student loan fund shall be made upon the following terms:*  
13 (a) *All student loans shall bear interest at 5 percent per annum from*  
14 *the date when the student receives the loan.*  
15 (b) *Each student receiving a loan shall repay with interest following*  
16 *termination of his education or completion of his internship in accordance*  
17 *with the following schedule:*  
18 (1) *Within 5 years for loans which total less than \$10,000.*  
19 (2) *Within 8 years for loans which total \$10,000 or more but less*  
20 *than \$20,000.*  
21 (3) *Within 10 years for loans which total \$20,000 or more.*

Original bill is 3 pages long.  
Contact the Research Library for  
a copy of the complete bill.

## MEMORANDUM

TO: MEMBERS OF THE SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

FROM: Ron Sparks and John Dolan  
Office of Fiscal Analysis

SUBJECT: Distributive School Fund Analysis

We have examined the Distributive School Fund requests and recommendations in light of the most recent data available on the various elements contained in the "Nevada Plan" formula. Our analysis is explained in this memorandum and summarized on the attached table.

#### Enrollments

The enrollments requested by the agency are slightly higher than those recommended by the Governor. However, the agency's projections were made prior to the actual count for 1976-77 and, based on the 1976-77 count, the Governor's estimates seem more realistic. We think that the State Department of Education would concur.

#### Special Education

In 1977-78, the Governor has recommended 600 units at \$17,600, which is the same as the initial request by the State Department. In 1978-79, the Governor has recommended 630 units at \$17,600, or 20 units less than the initial request by the State Department. There is apparently a problem between the State Board and the State Department on the number of units. The State Department based its initial request on providing one unit for every 10.5 estimated regular teacher allocations in 1977-78, and one for every 10 regular teacher allocations for 1978-79. Since the actual teacher allocations for 1976-77 were less than projected,

the 10.5 and 10 ratios would produce 586 units in 1977-78 and 615 units in 1978-79. This compares with 550 units in 1976-77. These lower numbers of units were displayed in the January 14, 1977 memorandum distributed by John Gamble. However, we understand that the Board, NSEA and the Superintendents support the Governor's 600 and 630 units. In the comparison table, we have assumed the Governor's recommendations. We made no provisions for A.B.'s 107 and 108, which add units and appropriate general funds.

#### Basic Support

As you know, the basic support guarantee/pupil is the addition of per pupil amounts for a transportation factor, a low-wealth factor and an equalized basic support factor. There is a specific method to calculate the transportation and low-wealth factor for each school district. When transportation and low-wealth are calculated and dollar amounts subtracted from the requested and recommended amounts for basic support, the equalized basic supports are calculated from the application of enrollments to the teacher allotment tables. Testimony from all concerned parties indicates no problems this session with these internal components of the Nevada Plan (with the possible exception of Carson City). The differences thus arise in the total dollars available for basic support rather than the distribution of basic support among the school districts.

To simplify, the State Department has requested \$1,043 in 1977-78 and \$1,159 in 1978-79. The Governor has recommended \$1,028 and \$1,120. Publicly, the Superintendents have expressed "general satisfaction" with the Governor's recommendations. Privately they, of course, urge more. Initially the NSEA argued for the "Agency Request." More recently, in a February 24, 1977 memorandum to the Senate Finance Committee, the NSEA has suggested a minimum of \$1,032 (plus \$4 from the Governor) in 1977-78 and \$1,156 (plus \$36 from the Governor) in 1978-79.

MEMORANDUM

Senate Finance Committee  
Page 2

Basic Support (Continued)

In the Distributive School Fund comparison table attached to this memorandum, we estimate that it is possible to provide basic support of \$1,035 in 1977-78 and \$1,131 in 1978-79 with essentially no change in the Governor's general fund recommendations. Our estimates derive from higher property assessments, higher 1 cent school support tax receipts and higher slot tax credits than projected last December by the Governor's budget advisors. Our estimates would provide an additional \$983,500 in 1977-78 (about \$7/pupil more than the Governor) and an additional \$1,566,950 in 1978-79 (about \$11/pupil more than the Governor).

