

ASSEMBLY  
GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS  
April 22, 1977  
7:00am

MEMBERS PRESENT: Chairman Murphy  
Mr. May  
Mr. Craddock  
Mr. Mann  
Mr. Moody  
Mr. Robinson  
Mr. Jacobsen

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Mrs. Westall  
Mr. Jeffrey

Chairman Murphy called the meeting to order at 7:10am.

SENATE BILL 326

Mr. Kelly Jackson, Public Service Commission, stated that this was one of the two or three most important bills that the legislature was going to hear from an energy conservation point of view, from an energy policy point of view and from an energy consumer point of view. He said he has suggested language that would make it prospective only. He also has suggested language which would basically say that the board would have to establish standards by January 1, 1978 that would apply to buildings where construction has started on or after July 1, 1978, which would assure a six month phase-in period. He is also representing the Public Works Board. The method in which these rules are established are as follows: 1. The Administrative Procedure Act will be followed which requires public notice. In addition the proposed standard will be drafted by a committee which will be comprised of representative from the local building departments, representatives from other groups that are going to have to work on the code. Thereafter that proposed code will be publicly noticed, public hearings will be held, they will be promulgated in that fashion pursuant to NRS 233C, the Administrative Procedure Act. He thinks this is a good bill. It was supported on the Senate side by the Northern Nevada Contractors Association, the League of Women Voters and by the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Engineers.

There was no opposition to the bill.

COMMITTEE ACTION

SENATE BILL 326 - Mr. Moody moved for an AMEND AND DO PASS recommendation, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, motion passed. Mr. Robinson abstained. Mrs. Westall and Mr. Jeffrey were not present at the time of the vote.

ASSEMBLY BILL 595 - Mr. Mann moved a DO PASS recommendation, seconded by Mr. Moody. Mrs. Westall and Mr. Jeffrey were not present at the time of the vote.

ASSEMBLY BILL 610 - Mr. Mann moved to INDEFINITELY POSTPONE the bill, seconded by Mr. May, passed unanimously. Mrs. Westall and Mr. Jeffrey were not present at the time of the vote.

ASSEMBLY BILL 425 - Mr. Robinson moved to INDEFINITELY POSTPONE the bill, seconded by Mr. Craddock, passed unanimously. Mrs. Westall and Mr. Jeffrey were not present at the time of the vote.

ASSEMBLY BILL 329 - Mr. May moved to INDEFINITELY POSTPONE the bill, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, passed unanimously. Mrs. Westall and Mr. Jeffrey were not present at the time of the vote.

ASSEMBLY BILL 3 - Mr. Jacobsen moved to INDEFINITELY POSTPONE the bill, seconded by Mr. Mann, passed unanimously. Mrs. Westall and Mr. Jeffrey were not present at the time of the vote.

ASSEMBLY BILL 545 - Mr. May moved to INDEFINITELY POSTPONE the bill, seconded by Mr. Mann, passed unanimously. Mrs. Westall and Mr. Jeffrey were not present at the time of the vote.

ASSEMBLY BILL 710

Mr. Robinson made the following comments: "Speaker Dini and Senator Gibson had a conference with the Governor regarding all the bills on regulatory agencies. The Governor is not happy about any of them that would intrude on the Executive Department, especially SB 62, AB 272 and AB 710 doesn't bother him too much. He can live with them. I think getting a bill through the Senate on this is going to be much better if it's the 710 bill with the Speakers name on it. I want to move this bill quickly. The five member committee that we talked about didn't appeal to the Speaker too much."

Mr. Robinson also stated that he has had the amendments drawn for SB 62. What it effectively does, is take out of SB 62 all of the sections that have to do with the oversight of regulatory agencies. It leaves in SB 62 only those sections which have to do with codification of the regulations.

Mr. Robinson said he would like to introduce this amendment number 1025A to SB 62 and move that we do adopt that amendment.

COMMITTEE ACTION

SENATE BILL 62 - Mr. Robinson moved for an AMEND AND DO PASS recommendation, seconded by Mr. Mann, passed unanimously. Mrs. Westall and Mr. Jeffrey were not present at the time of the vote.

ASSEMBLY BILL 710 - Mr. Robinson moved for an AMEND AND DO PASS recommendation, seconded by Mr. Mann, passed unanimously. Mrs. Westall and Mr. Jeffrey were not present at the time of the vote.

ASSEMBLY BILL 544 - Mr. Jacobsen moved to INDEFINITELY POSTPONE the bill, seconded by Mr. May, passed unanimously. Mrs. Westall and Mr. Jeffrey were not present at the time of the vote.

