

ASSEMBLY JUDICIARY COMMITTEE
58th NEVADA ASSEMBLY SESSION

MINUTES

April 3, 1975

Chairman Barengo called to order this meeting of the Assembly Judiciary Committee at the hour of 8:10 a.m. on Thursday, April 3, 1975.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Messrs. BARENGO, BANNER, HEANEY, LOWMAN, POLISH, SENA, Mrs. HAYES and Mrs. WAGNER.

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Mr. HICKEY.

Guests present at this meeting were: John Stratton, Gaming Control Board; Les Kofoed, Gaming Industry Association of Nevada; Robbins Cahill, Managing Director of the Nevada Resort Association; Frank Johnson, Hilton Hotels Corp.; Michael L. Medema, Legislative Counsel Bureau Audit Division; Gary Hayes, Chaparral High School; Dennis Tatum; David Hagen, United States Brewers Association; John Borda, State of Nevada Office of Highway Safety; John Gianotti, Vice President of Harrah's; Bob Broadbent, Las Vegas Convention Authority; and Assemblyman Robert Benkovich. Guest Register from this meeting is attached to these Minutes.

see exhibits
Assemblyman Robert Benkovich testified regarding the bill he introduced, A.B.313. The issue is whether to allow young people between the ages of 18 and 21 to drink and gamble. Two years ago legislation was passed to give full adult rights to the 18 year olds, exclusive of drinking and gambling. Mr. Benkovich read from a prepared statement. He is not concerned about business. He is concerned about justice. He listed arguments which he thought the Committee would hear at this meeting against passage of A.B.313. He stated that the young person at 18 is able to fight for his country, marry, raise a family and support a household, but on the other hand, he cannot legally drink and gamble. He said he believes that the gambling casinos would be one of the first institutions to hire the 18-year-old dealer if this bill passes.

Mr. Benkovich received a letter from a Sparks resident, who was under the age of 21, and this led to the introduction of A.B.313. He read the letter he wrote to this young man in response. Mr. Benkovich said he is strongly opposed to the partialing out of rights, such as is represented by allowing the 18 to 21-year-old to have adult rights, but at the same time, excepting certain rights.

Assembly Committee on Judiciary

Minutes

Page 2.

April 3, 1975

Next to testify was Mr. John "Jack" Stratton, who read from a prepared statement. He is on the Gaming Control Board, and he was asked to testify before this Committee, as Mr. Hannifin and Mr. Bybee were out of the state and unable to do so. The Gaming Control Board wants to go on record as being very much opposed to A.B.313. They were opposed two years ago when the last Legislative Session considered this. They feel the situation has not changed. Mr. Hannifin testified in opposition to the bill two years ago. (Philip Hannifin and Shannon Bybee of the Gaming Control Board are referred to in this testimony.) Most of the tourists come from California, and California has not yet changed their law to allow 18 year olds to drink, so the Gaming Control Board feels that the young Californians would be over here in Nevada in droves just because they can legally drink and gamble. He said a major casino in Nevada has just been handed a judgment against them from a California court regarding someone who had been in their casino. The young man in question was in an accident and won a decision that the casino was at fault. The case is under appeal. Mr. Stratton said he spoke with some of the people who would be involved with allowing drinking and gambling of 18-year olds if this bill passed, and they are quite concerned with this. If the 18-year old becomes involved in an accident after drinking in a casino, numerous suits would be involved. This would reflect negatively against the State of Nevada throughout all the other states. He mentioned the college student coming to Nevada, who might gamble away his tuition at a table, which would result in irate parents from another state. This would also reflect badly on our State. The people Mr. Stratton talked to feel that we should wait until states bordering Nevada relax their laws and allow 18-year olds to drink. We should not be a pioneer in this area. We were a pioneer in the gambling area, and it has been an uphill struggle all the way. Anytime anything happens, it is blamed on the gambling. This Committee questioned Mr. Stratton.

John Borda, Highway Safety Coordinator, presented the Committee with statistics pertaining to border states in regards to accidents related to alcohol consumption. A copy of this is attached to these Minutes. Mr. Borda's testimony was directed ~~towards~~ traffic fatalities in regards to young people drinking alcoholic beverages. From the point of highway safety, reducing the age for drinking results in a drastic increase of fatalities. He said that a comparative study was done by the Highway Institute for Public Safety. He was questioned by Mr. Lowman as to the validity of the statistics presented.

Next to testify in opposition to A.B.313 was David Hagen, Esq., a Reno attorney representing the United States Brewers Association.