Since our estimates hinge mainly on assessed valuations and 1 cent school support tax receipts, the following supporting data and rationale is presented for your review:

Property Assessed Valuations

The Budget Division conducted a telephone poll of the county assessors in early December to get a preliminary "feel" for assessed valuations. We had a similar poll conducted by the Department of Taxation. Based upon these informal contacts, both offices estimated that assessed valuations for 1977-78 would increase 9.5% over 1976-77. This increase was the lowest in the past nine years, except for the 7.2% for 1976-77. The Budget Division estimated a 9.5% increase for 1978-79, while the Office of Fiscal Analysis projected 10%.

About two weeks ago, we again contacted the Department of Taxation to solicit its help in updating the assessed valuations. Friday they advised us the increase compiled from

the segregated rolls submitted by the county assessors, after exemptions and before the equalization hearings, showed an increase for 1977-78 of 11.4%. Based upon this information and the continuing appropriations for the computerized appraisal assistance program, we have projected an increase of 11% per year in the 70 cents property tax calculations displayed on the attached comparison table.

1 Cent Local School Support Tax

When making apportionments from the Distributive School Fund, 1 cent local school support tax distributions for the 12 months from April to March are used in the apportionment calculations. In developing its Distributive School Fund recommendations for 1977-79, the Budget Division projected these 1 cent local school support distributions would increase by 12% in 1976-77 and then 12% for each year of the 1977-79 biennium. The table below shows the cumulative monthly distributions for 1975-76 compared to 1976-77:

Month	<u>1975-76</u>		<u>1976-77</u>	
	Distributions	% Change	Distributions	% Change
April	\$ 1,430,000	11.5	\$ 1,709,538	19.5
May	2,926,586	10.3	3,404,868	16.3
June	7,495,545	8.8	8,409,323	13.3
July	9,005,202	9.5	10,320,130	14.6
Aug.	10,605,311	10.3	12,214,243	15.2
Sept.	15,164,211	10.4	17,283,984	14.0
Oct.	16,728,745	11.1	19,178,616	14.6
Nov.	18,163,172	11.1	20,964,245	15.4
Dec.	23,129,930	11.8	26,655,830	15.2
Jan.	24,491,301	12.2	28,333,036	15.7
Feb.	25,925,508	12.9		
March	30,228,730	12.9	34,300,000*	13.5*

\* Office of Fiscal Analysis estimate.

1-55

MEMORANDUM

Senate Finance Committee

Page 3

1 Cent Local School Support Tax (Continued)

As can be seen from the table, the cumulative year to date distributions for the nine months, April through December, are 15.2% over the same period last year. We estimate conservatively that the annual increase will be 13.5% (as we measure against some relatively large increases in the last

three months of 1975-76). The estimates on the comparison table are a 12% increase each year of the 1977-79 biennium from the \$34,300,000 projected for 1976-77. This 12% per year increase is consistent with the increase in 2 cents sales tax projections made by both the Budget Division and the Office of Fiscal Analysis.

