JOINT MEETING SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS MINUTES OF MEETING JANUARY 24, 1977

The meeting was called to order at 8:00 a.m.								
Senator Floyd R. Lamb was in the chair.								
PRESENT:	Senator Floyd R. Lamb, Chairman Senator James I. Gibson, Vice-Chairman Senator Eugene V. Echols Senator Norman Ty Hilbrecht Senator Norman Glaser Senator Thomas R. C. Wilson Senator C. Clifton Young Assemblyman Donald R. Mello, Chairman Assemblyman Douglas Bremner Assemblyman Eileen B. Brookman Assemblyman Alan Glover Assemblyman Thomas J. Hickey Assemblyman James N. Kosinski Assemblyman Dean Rhodes Assemblyman John M. Vergiels Assemblyman John Serpa Assemblyman Melvin Howard							
OTHERS :	Ronald W. Sparks, Chief Deputy Fiscal Analyst John Dolan, Chief Deputy Fiscal Analyst Bill Flangas, Chairman Public Works Board Dick Baker, State Public Works Board							

Bill Hancock, Secretary Manager, State Public Works Board Bill Bible, Deputy Budget Administrator Howard Barrett, Budget Director Cy Ryan, UPI Mr. Flangas explained the projects under consideration were according to priority. The concern for the entire process was to listen carefully to

Mr. Flangas explained the projects under consideration were according to priority. The concern for the entire process was to listen carefully to what state agencies felt they needed, look at the government projects and seperate what they must have and what would be nice to have. They labored under the presumption of a ten million dollar ceiling.

Mr. Hancock said in the Capital Improvement Budget, other than projects recommended, there is an analysis of how the Capital Improvement Program has been funded for the last ten years and how it is being recommended now. It gives an index of building and construction costs and emphasizes projected costs that are inflated by 6% a year until the beginning of construction. There is priority on ninty projects with the first forty-six projects already approved. The Governor recommends a major rehabilitation of Maximum Security Prison, two new Community Colleges and the improvement of Macky Stadium for a total cost of \$71,516,000. Mr. Hancock said this was an increase of 116% over what it was in 1975.

Mr. Hancock said one major factor in the Governor's program is a five year allocation of NECC funds as opposed to the historic method of four years. There is an annual five million a year from the slot machine tax. Mr. Hancock said the HECC funds should total \$22,211,000.

77-45: Housing Unit No. 5, Northern Nevada Correctional Center Carson City, Nevada Project total = \$1,762,000

For Project descriptions, see page 780-792 in the Executive Budget.

PAGE 2

.....

S.B. 50:

Contains appropriations for project 77-45, 77-46 and 77-22. Mr. Hancock said the Governor wanted project 77-45 funded early. If this project is funded it would be necessary to fund 77-2, which is the mechanical boiler plant which is necessary to have the project function.

Senator Lamb asked the need of this function.

Mr. Hancock stated it serves two purposes: Additional housing capacity for inmates and giving the segregational ability where if you get a problem at Maximum Security Prison or Medimum Security Prison, you have some place to transfer the trouble makers out of this particular area.

Mr. Hancock mentioned the housing capacity figures on the 18th of January:

Medium Security		500	inmates			
Maximum Security	-	402	inmates			
Womens Prison	-	48	inmates	Total=	950	inmates

77-46: Expand Southern Nevada Correctional Center, Jean Project total = \$3,212,000

For project descriptions, see page 780-792 in the Executive Budget.

Senator Lamb asked if this was over and above what was planned? Mr. Hancock said no, it is exactly what was planned.

Senator Lamb asked what changes were made. Mr. Hancock said changes in the physical facility. He stated improvements were made in the security of the windows and the bars. He said the Interim Finance Committee approved the second perimeter fence and security lighting.

Senator Lamb asked if there was an additional increase of inmates from 250 to 350? Mr. Hancock said yes.

Senator Lamb asked what type of prisoner is going to be housed in Jean.

Mr. Hancock said medium and minimum security prisoners. He said there is a diagnostic reception center with 50 lock-up cells which is primary for a reception area to bring a person in and have them analyzed. According to the Warden, no one should be in the Maximum Security Reception Unit for more than three weeks.

Assemblyman Brookman asked Mr. Hancock if he had spoken to Peter Simon about this matter.