Assembly Committee on Judiciary

Minutes

Page 3.

April 3, 1975

They authorized him as their Nevada counsel to oppose passage of this bill. Attached is his statement. This group opposes the bill "not from any reluctance to expand their market nor from any belief that persons eighteen years old should not be permitted to drink their product, but because of the unique circumstances in which Nevada finds itself as a tourist economy." He further stated that A.B.313 "would unwittingly induce highway travel solely for the purpose of drinking. The U.S.B.A. wants no part of such a market." This Committee briefly questioned Mr. Hagen.

Next to testify was Les Kofoed, Gaming Industry Association of Nevada, who stated that he was opposed to A.B.313 for all of the reasons already stated by previous witnesses and more. If and when the surrounding states dropped the drinking age, he thinks our state would be ready to do this. He is not sure about the gambling. He mentioned the problem this country now has with very young alcoholics. He quoted statistics from a report by the Michigan State Police. He does not think the Nevada young people would be a problem with passage of this bill as far as drinking goes after about a six-month adjustment period; however, the problem would be with out-of-state young people "flocking" into this State on weekends just to be able to drink legally. He presented this Committee with a copy of an accident study sent to him by the Michigan State Police. A copy of this is attached. Mr. Kofoed feels that legal drinking for 18 to 21 year olds will bring in large numbers of young people from out of state. And, if the gambling were included it would be an almost impossible situation. The enforcement problem would be great. It is difficult now to tell the 21 year old from a 20 year old in a casino. Hypothetically, there would be no problem at all if the age barrier was totally eliminated. Now they do not have problem in regards to policing the gambling; however, they do have a problem with the phony I.D.'s .

Gary Hayes, a student body vice president from Chaparrel High School, testified against passage of A.B.313. There is presently a problem in schools with this 18 to 21-year-old group relating to drinking alcoholic beverages. The students do not want to have this privilege of legally drinking alcohol now. He quoted statistics for this Committee from a magazine, which statistics dealt with young people's alcoholism. It is really not too difficult for 17 or 18 year olds to get alcohol of some sort. An article appearing in the newspaper of the University of Nevada Las Vegas stated that one out of every 20 students has a drinking problem. Last year at the Nevada Boys State in Carson City, a resolution was drawn up to be presented to the 1975 Session of the Nevada State Legislature. This resolution was in favor of

Minutes

Page 4.

April 3, 1975

passage of A.B.313's proposals. This resolution was defeated overwhelmingly by the Boys State. There is a major problem with teen-age alcoholism. Most people are not social drinkers; they drink to get drunk.

John Gianotti from Harrah's testified next against the passage of A.B.313. He commented that he was glad to hear Gary Hayes' testimony, as he did not know it would be presented. He wanted to call one very important area to the attention of this Committee-- Nevada's "image". Passage of this bill will damage Nevada's image. The gaming industry has worked very hard to build it up. With passage of this bill, other states could look upon Nevada and its industries as greedy and anxious to lure youngsters across state borders to obtain their money at the gambling tables. Mr. Gianotti said that the court case referred to by Mr. Stratton involved Harrah's. The appellate court upheld that they were liable. This state would surely take a beating from out-of-state press and other institutions. He does not feel our state can take this kind of abuse. He said that the Legislature should take care not to brand Nevada as a state capitalizing in "kid trade".

Frank Johnson, Hilton Hotel Corp., echoed very strongly Mr. Gianotti's testimony. He pointed out that two years ago numerous persons representing law enforcement officers, district attorneys and young people were here to support giving adult rights to 18 year olds. He noticed today that these people were not here in favor of A.B.313. Therefore, he feels that they are not in favor of this bill.

Robbins Cahill, Managing Director of the Nevada Resort Association, testified that he had nothing to add to previous testimony against A.B.313, except that his association wants to go on record as opposing this bill and endorsing all previous testimony against the bill.

Bob Broadbent, Las Vegas Convention Authority, said that they spend a great deal of money promoting tourism and Nevada's image. They go to great trouble to assure groups that their conventions will go smoothly. They feel that passage of A.B.313 would be one thing which would hurt them in promoting this tourism and enabling them to have orderly conventions.

Mr. Benkovich commented briefly that he had numerous people who wished to show support of A.B.313, and that he was dissatisfied to see that justice was apparently just a theory.

Assembly Committee on Judiciary

Minutes

Page 5.

April 3, 1975

Mr. Broadbent testified again at the request of Mr. Hickey, who was absent from this meeting, in regards to A.B.444 and A.B.446, both bills being primarily introduced by Mr. Hickey.