DISTRIBUTIVE SCHOOL FUND COMPARISONS FOR SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

	1976-77		1977-78			1978-79			
	Fiscal Analyst	Agency	Governor	Fiscal Analyst	Fiscal Analyst To Governor	Agency	Governor	Fiscal Analyst	Fiscal Analyst To Governor
<u>EXPENDITURES</u>									
Enrollment	137,744	141,200	140,500	140,500		143,600	142,450	142,450	
Basic Support	\$ 903	\$ 1,043	\$ 1,028	\$ 1,035	\$ 7	\$ 1,159	\$ 1,120	\$ 1,131	\$ 11
Total Basic Support	\$124,382,832	\$147,271,600	\$144,434,000	\$145,417,500	\$ 983,500	\$166,432,400	\$159,544,000	\$161,110,950	\$ 1,566,950
Special Education	8,800,000	10,560,000	10,560,000	10,560,000	- -	11,440,000	11,088,000	11,088,000	- -
Adult Diploma	720,000								
Paragraphs "E" & "F"	700,000								
"Trigger"	2,025,000								
Total Need	\$136,627,832	\$157,831,600	\$154,994,000	\$155,977,500	\$ 983,500	\$177,872,400	\$170,632,000	\$172,198,950	\$ 1,566,950
70¢ Property Tax	( 24,803,465)	( 27,280,000)	( 27,160,000)	( 27,532,000)	( 372,000)	( 30,000,000)	( 29,736,000)	( 30,560,000)	( 824,000)
1¢ School Support Tax	( 34,300,000)	( 37,632,000)	( 37,919,000)	( 38,416,000)	( 497,000)	( 42,150,000)	( 42,469,000)	( 43,026,000)	( 557,000)
State Responsibility	\$ 77,524,367	\$ 92,919,600	\$ 89,915,000	\$ 90,029,500	\$ 114,500	\$105,722,400	\$ 98,427,000	\$ 98,612,950	\$ 185,950
<u>FINANCING</u>									
General Fund	\$ 64,584,826	\$ 78,069,600	\$ 73,485,000	\$ 73,449,500	\$ (35,500)	\$ 90,272,400	\$ 81,129,000	\$ 81,164,950	\$ 35,950
Slot Tax Credit	6,800,000	6,500,000	7,250,000	7,400,000	150,000	6,800,000	7,750,000	7,900,000	150,000
Revenue Sharing	5,378,000	5,000,000	5,449,000	5,449,000	- -	5,300,000	5,529,000	5,529,000	- -
Investment Income	720,000	630,000	730,000	730,000	- -	630,000	740,000	740,000	- -
Mineral Land Leasing	600,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	- -	750,000	750,000	750,000	- -
O/S Sales Tax	2,000,000	1,970,000	2,251,000	2,251,000	- -	1,970,000	2,529,000	2,529,000	- -
Total Available	\$ 80,082,826	\$ 92,919,600	\$ 89,915,000	\$ 90,029,500	\$ 114,500	\$105,722,400	\$ 98,427,000	\$ 98,612,950	\$ 185,950
BALANCE	\$ 2,558,459								

Source:

Office of Fiscal Analysis  
March 5, 1977

1057



387.122 Basic support guarantees established for school years [1975-1976] 1977-1978 and [1976-1977] 1978-1979. For making the apportionments of the state distributive school fund authorized and directed to be made under the provisions of Title 34 of NRS, the basic support guarantee for the school year commencing July 1, [1975] 1977, and ending June 30, [1976] 1978, and for the school year commencing July 1, [1976] 1978, and ending June 30, [1977] 1979, is established for each of the several school districts in the state as follows:

1. Basic support guarantee per pupil:

	[1975-76	1976-77]	1977-78	1978-79
Carson City School District	[\$869	\$907]	\$ 1026	\$ 1119
Churchill County School District	[850	891]	1025	1120
Clark County School District	[857	896]	1022	1116
Douglas County School District	[849	889]	1020	1115
Elko County School District	[903	946]	1105	1207
Esmeralda County School District	[1,678	1,770]	1954	2138
Eureka County School District	[1,405	1,479]	1763	1928
Humboldt County School District	[940	984]	1152	1258
Lander County School District	[912	955]	1087	1188
Lincoln County School District	[1,293	1,350]	1461	1592
Lyon County School District	[884	926]	1087	1188
Mineral County School District	[862	903]	1044	1144
Nye County School District	[1,121	1,174]	1378	1506
Pershing County School District	[889	932]	1039	1135
Storey County School District	[1,361	1,426]	1654	1807
Washoe County School District	[836	874]	1007	1101
White Pine County School District	[916	958]	1141	1245

2. Basic support guarantee per special education program unit is [\$16,000] \$17,600 for each special education program unit maintained and operated during at least 9 months of a school year, contingent upon the following limitations:

(a) As used in this subsection, "special education program unit" means an organized instructional unit which includes full-time services of a certificated employee providing a program of instruction in accordance with provisions of NRS 388.520.

(b) Support guarantee for any special education program unit maintained and operated during a period of less than 9 school months shall be in the same proportion to [\$16,000] \$17,600 as the period during which such program unit actually was maintained and operated is to 9 school months.