Mr. Hancock said he spoke to him last week. He said Mr. Simon said there was concern that there was a higher security risk and what he told his banker is that it might not materialize. He said the Warden assured him that the same sort of inmate that was talked about originally, is still planned, that the state is in a safe position, that we have title to the property. Mr. Hancock said we are relying on Mr. Simon for the water and sewage. He said if the state wants to put its own water and sewage in, we can forget about Peter Simon. We have \$500,000 of Peter Simon's money in the bank, I don't think the rules have changed a bit.

Assemblyman Mello said there were two hearings on this. The prisoners that were to be placed out there were those that could be bused to Clark County areas and put to work. The rules we talk about now aren't the rules we talked about then and he sees a change.

Mr. Hancock said the only thing that has changed is the locks on the doors and the windows.

PAGE 3

Senator Lamb said when we talk about hard core prisoners, are you talking about prisoners at Jean?

Mr.Hancock said he didn't know what he meant by hard core prisoners. Senator Lamb said people that should be in the Maximum Prison. Mr. Hancock said no, there are no Maximum Security Prisoners at Jean, according the the Warden. Mr. Hancock said they are youthful offenders, medimum/minimum security risks. He said there is no maximum security at Jean, for a permanent lock up situation.

Senator Hilbrecht asked Mr. Hancock what happened to a plan, projecting receipt of federal funding?

Mr. Hancock said the state submitted under the Federal Public Works Act, Title I of the Federal Public Works Act, two applications for prison facilities. The first was the fifth housing unit at Medimum Security Prison. It was rejected according to his understanding because it would have put the Medimum Security Prison over the 400 inmate capacity that was recommended by LEAA. Mr. Hancock said the second was the expansion of the Southern Nevada Correctional Center which is a 3.2 million dollar project.

Senator Hilbrecht asked if this was because of any changes in criteria. Mr. Hancock said not that he knew of.

Senator Wilson asked if this facility was suppose to be administered as a clearing facility. He wanted to know if they would all be processed through this facility and distributed to other facilities around the state.

Mr. Hancock said we are basically talking about diagonistic capabilities.

Senator Wilson said there has been a continuing problem over the years with **criminally insame people**. He asked if this facility was going to treat this need. Senator Wilson assumed this person would go to this diagonistic center as well and undergo can evaluation and then wondered what would become of this person.

Assemblyman Vergiels said he understands that the way in which the 50 cells are being constructed, if the person commits murder, this is just a holding area until it is convenient to send him up north. Assemblyman Vergiels asked if this would actually be a diagonistic center.

Mr. Flangas said there will be a limited minimum/maximum security area for the housing of an inmate for a very short period of time until a determination can be made. It is not presumed to be an area that will be full all the time. Mr. Flangas said these people won't be part of their regular population.

Assemblyman Bremner asked if the original plan was to increase the maximum capacity from 250 to 350 inmates.

Mr. Hancock said the original master plan was for 350 inmates. He said the LEAA has a mystical figure of 400 and this particularly under the master plan.

Assemblyman Bremner recalled there was a request for a minimum /maximum security institution for 250 inmates. He asked Mr. Hancock if he had planned on getting this under federal money and if this was so, is this why he was asking for 350 inmates now.

Mr. Hancock said the 350 inmates was established in the original master plan. The 1975 Legislature funded the first phase which is now.

Senator Lamb said lets rephrase the question and speak strickly in regards to the building and its cost and changes to be made.

Senator Hilbrecht asked if the final phase of the facility was based on the present master plan or are there additional units planned.

PAGE 4

Mr. Hancock said as far as this particular activity, it works as a unit. The land area we have acquired is 90 acres of Peter Simons', plus 480 acres of BLM property.

Senator Hilbrecht was disturbed by this and wanted to know if there was another planned facility.

Mr. Hancock said there is nothing planned at this stage and time.

Senator Lamb asked what would happen if there was an expansion.

Mr. Hancock said the general attitude of the prison people is that you can't have two institutions in such close proximity to one another. In essence, it would be functioning as n one big institution Helsaid problems in one institution would be carried over to the other. They recommend a 50 mile seperation. Mr. Hancock said he considers it to be remote to have another institution down in the south at this time.

77-Gl: Remodel Nevada State Prison, Carson City, Nevada

Mr. Hancock said this project will accomplish the complete renovation of the existing old building. Also included is a new 102 man living unit similar to the most recent at Northern Nevada Correctional Center, a new administration building and a new vocational shop building. If Legislature decides, instead of renovating maximum, to construct an entirely new maximum facility, it is recommended that this appropriation be used for the first phase of that new facility.

Mr. Hancock said a new institution would cost close to 13 million dollars. Mr. Hancock feels that the Maximum Security Prison has about 70% of the structure which is capable of being remodeled.

