TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE - MINUTES February 22, 1977 3:05 P.M.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Chairman Hayes Mr. May Mr. Demers Mr. Jacobsen Mr. Glover Mrs. Westall Mr. Harmon GUESTS PRESENT: Ted Fawcett Carl Chaplin, WNCC Robert F. Guinn, Nevada Motor Transport Assoc. George Wilderson, NSHD Don Crosby, NSHD Steve Tucker, City of No. Las Vegas J. J. Austin, Motor Vehicle Mfg. Assn. James Versellino

AB 282

Mr. Donald J. Crosby, Deputy State Highway Engineer, said the Highway Department proposed this legislation primarily to allow utilization of certain funds for the advance acquisition of highway rights. Some of these funds have already been used in the Reno and Clark County areas, and for north-south freeways. (See EXHIBIT "A")

It is the intention of the Department to apply for a grant for right of way funds. They have reasonable expectations of receiving the funds. The advantage of being able to acquire right of ways in advance is that property values are lower. They have already saved the State a great deal of money. If the Department is able to take advantage of Federal funds in the next five or six years much more will be saved.

Mr. Crosby suggested an amendment to <u>AB 282</u>--on page 3, line 1 they would like the wording changed to "Unless such retention is authorized as to such property by specific description by a resolution of the board." (See <u>EXHIBIT "B</u>")

Mr. Crosby stated: That projects of great magnitude, like an express way, could not be completed in the ten year period; fifteen or sixteen years would be more realistic; the Department would acquire property only when there was a bona fide program; it was not intended for purchase of property for speculative purposes. When they do have a project they should have every resource available to protect the public investment. Transportation Committee - Minutes February 22, 1977 Page 2

AB 284

Mr. Donald J. Crosby, Deputy State Highway Engineer, spoke also in favor of AB 284. In the 1973 Federal Highway Act there was a new section pertaining to off system roads, that is, county roads that were not on the Federal-State system. Three million dollars were made available for utilization on these off system roads. The Federal law specified secondary road standards were to be followed, unless the State could demonstrate they had adequate separate Their legal staff has indicated standards and this they have done. they could not legally spend State highway money on off system road projects; yet they are required by the Federal Government to administer that program. Thay means they have to divert some money to the off system. The State will act in an auditing-policing roll. so that these funds are properly expended and that all regulations and statutes are adhered to. This is essential because counties do not have the resources or capabilities to comply with the present guidelines. What they are concerned with is a little liberalization of the laws that presently exist to allow State Highway Department to assist the counties to acquire and utilize the Federal funds available.

Mr. Jacobsen asked what roads were covered under the State Highway sysem and was told Federal interstate, primary and secondary. A lot of secondary roads have been dropped from the Federal system and are now on the State system. Rural and county roads are not all covered in the State and Federal system.

Mr. Demers asked if the cooperative agreements that specified "improvements" was intended to cover "maintenance"? He was told the State Highway Department did not maintain the roads. The counties assume maintenance responsibilities. They have some cooperative agreements whereby the Department buys the materials for maintenance and passes this material along to the counties.

Chairman Hayes asked if there was any oppsition to <u>AB 284</u>. There was none.

Mr. Demers asked if the Highway Department representatives knew of anyone that would be opposed to <u>AB 282</u> or <u>AB 284</u>. There was no one of which they were aware.

Chairman Hayes introduced Mr. James Versellino, who helped create the aviation department in Arizona. She stated we have problems with helocopters, etc. There is a bill creating a Department of Transportation but aviation and aeronautics are left out of it. There is now no control of helocopters because the statutes do not apply to some of the problems peculiar to helocopters, for example, helocopters used in lieu of cranes. TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE - Minutes February 22, 1977 page 3

Mr. Versellino stated: He had been 35 years in the aviation business; for the last 20 years he was director of the Department of Aeronautics for the State of Arizona; the last two years of that 20 years was as Assistant Director of the Department of Transportation; he developed and created much of the Arizona Department of Aviation; during that time helped to create legislation in diverting the unrefunded portion of aviation gasoline taxes to the Finance Department to avoid levying any additional taxes on aviation or other agencies. This unrefunded portion is amount left in the State by persons who buy aviation gasoline and do not make the effort to complete the necessary paperwork to claim the refund.