(c) The maximum amount of basic support for special education program units within each of the several school districts in the state before any reallocation, is as follows:

	[1975-76	1976-77]	Units (1977-78)	\$ \$	Units (1978-79)	\$ \$
Carson City School District	[320,000	336,000]	23	404,800	24	422,400
Churchill County School District	[160,000	176,000]	11	193,600	12	211,200
Clark County School District	[4,624,000	5,040,000]	248	6,124,800	366	6,441,600
Douglas County School District	[144,000	160,000]	11	193,600	12	211,200
Elko County School District	[240,000	272,000]	17	291,200	18	316,800
Esmeralda County School District	[16,000	16,000]	1	17,600	1	17,600
Eureka County School District	[32,000	32,000]	2	35,200	2	35,200
Humboldt County School District	[112,000	128,000]	8	140,800	9	158,400
Lander County School District	[48,000	64,000]	4	90,400	4	90,400
Lincoln County School District	[64,000	80,000]	5	88,000	5	88,000
Lyon County School District	[160,000	160,000]	11	193,600	11	193,600

			<u>units</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>units</u>	<u>\$</u>
Mineral County School District	[96,000	96,000]	6	105,600	6	105,600
Nye County School District	[112,000	112,000]	8	140,800	8	140,800
Pershing County School District	[48,000	48,000]	3	52,800	3	52,800
Storey County School District	[16,000	16,000]	1	17,600	1	17,600
Washoe County School District	[1,760,000	1,920,000]	132	2,323,200	138	2,428,800
White Pine County School District	[144,000	144,000]	9	158,400	10	176,000
<i>Total</i>			600	10,560,000	630	11,088,000

Any unused special education program unit allocations within this paragraph may

be reallocated to other county school districts by the state department of education. In such reallocation, first priority shall be given to special education programs with statewide implications, and second priority shall be given to special education programs maintained and operated by school districts whose allocation if [\$48,000] \$52,800 or less. If there are more unused allocations than necessary to cover first priorities and second priorities, but not enough to cover all remaining special education programs eligible for payment from reallocations, then payment for such remaining programs shall be prorated. If there are more unused allocations than necessary to cover first priorities, but not enough to cover all programs of second priority, then payment for programs of second priority shall be prorated. If unused allocations are not enough to cover all programs of first priority, then payment for programs of first priority shall be prorated.

387.124 Quarterly reports of state controller; apportionment of state distributive school fund; emergency financial assistance.

1. On or before August 1, November 1, February 1 and May 1 of each year, the state controller shall render to the superintendent of public instruction a statement of the moneys in the state treasury subject to distribution to the several school districts of the state as provided in this section.

2. Immediately after the state controller has made his quarterly report, the state board of education shall apportion the state distributive school fund among the several county school districts in the following manner:

(a) Basic support of each school district shall be computed by:

(1) Multiplying the basic support guarantee per pupil established in NRS 387.122 by the sum of:

(I) Six-tenths the count of pupils enrolled in the kindergarten department on the last day of the first school month of the school year.

(II) The count of pupils enrolled in grades 1 to 12, inclusive, on the last day of the first school month of the school year.

(III) The count of handicapped minors receiving special education pursuant to the provisions of NRS 388.440 to 388.520, inclusive, on the last day of the first school month of the school year.

(IV) The count of children detained in detention homes and juvenile forestry camps receiving instruction pursuant to the provisions of NRS 388.550 to 388.580, inclusive, on the last day of the first school month of the school year.

(V) One-fourth the average daily attendance - highest 3 months of part-time pupils enrolled in classes and taking courses necessary to receive a high school diploma,

Except that if the sum of counts pursuant to (I), (II), (III) and (IV) of this paragraph is less than the sum similarly obtained for the immediately preceding school year, the larger sum will be substituted.

(2) Multiplying the number of special education program units maintained and operated by the amount per program established in NRS 387.122.

(3) Adding the amounts computed in subparagraphs (1) and (2) of this paragraph.

(b) The availability of local funds shall be determined, which local funds shall be the sum of:

(1) The amount computed by multiplying .007 times the assessed valuation of the school district as certified by the department of taxation for the concurrent school year; and

(2) The proceeds of the local school support tax imposed by chapter 374 of NRS. The department of taxation shall furnish an estimate of such proceeds to the state board of education on or before July 15 for the fiscal year then begun, and the state board of education shall adjust the final apportionment of the concurrent school year to reflect any difference between such estimate and actual receipts.

(c) Apportionment computed on a yearly basis shall consist of the difference between the basic support as computed in paragraph (a) of this subsection and the local funds available as computed in paragraph (b) of this subsection, but no apportionment shall be less than 10 percent of basic support.