As regards A.B.446, Mr. Broadbent said this bill was necessary because of the crowded court calendar to allow justices of the peace from outlying areas to handle the work load, and because of restrictions, they are prohibited from doing that. This would allow a visiting justice of the peace to sit in on a different township and to be paid the current rate. They feel that this type of legislation would create a situation to better meet the needs of the people. The most serious crimes that would be handled by a justice of the peace would be the arraignment of a felony. Mr. Broadbent was questioned by this Committee, especially as to the wording "excessive workload" on Page 1, Line 3.

As to A.B.444, Mr. Broadbent testified that this would broaden the area in which a justice of the peace would be able to hear a case. Chairman Barengo questioned Mr. Broadbent in regards to the circumstances under which the justice of the peace would be able to hear cases outside of his township.

Mr. Barengo suggested that this Committee hold off taking any action on A.B.444 and A.B.446 until Mr. Hickey is available for comment. General discussion of these two bills followed.

Mr. Sena then moved to INDEFINITELY POSTPONE A.B.313, and Mrs. Hayes seconded. The floor was opened up to discussion, during which time Mr. Barengo commented on the history of the bill giving 18 year olds adult status which was introduced during the last Legislative Session. A vote was had on the motion, and 6 Committee members were for indefinitely postponing A.B.313. Those dissenting were Mrs. Wagner and Mr. Barengo. Mr. Hickey was not present for the vote. Legislation Action Form is attached to these Minutes.

MOTION CARRIED INDEFINITELY POSTPONE A.B.313.

Discussion on A.B.438 was had. This bill is a technical correction changing the word "property" to "proxy". Mr. Lowman moved DO PASS, and Mr. Sena seconded. A vote was had--8 voting in favor of passage. Mr. Hickey was absent for this vote. Form attached.

MOTION CARRIED DO PASS A.B.438.

Next to be discussed was A.B.381, the shield law. Suggested amendment to this bill was discussed at length, and Mrs. Hayes requested that an amendment be prepared and presented to the Committee for approval.

There being no further business, a motion was made for adjournment. It was seconded, and thereafter, Mr. Barengo adjourned the meeting.

II. BORDERING STATESA. CALIFORNIA

THE LEGAL DRINKING AGE IN CALIFORNIA REMAINS AT 21.

IN JANUARY 1975, A BILL WAS INTRODUCED IN THE CALIFORNIA ASSEMBLY TO LOWER THE LEGAL DRINKING AGE TO 18. THE BILL WAS DEFEATED -- THE FIRST TIME IN THREE (3) YEARS IT HAS FAILED TO CLEAR THE ASSEMBLY.

B. OREGON

LEGAL AGE - 21.

LEGISLATION WAS INTRODUCED AND DEFEATED IN THE LAST LEGISLATIVE SESSION TO LOWER LEGAL DRINKING AGE TO 18.

THE SAME LEGISLATION HAS BEEN INTRODUCED IN THIS SESSION.

* NO FIGURES AVAILABLE

C. WASHINGTON - LEGAL AGE - 21.

TWO YEARS AGO THE WASHINGTON STATE LEGISLATURE PASSED A LAW LOWERING THE LEGAL DRINKING AGE TO 18. A PETITION CAMPAIGN WAS BEGUN IMMEDIATELY AND AN INITIATIVE TO RESCIND THE LAW WAS PASSED BY THE VOTERS OF WASHINGTON BEFORE THE LAW HAD A CHANCE TO GO INTO EFFECT.

D. IDAHO

LEGAL AGE - 19.

IDAHO PASSED A LAW WHICH LOWERED THE LEGAL DRINKING AGE TO 19 WHICH BECAME EFFECTIVE ON JULY 1, 1972.

IN THE SUCCEEDING 12 MONTH PERIOD (JULY 72 - JUNE 73) FATAL ACCIDENTS IN THE 14-19 YEAR OLD AGE GROUP INCREASED BY 11% -- (8 BODIES).

1) IN THE SAME PERIOD, FATAL ACCIDENTS FOR 18 AND 19 YEAR OLDS INCREASED 45%, (FROM 29 TO 42)

A MORE STRIKING COMPARISON SHOWING THE EFFECT OF THE LOWERED DRINKING AGE CAN BE MADE BY COMPARING THE INVOLVEMENT OF 19 AND 20 YEAR OLDS IN ALCOHOL INDUCED ACCIDENTS:

<u>1971</u>	<u>1972</u>	<u>CHANGE</u>	
		#	%
138	187	+149	+108% *

* IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT THE LOWERED DRINKING AGE WAS ONLY IN EFFECT FOR 6 MONTHS OF 1972.