Mr. Hancock included in the project, \$25,000 which is allocated for an overall plan not yet developed. Mr. Hancock said they have a study that was done in 1973 and are convinced of what can be salvaged. There is 44,000 square feet of old structure that is suitable for modernization. The central heating system, kitchen and dining facilities and shops are all useable at the facility. The land area the state owns is 160 acres. The only way we recommend you walk away from that facility, is if you become convinced you do not want a Maximum Security Prison in Carson City. From an economic point of view, it seems you should get rid of the obsolete part and build up the new.

Assemblyman Glovers said he was not sure if any more money should be put into the old, and end up being like the State Hospital, eventually tearing it down. The area has water problems and new homes are being built around it. Do we want a prison right in the middle of all of this? I'm not sure we should put anymore money into the old building, even though part can be saved.

Mr. Hancock said the buildings that would be saved is the kitchen and the dining hall which are seperate structures. The eastern and southern part of the Maximum Security Prison which is a Maximum Security unit, is approximately twenty years old. The old part is the shaped building next to 5th Street. Part of this can be reused. Part will have to come out. Mr. Hancock said the old cell Block "A" will have to come out too.

Assemblyman Mello said you are talking about 8.5 million dollars. Maybe we could build a prison to house the same type of prisoners we are talking about with approximately the same amount of money if not a little bit more, and sell the prison.

Mr. Hancock said our estimate for a 250 man, new Maximum Security Prison Institution, is around 13 million dollars.

PAGE 5

Assemblyman Mello said we will be looking at other areas of tearing down and building again, for it never ends.

Assemblyman Brookman asked if the heating or cooling in the dining area is just heating and no air?

Mr.Hancock said it is ventilation.

Senator Lamb asked if there was ever any thought about butting a couple of jack hammers and rock saws and putting some of these people to work?

Mr. Hancock said the state bought a rock saw back in the late 50's.

Senator Lamb said he would like to see a work program for all prisoners.

Mr. Flangas said there has been **conversation** about demolishing the prison and starting all over. There are some advantages in planning a facility from the bottom up, but you will have to consider a minimum figure of 13 million dollars and that wouldn't be enough.

Mr. Flangas said we are looking to modernize and renovate that portion to be salvageable unless you are willing to commit 15 million dollars, we should be looking to renovate the present structure.

Senator Lamb said we are getting into the area of making a country club out of our prison.

Mr. Flangas said the state has the responsibility of the safety and security of that prisoner. We have the responsibility to the public that the prisoner remains in the confines of that wall until such time as he is released. My concern as a citizen, is that the bad guy remain behind those walls once he is confined.

Assemblyman Brookman asked what Mr. Hancock said about the land contiguous to it on the east.

Mr.Haacockksaid there is 100 undeveloped acres and it is state owned.

Assemblyman Hickey said regarding a new facility verses an old facility; has the maintance cost been taken into consideration and the employees necessary to handle the inmates.

Mr. Hancock said conceivable yes, less operational cost and salary cost. Mr. Hancock said we are talking **about** cutting the cost since this institution would handle 250 inmates as opposed to today of some 400.

<u>77-21</u>: Improvements at the Northern Nevada Correctional Center, Carson City, Nevada.

For project descriptions, see page 781 of the Executive Budget.

Mr. Hancock said the last session of the Legislature we asked for \$75,000 based on the cities estimate to connect this facility to the Carson City sewage system. You gave us 90% of that or \$67,000 and the estimate by the city is closer to \$90,000.

77-3: Classroom addition, Women's Correctional Center, Carson City

For project descriptions, see page 781 of Executive Budget.

Mr. Hancock said this is another aspect of walking away from the Maximum Security Prison. We do have the womens prison that is a relatively new facility built within the last ten or twelve years. One must consider what would be done with this.

PAGE 6

Senator Echols asked why is the cost so high for these structures compared to high rise hotels?

Mr. Hancock said there is an addition of 30% to contractors cost because of the danger in working around and within an existing prison. Mr. Hancock said contractors can only get in the prison when the prison wants to let him in, even though he may be seperated from the main population. Mr. Hancock said state work is more expensive because of state and federal stnadards which must be ment.

77-4: Sierra Developmental Center, Reno

For project details see page 781 of the Executive Budget.

Senator Lamb asked how much land do we own on Jones and Charleston Streets in Las Vegas?

Mr. Hancock said the state ownes 80 acres and the Community College ownes another 80 acres.