(d) Apportionment shall be paid quarterly at the times provided in subsection 1, each quarterly payment to consist of approximately one-fourth of the yearly apportionment as computed in paragraph (c) of this subsection. The first quarterly

apportionment based on an estimated number of pupils and special education program units and succeeding quarterly apportionments shall be subject to adjustment from time to time as the need therefor may appear. A final apportionment shall be computed as soon as practicable following the close of the school year, but not later than August 1. The final computation shall be based upon the actual counts of pupils and programs specified to be made for that school year pursuant to paragraph (a) of this subsection, and within limits specified in NRS 387.122, except that for any year when the total enrollment of pupils and children described in paragraphs (a), (b), (c) and (d) of subsection 1 of NRS 387.123 is greater on the last day of any school month after the second school month and such increase in enrollment shows at least a 3 percent gain, then basic support as computed from first month enrollment will be increased 2 percent; furthermore, if such increase in enrollment shows at least a 6 percent gain, then basic support as computed from first month enrollment will be increased an additional 2 percent. If the final computation of apportionment for any school district exceeds the actual amount paid to such school district during the school year, the additional amount due shall be paid before September 1. If the final computation of apportionment for any school district is less than the actual amount paid to such school district during the school year, the amount of overpayment shall be deducted from the next apportionment payable to such school district. If the amount of overpayment is greater than the next apportionment payable, the difference shall be repaid to the state distributive school fund by the school district before September 1.

(e) [For any year when the average daily attendance - highest 3 months of a school district in any category is less than the average daily attendance - highest

3 months in such category during the prior year, and such lesser average daily attendance - highest 3 months was not anticipated at the time estimates were made by the superintendent of the county school district in June of the preceding school year, the superintendent of public instruction may authorize additional apportionments in amounts such that the total of all apportionments for the year do not exceed the total apportionment for the year that would be computed by substituting the count of pupils enrolled on the last day of the first school month of the prior year in the category so affected for the count of pupils enrolled on the last day of the first school month of the current year in the category so affected. As a condition precedent to such authorization, the superintendent of the county school district shall deliver to the superintendent of public instruction a request setting forth the reasons why the additional apportionment is necessary to the financial support of the school district, and the superintendent of public instruction shall review such request. As used in this paragraph, "category" means any one of the groups of persons separately described in paragraphs (a), (b), (c) and (d) of subsection 1 of NRS 387.123.]

[(f)] The board of trustees of any school district in this state whose estimated receipts from all sources provided by this chapter and chapter 374 of NRS [, including any additional apportionment made pursuant to paragraph (e)] are less [for any fiscal year because of reduced average daily attendance or reduced local income, or both,] than the total estimated receipts from such sources in the final approved budget for such fiscal year, and which cannot therefore provide a minimum program of education and meet its contract obligations, may apply for emergency financial assistance from the state distributive school fund and may be granted such assistance upon compliance with the following conditions and procedures:

(1) The tax levy for the applying district shall be the maximum of \$1.50 for operating costs as authorized by law, not including any special tax authorized by the provisions of NRS 387.290.

(2) Such application shall be made to the state board of education in such form as shall be prescribed by the superintendent of public instruction, and in accordance with guidelines for evaluating needs for emergency financial assistance as established by the state board of education.

(3) Before acting on any such application, the state board of education and state board of examiners, jointly, shall determine the difference between the total amount of money appropriated and authorized for expenditure during the current biennium from the state distributive school fund and the total amount of money estimated to be payable from such fund during the biennium pursuant to paragraph[s] (c) [and (e),] and shall make no distribution in excess of such difference.

(4) The state board of education shall review each application and shall by resolution find the least amount of additional money, if any, which it deems necessary to enable the board of trustees of the applying school district to provide a minimum educational program and meet its irreducible contract obligations. In making such determination, the state board of education shall consider also the amount available in the distributive school fund and the anticipated amount of future applications, so that no deserving school district will be wholly denied relief.

(5) If the state board of education finds that emergency assistance should be granted to an applying school district, it shall transmit its resolution finding such amount to the state board of examiners, along with a report of its then-current estimate of the total requirements to be paid from the state distributive school



fund during the then-current fiscal year.

(6) The state board of examiners shall independently review each resolution so transmitted by the state board of education, may require the submission of such additional justification as it deems necessary, and shall find by resolution the amount of emergency assistance, if any, to be granted. The board may defer, and subsequently grant or deny, any part of a request.