2) IN THE JULY 72 - JUNE 73 PERIOD, OUT-OF-STATERS IN THE 17-19 YEAR OLD AGE GROUP INVOLVED IN FATAL CRASHES INCREASED 100% (FROM 6 TO 12).

E. UTAH

LEGAL DRINKING AGE - 21.

IN CONFERRING WITH THE UTAH OFFICE OF HIGHWAY SAFETY, THE 18 YEAR OLD DRINKING AGE HAS NEVER COME UP IN THE UTAH LEGISLATURE, TO THE BEST OF THEIR KNOWLEDGE.

F. ARIZONA

LEGAL DRINKING AGE - 19.

THE LEGAL DRINKING AGE WAS LOWERED TO 19 IN ARIZONA A YEAR AND ONE-HALF AGO.

IN CONFERRING WITH THE OFFICE OF HIGHWAY SAFETY THERE, IT WAS STATED THAT ACCIDENTS FOR 19-20 YEAR OLDS HAVE INCREASED IN THAT PERIOD, BUT THEY DO NOT HAVE FIGURES TO SUBSTANTIATE THIS.

II. OTHER STATES

A. NEW HAMPSHIRE

LEGAL AGE - 18.

NEW HAMPSHIRE LOWERED THE LEGAL DRINKING AGE TO 18 IN 1973. IN THE ENSUING YEAR, THE FATALITY RATE FOR THE 18-20 YEAR OLD AGE GROUP ATTRIBUTABLE TO ALCOHOL INCREASED 350%. (FROM 4 TO 18)

THERE IS CURRENTLY A BILL IN THE NEW HAMPSHIRE LEGISLATURE TO REVERT TO THE 21 YEAR OLD LEGAL MINIMUM DRINKING AGE.

THERE IS ALSO A BILL UP TO REQUIRE THAT ANYONE UNDER THE AGE OF 21 CONVICTED OF DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE (DUI) LOSE THEIR LICENSE UNTIL ATTAINING THE AGE OF 21.*

* THE B.A.C. LEVEL ATTACHED TO THIS BILL IS .05.

IN A STATISTICAL STUDY COMPARING 6 STATES (3 OF WHICH HAD RECENTLY LOWERED THE DRINKING AGE TO 18, AND 3 OTHERS IN WHICH THE AGE REMAINED AT 21) SPONSORED BY THE INSURANCE INSTITUTE FOR HIGHWAY SAFETY, IT WAS FOUND THAT:

1) "THE CONSISTENT INCREASE IN PROPORTION OF DRIVERS UNDER 21 (IN THOSE STATES WHICH LOWERED THE AGE) IN NIGHTTIME CRASHES VERY LIKELY RESULTED FROM THE REDUCTION IN THE LEGAL MINIMUM DRINKING AGE."

2) "THE PROPORTION OF SINGLE - VEHICLE FATAL CRASHES INVOLVING 18-20 YEAR OLDS IN-LAW CHANGE STATES INCREASED SIGNIFICANTLY CONCURRENT WITH THE REDUCTION IN LEGAL DRINKING AGE IN THOSE STATES."

THE CONCLUSION OF THE STUDY WAS THAT:

"THERE CAN BE LITTLE DOUBT THAT REDUCING THE LEGAL MINIMUM DRINKING AGE IS A SOCIAL POLICY THAT CARRIES A PRICE IN INCREASED FATAL MOTOR VEHICLE COLLISIONS."

* THE STATES COMPARED WERE:

1) MICHIGAN 2) WISCONSIN 3) ONTARIO, CANADA
(WHO ALL REDUCED THEIR LEGAL MINIMUM DRINKING AGE TO 18 IN 1972
OR 1973)

&

1) INDIANA 2) ILLIONOIS 3) MINNESOTA
(WHERE THE LEGAL AGE REMAINED AT 21)

STATEMENT FOR ASSEMBLY JUDICIARY COMMITTEERE: A.R. 313

I am David Hagen, Nevada counsel for the United States Brewers' Association whose members brew ninety per cent of the malt beverages marketed in this country.

The U.S.B.A. has authorized me to oppose A.B. 313, not from any reluctance to expand their market nor from any belief that persons eighteen years old should not be permitted to drink their product, but because of the unique circumstances in which Nevada finds itself as a tourist economy.