Senator Lamb asked Mr. Hancock if any thoughts had been put together about putting them all on the same piece of land.

Mr. Hancock said in Las Vegas, the general idea was that since they have a long term lease on that welfare building they wanted to centeralize all the human resources activities on that location. This is a location the human resources people felt was more desirable then Charleston and Jones as far as the cliental they were trying to serve.

Senator Lamb asked if there would be a time when there wouldn't be enough land in the area.

Mr. Hancock said probably at that stage in time the cliental will be in another area and they will move.

Assemblyman Hickey asked how does one determine the location of the site.

Mr. Hancock said it was determined by the Department of Human Resources within a primeter of an area of Las Vegas where they thought most of the cliental came from. They decided to centerlize most of their activities. The 1973 a session appropriated the money to purchase the land and to build a state owned building. Since then, they have entered into a lease agreement for a food stamp building to the south of the state owned facility.

77-6: Replacement of National Guard Armory, Parking Lot

For project details see page 781 of the Executive Budget.

Senator Echols asked what the purpose of putting an access in front of the no parking area.

Dick Baker said just to get out of the main entrance door, there is no way of getting at the main entrance by car. This will get them off Eastern Avenue so you don't tie up traffic.

Mr. Flangas said public safety is of utmost importance. This is a classic example of one that comes under that heading.

Senator Hilbrecht asked where do they plan on storing this heavy equipment.

Mr. Baker said they have a very large area now that belongs to the National Guard. It is just a matter of shifting their vehicles that they now store there to other areas on that side.

PAGE 7

77-7: Improvements to the State Museum, Carson City, Nevada

For project details see page 781 of the Executive Budget.

Assemblyman Glover asked if the warehouse is on state owned property.

Mr. Hancock said the property is owned by the State Highway Department.

Assemblyman Glover asked if we are mandated by any law to put in the wheel chair ramps?

Mr. Hancock said no, the state has a law that was passed in 1973-75 saying that all public buildings customarily used by the public, has to have ramp access, telephone and drinking fountains that are accessible and usable by handicapped persons.

Assemblyman Serpa asked if the design fees are architecture fees as well. Mr. Hancock said yes.

Assemblyman Serpa asked if there wasn't anyone that can make these small designs without going to an outside architect. Mr. Serpa said he didn't know what it would involve but he didn't think that a design of parking lot: would cost anywhere near \$5,000.

Mr. Hancock said the State Building Works Board has a staff of architects, engineers and building inspectors who do approximately two design projects per month. The reason for the parking lot is we don't have anybody in Las Vegas where we need survey information.

Assemblyman Brookman asked if the recommendation for the new bathroom facilities were complete and in use at the museum. Mr. Hancock said yes.

77-8: Re-Roof Historical Society Building, Reno

For project details see page 781 of the Executive Budget.

Assemblyman Glover asked who designed the Historical Society building?

Mr. Hancock said Rayman and Hill, under the jurisdiction of his office.

Assemblyman Brookman asked if the flashing on the roof was bad in the beginning.

Mr. Hancock said there are problems. When it rains, the water builds up an ice pack on the flashing and gutter systems. When it melts and refreezes, the water is shoved up under the flashing and comes down inside the wall.

77-9: Site Clearance and Fencing, State Administrative Complex, Las Vegas

For project details see page 781 of the Executive Budget.

Assemblyman Brookman asked how much it would cost to put a corrigated top while fencing it and to move some of the cars over and get them out of the elements.

Mr. Hancock said he would look in to it and tell her later.

77-19: Fencing, Childrens Home, Carson City, Nevada

For project details see page 781 of the Executive Budget.

Assemblyman Glover asked if they were planning on removing the last cottage.

Mr. Hancock said there is enough clearance and the cottage does not have to be removed.

PAGE 8

77-11: Entrance Security, State Computer Facility, Carson City, Nevada For project details see page 781 of the Executive Budget.

77-12: Water Tank and Treatment System, State Water System, Carson City

For project details see page 781 of the Executive Budget.

Mr. Baker said the cost of the tank is approximately \$500,000.

Senator Lamb asked if the city had a treatment plan.

Mr. Hancock said they have their own system which is treated.

Senator Lamb said theoretically, we are going to be treating the city water. Do we sell them treated water or untreated water.

Mr. Barrett said he was not responsible for Marlette Lake .

Mr. Hancock said we put the state water into their system below the treatment facility.

Senator Wilson asked if this relates to the Carson City system. Does Carson City buy water from Marlette Lake and then do they inturn treat, store and distribute the water?