(7) The state board of examiners shall transmit one copy of its finding to the state board of education and one copy to the state controller. Upon receipt of a claim pursuant to a grant of emergency assistance, such claim shall be paid from the state distributive school fund as other claims against the state are paid.

(8) Money received by a school district pursuant to a grant of relief may be expended only in accordance with the approved budget of such school district for the fiscal year for which such grant is made. No formal action to incorporate the money so received in the approved budget is required, but such receipts shall be reported as other receipts are reported and explained in a footnote as short-term financing is explained.

(9) The state board of education shall transmit to the legislature a report of each and every grant of emergency assistance paid pursuant to this paragraph.

3. Pupils who are excused from attendance at examinations or have completed their work in accordance with the rules of the board of trustees shall be credited with attendance during that period.

(4) ~~The state board of education shall review each application and shall by resolution find the least amount of additional money, if any, which it deems necessary to enable the board of trustees of the applying school district to provide a minimum educational program and meet its irreducible contract obligations. In making such determination, the state board of education shall consider also the amount available in the distributive school fund and the anticipated amount of future applications, so that no deserving school district will be wholly denied relief.~~

(5) If the state board of education finds that emergency assistance should be granted to an applying school district, it shall transmit its resolution finding such amount to the state board of examiners, along with a report of its then-current estimate of the total requirements to be paid from the state distributive school fund during the then-current fiscal year.

(6) The state board of examiners shall independently review each resolution so transmitted by the state board of education, may require the submission of such additional justification as it deems necessary, and shall find by resolution the amount of emergency assistance, if any, to be granted. The board may defer and subsequently grant or deny, any part of a request.

(7) The state board of examiners shall transmit one copy of its finding to the state board of education and one copy to the state controller. Upon receipt of a claim pursuant to a grant of emergency assistance, such claim shall be paid from the state distributive school fund as other claims against the state are paid.

(8) Money received by a school district pursuant to a grant of relief may be expended only in accordance with the approved budget of such school district for the fiscal year for which such grant is made. No formal action to incorporate the money so received in the approved budget is required, but such receipts shall be reported as other receipts are reported and explained in a footnote as emergency loans are explained.

(9) The state board of education shall transmit to the legislature a report of each and every grant of emergency assistance paid pursuant to this paragraph.

5. Pupils who are excused from attendance at examinations or have completed their work in accordance with the rules of the board of trustees shall be credited with attendance during that period.

Sec. 3. 1. There is hereby appropriated from the general fund in the state treasury to the state distributive school fund for the fiscal year beginning July 1, <sup>1977</sup> ~~1975~~, and ending June 30, <sup>1978</sup> ~~1976~~, the sum of <sup>73,449,500</sup> ~~\$62,527,390~~.

2. There is hereby appropriated from the general fund in the state treasury to the state distributive school fund for the fiscal year beginning July 1, <sup>1978</sup> ~~1976~~, and ending June 30, <sup>1979</sup> ~~1977~~, the sum of <sup>81,164,950</sup> ~~\$64,584,826~~.

3. The funds appropriated by subsections 1 and 2 shall be:

(a) Expended in accordance with the allotment, transfer, work program and budget provisions of NRS 353.150 to 353.245, inclusive; and

(b) Work-programmed for the 2 separate fiscal years, <sup>1977-78</sup> ~~1975-1976~~ and <sup>1978-79</sup> ~~1976-1977~~, as required by NRS 353.215. Work programs may be revised with the approval of the governor upon the recommendation of the chief of the budget division of the department of administration.

4. Transfers to and from allotments shall be allowed and made in accordance with the provisions of NRS 353.215 to 353.225, inclusive, and after separate consideration of the merits of each request.

5. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this act, the moneys appropriated by subsections 1 and 2 are available for both fiscal years, <sup>1977-78</sup> ~~1975-76~~ and <sup>1978-79</sup> ~~1976-77~~, and may be transferred from one fiscal year to the other with the approval of the governor upon the recommendation of the chief of the budget division of the department of administration.

6. After June 30, <sup>1978</sup> ~~1976~~, any unexpended balance of the appropriation made by subsection 1 for the fiscal year <sup>1977-78</sup> ~~1975-1976~~ shall be transferred to and added to the moneys appropriated by subsection 2 and may be expended during fiscal year <sup>1978-79</sup> ~~1976-1977~~, subject to the provisions of subsection 3.