ASSEMBLY BILL 701 - Mr. Mann moved to INDEFINITELY POSTPONE the bill, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, passed unanimously. Mrs. Westall and Mr. Jeffrey were not present at the time of the vote.

ASSEMBLY BILL 702 - Mr. Robinson moved for a DO PASS recommendation, seconded by Mr. Moody, passed unanimously. Mrs. Westall and Mr. Jeffrey were not present at the time of the vote.

ASSEMBLY BILL 465 - After discussion by the committee, Mr. Moody moved that the committee rescind their action whereby they Indefinitely Postponed A. B. 465. Motion was seconded by Mr. Craddock. Motion passed with Mr. Robinson and Mr. Jacobsen voting no. Mrs. Westall and Mr. Jeffrey were absent for the vote. The committee will hold on any further action on the bill until later.

ASSEMBLY BILL 626- After a brief speech from Barton Jacka against the measure, Mr. Mann moved to INDEFINITELY POSTPONE the bill, seconded by Mr. May, passed unanimously. Mrs. Westall and Mr. Jeffrey were not present for the vote.

ASSEMBLY BILL 520- Mr. May moved to INDEFINITELY POSTPONE, seconded by Mr. Craddock, passed unanimously. Mrs. Westall and Mr. Jeffrey were not present at the time of the vote.

ASSEMBLY BILL 742- Mr. Mann moved to INDEFINITELY POSTPONE, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, passed unanimously. Mrs. Westall and Mr. Jeffrey were not present at the time of the vote. The committee commented that since there was going to be an interim study the bill would not be necessary.

-----  
SENATE BILL 402

Mr. Craddock made the following comments: "What we propose to do is to use this bill as a vehicle to transport the job, a notice of intent to come. We suggest to delete section 1, subsection 2, that's on the first page and section 2 and section 3 on the second page. What we intend to transport is a notice of intent to divert so that they'll have to publish in the paper at least two notices of intent. One between 60 and 30 days prior to the time of pumping and another between 30 and 15 days prior to the time of pumping, not more than two weeks apart so that we don't tie the people down too much. What happens, is that the people that have a well adjacent to some other,

they are really concerned, because quite often they think the water level is going down. What I want to do, is to propose two separate amendments, one, requiring that the notice will be served through the paper and on the water resources engineer. And the second would be the one to prove up on the right to divert as it relates to subdividers. I want to put them on two separate amendments because there is some contention in the Senate that one of them may be controversial. So if we pass two amendments on their bill, one of them, I'm convinced is not at all controversial, is much needed. The other one is much needed, the second one is the one that Mr. Jeffrey has been talking about, that's to prove up on the rights of the subdivider when he puts the utility system in. Another provision of that particular amendment, is if they have a conservation measure, such as diverting water from a dry lake which the water just evaporates anyway, they'll get an allocation equal to the amount of water saved through their own conservation measures. Again, briefly, the two amendments, one appears to be without controversy and the other appears to be controversial in the Senate, and if they pass one, we'll have that much ahead, if they don't pass either one, we're still back where we started from."

Mr. Mann made the comment that in what effect these amendments will do is to take all the present appeals and make those people have to apply for new permits which would become junior permits.

Mrs. Stenton, from Diamond Valley replied as follows: "What it is going to do, is it is going to have Mr. Westergard go back to the original law, and at that time he will have to have his formal hearing and decide on an individual basis."

#### COMMITTEE ACTION

SENATE BILL 402 - Mr. Robinson moved to AMEND AND REREFER to committee, seconded by Mr. May, passed unanimously. Mrs. Westall and Mr. Jeffrey were not present at the time of the vote. The amendments are those as suggested by Mr. Craddock.

There being no further business, the committee was adjourned at 9:44am.

Respectfully submitted,

*Jody Barber*

Jody Barber, Assistant Committee Secretary

GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

DATE: 4/22

	PRESENT	EXCUSED	ABSENT
CHAIRMAN MURPHY	✓		
VICE CHAIRMAN MAY	✓		
ASSEMBLYMAN CRADDOCK	✓		
ASSEMBLYMAN JEFFREY		✓	<del>✓</del>
ASSEMBLYMAN MANN	✓		
ASSEMBLYMAN MOODY	✓		
ASSEMBLYMAN ROBINSON	✓		
ASSEMBLYMAN WESTALL		✓	<del>✓</del>
ASSEMBLYMAN <del>RHOADS</del> <i>Jacobsen</i>	✓		
			1368