Mr. Hancock said the state takes it out of Marlette Lake and puts it into the state facilities, selling it impure. They sell a certain amount to the city.

Mr. Barrett said Mr. Hancock was correct. The states water from Marlette Lake comes from the reservoir, from the reservoir it is treated for the state system. It can also go into the city system if they are buying water from us at that time.

Mr. Hancock said they only buy water to supplement their peak demands.

Assemblyman Glover asked what the cost would of been if this was done last year?

Mr. Hancock said about \$300,000 at one time and was not sure about the present cost.

77-13: Effluent Treatment System; Three Fish Hatcheries and Main

For project details see page 781 of the Executive Budget.

Treathent systems consisting of vacuum cleaners, settling ponds, digesters and sludge beds are required on the Washoe Rearing Ponds, Spring Creek Hatchery and Gallagher Hatchery to comply with Environmental Protection Agency Standards.

77-14: Miscellaneous Improvements, Mental Health Institute, Sparks

For project details see page 781 of the Executive Budget.

Senator Lamb asked what the farm building was used for. Mr. Baker said it was used as a dairy farm but has been done away with. They lease pasture and the prison provides the milk out of the state dairy.

77-17: Rehabilitate Buildings, Youth Training Center, Elko

For project details see page 784 of the Executive Budget.

Joint Meeting of the Assembly Committee on Ways and Means Senate Committee on Finance

PAGE 9

77-18: Remodel Drivers License Area, Department of Motor Vehicles Building, Carson City, Nevada

For project details see page 785 of the Executive Budget.

77-20: Remodel Buildings and Grounds Building for State Archives, Capitol Complex

For project details see page 785 of the Executive Budget.

77-22: Additional Program Facilities - Jean Prison

For project details see page 786 of the Executive Budget.

77-23: Highway Patrol office, Jean

For project details see page 786 of the Executive Budget.

Senator Hilbrecht asked why a motor carrier facility bouldn't be used near Jean.

Mr. Hancock said the motor carrier had an installation along the freeway at one time but is now closed down.

Senator Hilbrecht asked if it could be used or revitalized.

Mr. Hancock said as far as he knew it isn't around any longer.

State Office Building, Battle Mountain

77-24:

For project details see page 786 of the Executive Budget.

Senator Young asked if it cost \$30,000 for the land in Battle Mountain.

Mr. Hancock said there has not been an appraisal. There are two appraisals and we never pay more than the highest appraisal.

Senator Hilbrecht said very recently the property was litigated for the freeway acquisition. Judge Young entered an order on this.

Mr. Flangas said we do not like to spend money on engineering or appraisals unless it is authorized by the legislatures.

Senator Lamb said we don't like to see you take some \$30,000 and put it in a project if it is not needed.

Mr. Flangas said we can never get in trouble making reversions.

77-27: State Office Building, Elko

For project details see page 787 of the Executive Budget.

Assemblyman Glover said not all state agencies in Elko will go into this building. He said there was some talk of Employment Security going to lease a building.

Assemblyman Glover thought there might be a problem because of a long term lease that someone has tied themself into.

77-28: Maintance and Construction Shop Division of Forestry, Reno

For project details see page 787 of the Executive Budget.

1977

PAGE 10

Mr. Hancock said one point on that particular project is that there has been discussion that the Highway Department might have to condeme the existing forestry operation to get a road, necessary for the M.G.M. development. We were advised by the Highway Department that they have dropped futher consideration. If the committee approves this project, there is no reason why it should not be built in this state and time.

Senator Wilson said it is close to the Highway Department. Why isn't it possible to construct and maintain trucks at the highway facility which does the same thing. He stated it would be more economical and didn't know why there should be a seperate building to maintain this.

77-29: Lee Canyon Guard Station Division of Forestry, Mt. Charleston

For project description see page 787 of the Executive Budget.

Assemblyman Hickey said he is familiar with the Mt. Charleston area. There are existing adequate facilities. They are county owned and there is a county owned garage.

77-30: Office Building Addition, Parks, Forestry and Fish and Game. Las Vegas

For project description see page 787 of the Executive Budget.

Senator Lamb asked if the state originally built the Fish and Game building. Mr. Hancock said yes.

77-31: Plant Materials Center, Tule Springs, Clark County

For project description see page 788 of the Executive Budget.

Senator Hilbrecht asked Mr. Hancock to describe the design of the Solar Green House.

Mr. Hancock said the greenhouse has solar and heating capabilities. One wall is used as a reflector.