7. After June 30, <sup>1979</sup> ~~1977~~, any unexpended balance of the appropriation made by subsection 2 for the fiscal year <sup>1978-79</sup> ~~1976-1977~~ together with any moneys transferred pursuant to subsection 6 shall not be encumbered or committed for expenditure and shall revert to the general fund in the state treasury.

Sec. 4. 1. Expenditure of <sup>711,131,000</sup> ~~8,190,000~~ by the state department of education from the state distributive school fund from funds not appropriated from the general fund in the state treasury is hereby authorized during the fiscal year beginning July 1, <sup>1977</sup> ~~1975~~, and ending June 30, <sup>1978</sup> ~~1976~~.

2. Expenditure of <sup>711,919,000</sup> ~~8,507,000~~ by the state department of education from the state distributive school fund from funds not appropriated from the general fund in the state treasury is hereby authorized during the fiscal year beginning July 1, <sup>1978</sup> ~~1975~~, and ending June 30, <sup>1979</sup> ~~1976~~.

3. The following sums are hereby authorized for expenditure from the revenue sharing trust fund in the state treasury for the

purposes hereinafter expressed for the fiscal years beginning

July 1, <sup>1977</sup>~~1975~~, and ending June 30, <sup>1978</sup>~~1976~~, and beginning July 1, <sup>1978</sup>~~1976~~, and ending June 30, <sup>1979</sup>~~1977~~.

	1977-78	1978-79
	\$ <del>1975-76</del>	\$ <del>1977-78</del>
	5,449,000	5,529,000
State distributive school fund.....	<del>\$4,483,572</del>	<del>\$4,483,572</del>

4. For accounting and reporting purposes the sums authorized for expenditure in subsections 1, 2 and 3 are considered to be expended prior to any general fund appropriation made to the distributive school fund.

5. The funds authorized to be expended by the provisions of subsections 1, 2 and 3 shall be expended in accordance with the allotment, transfer, work program and budget provisions of NRS 353.150 to 353.245, inclusive, and transfers to and from allotments shall be allowed and made in accordance with the provisions of NRS 353.215 to 353.225, inclusive, and after separate consideration of the merits of each request.

6. The chief of the budget division of the department of administration may, with the approval of the governor, authorize the augmentation of the amounts authorized for expenditure by the state department of education, in subsections 1, 2 and 3, with amounts from any other state agency, from any agency of local government, from any agency of the Federal Government or from any other source which he determines is in excess of the amount taken into consideration by this act. The chief of the budget division of the department of administration shall reduce any authorization whenever he determines that funds to be received will be less than the amount authorized in subsections 1, 2 and 3.

Sec. 5. The interim finance committee, after recommendation by the state board of examiners, may direct the state board of education to make additional distributions from the state distributive school fund to county school districts during the fiscal year ~~1976-77~~<sup>1978-79</sup>. Such additional distributions, if any, shall not exceed \$~~2,614,400~~<sup>\$3,988,600</sup> or \$~~19~~<sup>28</sup> times the total enrollment in the public schools of pupils and children described in paragraphs (a), (b), (c) and (d) of subsection 1 of NRS 387.123 on the last day of the first school month of the ~~1976-77~~<sup>1978-79</sup> school year, whichever is less. Subject to this limitation, such additional distributions may be made under any one or any combination of the conditions set forth in the following subsections:

1. If the total dollar amount accrued to the credit of county school districts pursuant to the Local School Support Tax Law during the period from April 1, ~~1975~~<sup>1977</sup>, to December 31, ~~1975~~<sup>1977</sup>, inclusive, exceeds ~~107.5~~<sup>113.5</sup> percent of the total dollar amount accrued to the credit of county school districts pursuant to the Local School Support Tax Law during the period from April 1, ~~1974~~<sup>1976</sup>, to December 31, ~~1974~~<sup>1976</sup>, inclusive, additional distributions may be directed to be made within only one of the following limitations:

(a) If such ~~1975~~<sup>1977</sup> taxes are at least ~~107.5~~<sup>113.5</sup> percent but less than ~~100~~<sup>114</sup> percent of such ~~1974~~<sup>1976</sup> taxes, additional distributions may be as much as \$~~8.50~~<sup>8.10</sup> per pupil, but not more than \$~~1,169,000~~<sup>1,153,845</sup>.