These refund moneys provided necessary funds to develope airports in small communities that were in great need of financial help to operate their airport facilities. The large communities are able to take care of themselves. Mr. Versellino recommended creating an organization within an existing Department to administer activities and laws pertaining to aviation.

Mr. Demers asked if Mr. Versellino saw any reason why we should not create a Division of Aviation? He was told this might jeopardize the Department of Transportation and would indeed jeopardize the aviation bill.

Chairman Hayes declared a recess.

Mr. Demers moved to Do Pass <u>AB 282</u>; Mr. Harmon seconded the motion; motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Demers moved to Do Pass <u>AB 284;</u> Mr. Jacobsen seconded the motion; motion carried unanimously.

Assembly

115

The meeting adjourned at 3:50 P.M.

Respectfully submitted:

M. Robertson, Secretary

STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

A hebit "A

-

MEMORANDUM

	February 17	
To Donald J. Crosby, P. E., Deputy State Highway Engine	er	,
$\langle \rangle$		
600		

From Eldredge T. Porch, Chief Right of Way Agent

Subject: Total Expenditures on Advance Acquisition Projects through January 1977

<u>Clark Count</u> y	<u>Project No</u> .	<u>E. A. No</u> .	Total Expenditure	Total by Co.
	QF-006-2(8) QF-006-2(9) QF-006-2(10)	70493 70576 70640	\$1,839,639.00 1,057,974.00 897,616.00	
	Total Clark County			\$3,795,229.00

Washoe County

QF-009-1(10)	70594	2,658,524.00
QF-009-1(11)	70595	4,101,062.00
QF-009-1(12)	70596	4,176,323.00
QF-009-1(13)	70597	1,807,669.00

Total Washoe County

.

\$12,743,578.00

Total \$16,538,807.00

Clark County

Anticipated expenditure of \$75,000,000 for R/W, relocation and utilities on I-515 and Priority Primary.

Land values in Washoe and Clark Counties have more than tripled since acquisition. Based on this, we have already realized a savings in the neighborhood of \$40 million.

Based on growth and our past history, it is anticipated that between \$150-\$200 million will be saved by the advanced acquisition of the I-515 and Primary rights of way.

ETP/IB:rm

STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

MEMORANDUM

Wehlit Bigz

To 'Donald J. Crosby

Deputy State Highway Engineer

From Office of the Chief Counsel

Subject:

Amendment to A.B. 282

I discussed amending the proposed bill with Frank Daykin, Legislative Counsel, and he suggested that a request be made to the Chairman of the Committee on Transportation to appear before them to explain the amendment and the reason behind it.

To accomplish what you wish the following is suggested as amendment language:

Present wording - "Unless such retention is authorized as to such property by specific description by a concurrent resolution of the legislature."

Suggested new wording - "Unless such retention is authorized as to such property by specific description by a resolution of the board."

William M. Raymond

Deputy Attorney General Assistant Chief Counsel Department of Highways

WMR:p

GUEST LIST

NAME	REPRESENTING	WISH T	O SPEAK
(Please print)		Yes	No
Ted Fawcett	Self		Č
CARL CHAPLIN	WNCC	/	NO
Robert F Gum-	placada M. for Transpt assu	2	
George Vilberron	NSH.D.		\checkmark
Dan Grosber	NSHT 282-284		
STEVER FUCKER			\times
N PRISTINI	Moroe Vehicle Mig Assa		$\boldsymbol{\lambda}$
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		*******
		· ·	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
·			
		118	

HEARING A.B. 2	EST LIST B2 ANO 284 REPRESENTING		YOU TO SPEAK
	/	Pro	
(Please print) JAMES V/ERCIELLINO Amigona	WITH SEN. BLACEMORE NOW		
		/	
· ·			
		-	
٩,			
		-	
······································			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	
)			
·	· · ·	1 1	9