77-34: Aviation Armory, National Guard, Stead

For project description see page 789 of the Executive Budget.

Senator Young asked if there was any water. Mr. Hancock said no, a well was drilled and there is a septic tank.

77-35: Armory National Guard, North Las Vegas

Senator Hilbrecht asked if this would replace the existing facilities at east Sahara Avenue. Mr. Baker said no.

73-36: National Guard Sparks

For project description see page 790 of the Executive Budget.

Mr. Hancock said the existing facility is too small and there is no security for amunition.

Senator Wilson asked if the facility at Stead could be enlarged.

Mr. Baker said the existing building is an old W.W.II building, and is not secure which is the prime reason for moving it.

Senator Hilbrecht asked why there is such high priorities for armories.

ren Minstein er Friedensenskingen i Friedrich endets andrikking in die Kryflacken. As bestellt

January 24, 1977

PAGE 11

Mr. Flangas said to consolidate and locate state activities in as few places as we can.

Senator Wilson asked if any agencies would be testifying in support of these various projects.

Mr. Mello said there has been work done with sub-committies. We send people out in the field to look at these programs. When the proper agency comes in and we have the Capital Improvement Programs, we then ask them question.

77-37: Armory in Elko

For project description see page 790 of the Executive Budget.

77-38: Organizational Maintenance Shop, National Guard, Carson City

For project description see page 790 of the Executive Budget.

77-39: Warehouse and Garage, Division of Forestry, Elko

For project description see page 790 of the Executive Budget.

77-42: Fish Brood Station, Gallagher Hatchery, Ruby Valley

For project description see page 792 of the Executive Budget.

77-44: Rehabilitation of Capitol Building

For project description see page 791 of the Executive Budget.

Senator Gibson asked why there is a proposal to go to an election.

Mr. Barrett said to give the people a choice to voice their opinions.

Assemblyman Vergiels asked if the main reason for the renovation of the Capitol Building was to make it earthquake proof or to have more efficient space.

Mr. Hancock said to preserve the building and in turn make it more effective. He felt the building should be saved.

Mr. Hancock said a major functional change is to close off the north and south ends.

Mr.Flangas said there is unaminous approval to restore the building. Whether it could or could not stand an earthquake, the state must think of public safety.

Senator Lamb said there are said should it be done with application bonds ore general fund money and should it be done now.

Senator Gibson asked if general obligation bonds could be use without going to vote.

Senator Lamb said if we continue with <u>S.B. 111</u>, we must amend it before we start.

Assemblyman Mello asked Mr. Hancock if he had talked with the people that are housed in the Capitol Building. He asked if they could continue work in the present facility.

Senator Lamb asked what would happen to the people during the renovation.

Mr. Hancock said there would be a rented facility. Part of the cost under miscellaneous is \$314,000 for rent in other facilities.

PAGE 12

Assemblyman Mello said as a state grows, naturally the duties of the people housed should also grow. If the renovation goes as planned, is there going to be sufficient space to do the work. If not, what we are looking at is restoring the building and later building another building somewhere else.

Mr. Hancock said we have a 25% expansion capability.

Mr. Mello said the Secretary of State is crowded and cluttered. Mr. Hancock said space has been allowed for him.

Assemblyman Vergiels said if you change the building to a great degree, you will loose the historical nature of it and the value.

Senator Gibson said we should make a decision on it now.

Senator Hilbrecht asked if it was possible to make it safe. Mr. Hancock said yes we could.

Senator Wilson asked how much is the total structural cost and improvement.

Mr. Hancock said a figure would be given later.

Senator Young asked if the 25% expansion included the upstairs chamber. Mr. Hancock said yes. The chamber would merely be restored as a museum. It would cost around \$300,000 to \$400,000 to expand the Capitol and make it more efficient for agency use.

Senator Lamb asked Mr.Hancock to discuss with him the matters on the agenda.

Mr. Hancock said there is a request from the National Guard to expand a helicopter facility from \$500,000 federal grant to \$1,000,500 grant. We would like the approval of the Interim Finance Committee.

There is need for assistance in developing a major recreational complex in the Sparks Mental Health Institution with money derived from the sale of land.

Two grants from LEAA to provide close circuit T.V. security in the Supreme Court building.

Senator Lamb thanked Mr. Hancock for coming. He asked Mr. Hancock to the put this in writing to the two committies.

The meeting adjourned at 10:00 a.m.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

HENRI VALENTA, SECRETARY

APPROVED:

LAMB, CHAIR