(b) If such ~~1975~~<sup>1977</sup> taxes are at least ~~100~~<sup>114</sup> percent but less than ~~100.5~~<sup>114.5</sup> percent of such ~~1974~~<sup>1976</sup> taxes, additional distributions may be as much as \$~~10.60~~<sup>10.90</sup> per pupil, but not more than \$~~1,458,560~~<sup>1,552,705</sup>.

(c) If such ~~1975~~<sup>1977</sup> taxes are at least ~~108.5~~<sup>114.5</sup> percent but less than ~~109~~<sup>115</sup> percent of such ~~1974~~<sup>1976</sup> taxes, additional distributions may be as much as \$~~12.70~~<sup>13.60</sup> per pupil, but not more than \$~~1,747,520~~<sup>1,937,320</sup>.

(d) If such ~~1975~~<sup>1977</sup> taxes are at least ~~109~~<sup>115</sup> percent but less than ~~109.5~~<sup>115.5</sup> percent of such ~~1974~~<sup>1976</sup> taxes, additional distributions may be as much as \$~~14.80~~<sup>16.40</sup> per pupil, but not more than \$~~2,036,480~~<sup>2,336,180</sup>.

(g) If such 1977 taxes are at least 116.5 percent but less than 117 percent of such 1976 taxes, additional distributions may be as much as \$24.75 per pupil, but not more than \$3,525,638.

(h) If such 1977 taxes are at least 117 percent of such 1976 taxes, additional distributions may be as much as \$28 per pupil, but not more than \$3,988,600.

(e) If such 1975 taxes are at least ~~109.5~~ <sup>115.5</sup> percent but less than ~~110~~ <sup>116</sup> percent of such ~~1974~~ <sup>1976</sup> taxes, additional distributions may be as much as ~~\$16.90~~ <sup>19.20</sup> per pupil, but not more than ~~\$2,325,440~~ <sup>2,735,040</sup>.

(f) If such 1975 taxes are at least ~~110~~ <sup>116</sup> percent of such 1974 taxes, ~~additional distributions may be as much as \$19 per pupil, but not more than \$3,133,900.~~ <sup>but less than 116.5 percent of such 1976 taxes, additional distributions may be as much as \$22 per pupil, but not more than \$3,133,900.</sup>

~~2. If the total count of pupils for apportionment purposes pursuant to NRS 387.124, including the count of pupils authorized to be recognized under provisions of paragraph (e) of subsection 2 of NRS 387.124, is not more than ~~135,600~~ <sup>140,000</sup> for the ~~1975-76~~ <sup>1977-78</sup> school year, additional distributions may be as much as ~~\$2.63~~ <sup>5.05</sup> per pupil, but not more than ~~\$361,200~~ <sup>505,500</sup>.~~

2.8. If the total assessed valuation of property established as the basis for property taxation to be levied and collected for ~~1976-77~~ <sup>1978-79</sup> is at least ~~113~~ <sup>112</sup> percent of the assessed valuation of property established as basis for property taxation to be levied and collected for ~~1975-76~~ <sup>1977-78</sup>, additional distributions may be as much as ~~\$1.67~~ <sup>3.88</sup> per pupil, but not more than ~~\$230,431~~ <sup>552,706</sup>.

Before directing the additional distributions authorized by this section, the interim finance committee shall determine that there are sufficient moneys in the state distributive school fund to permit such additional allocations. In making this determination, the committee shall review ~~1975-76~~ <sup>1977-78</sup> enrollment data, ~~1976-77~~ <sup>1978-79</sup> estimated enrollments, property assessment data for the ~~1975-76~~ <sup>1977-78</sup> tax year, and the anticipated level of receipts in the state distributive school fund from revenue sharing and the slot machine tax credit.

Sec. 6. 1. Any additional distributions made pursuant to section 5 of this act shall be apportioned on a per-pupil basis in the same proportions per pupil as exist among the basic support guarantees per pupil expressed in subsection 1 of NRS 387.122 for ~~1976-77~~ <sup>1978-79</sup>.

2. Any money received by a school district pursuant to section 5 of this act shall be deposited and used in accordance with the provisions of NRS 387.205.