Easily fifty per cent of the visitors to our State come from California where the drinking age is 21 and whose Legislature this year defeated a measure to reduce that age to 18. My client knows that driving does not mix with excessive drinking and fears that an eighteen-year-old drinking law in Nevada would cause some Californians in the eighteen to twenty age group to travel here, invariably by automobile, for the purpose of consuming alcoholic beverages. To the extent that this occurred, A.B. 313, born in the spirit of recognizing the reality that persons eighteen years old are adult, would unwittingly induce highway travel solely for the purpose of drinking. The U.S.B.A. wants no part of such a market.



WILLIAM G. MILLIKEN, GOVERNOR

STATE SAFETY COMMISSION
DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE

March 17, 1975

HONORARY CHAIRMAN
WILLIAM G. MILLIKEN
Governor

CHAIRMAN
RICHARD H. AUSTIN
Secretary of State

VICE CHAIRMAN
NOEL C. BUFE
Executive Director
Office of Highway
Safety Planning

COL. GEORGE L. HALVERSON
Director
Department of
State Police

DR. JOHN PORTER
Superintendent of
Public Instruction

JOHN P. WOODFORD
Director
Department of
State Highways

COMMISSION SECRETARY
MRS. FRANCES J. MILLER

Mr. Les Kofoed
Gaming Industry Association
of Nevada, Inc.
Suite 1007
One East First Street
Reno, Nevada 89501

Dear Mr. Kofoed:

Mr. Thomas Shawver, public information administrator of the Michigan Department of State Highways and Transportation, asked that we send you available statistics on the effects of having lowered the legal age for consumption of alcoholic beverages in Michigan.

The enclosed report, supplied by our Michigan Department of State Police, should supply those facts.

Let me know if additional information is necessary.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Frances J. Miller
MRS. FRANCES J. MILLER (slab)
Commission Secretary

Enclosure

FJM:clb

MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT EXPERIENCE OF DRIVERS 18 TO 20 YEARS OF AGE
AND OF ALL OTHER DRIVERS IN MICHIGAN

First Half of 1971, 1972 and 1973

One of the most publicized aspects of the drinking and driving problem has been the emphasis on the "young drivers", 18 to 20 years of age. Very diverse opinions as to the significance of the data exist among the concerned interest groups.

In an attempt to display the information in an easily understood and neutral manner, questions and answers reflecting the inquiries most often received are listed below in addition to comparative first-half summaries which are attached.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

1. Q. When did the "Age of Majority" act, which gave 18 to 20 year olds the right to drink, become law?

A. January 1, 1972

2. Q. Were 18 to 20 year old drinking drivers involved in more accidents in the first half of 1973 than they were in the first half of 1971 when compared with all other drinking drivers?

A. Yes

Accidents	DRINKING DRIVERS	
	18-20 Year Drivers	All Other Drivers
Total Accidents	+112.2%	+4.7%
Fatal Accidents	+112.1%	+18.4%
Injury Accidents	+105.1%	+4.6%
Property Damage Accidents	+118.6%	+4.5%

3. Q. What is the percent of drinking drivers to all drivers involved in accidents by age group in the first half of 1973?

A.

Accidents	DRINKING DRIVERS	
	18-20 Year Drivers	All Other Drivers
Total Accidents	9.0%	8.7%
Fatal Accidents	35.0%	26.9%
Injury Accidents	12.1%	11.5%
Property Damage Accidents	7.2%	7.2%

4. Q. How do the 18 to 20 year old "young drivers", both drinking and not drinking, compare with all other drivers in accident involvement since 1971?

A. Listed below are the comparative figures for each age group since 1971:

Accidents	ALL DRIVERS	
	18-20 Year Drivers	All Other Drivers
Total Accidents	+19.0%	+7.4%
Fatal Accidents	+22.0%	+8.1%
Injury Accidents	+26.4%	+11.5%
Property Damage Accidents	+15.6%	+5.5%

5. Q. Do the "young drivers" become involved in more accidents per licensed driver than the rest of the driving population?

A. In 1973, the accident rate for the "young driver" is 16,970 accidents per 100,000 licensed drivers while the rate for all other licensed drivers is 9,593 accidents per 100,000 drivers, or 77% greater than the rate for the older driver.

6. Q. Comparisons have been made with 1971, the year preceding the "Age of Majority" bill. How does 1973 activity compare with 1972?

A. Comparisons between the first half of each year indicate that the great spiral of involvement of the "young driver" has leveled off, except fatal and injury accidents, as shown on the last of the attached statistical summaries which compare 1972 and 1973.

7. Q. What is the source of the information used for these statistics?

A. Traffic accident reports from all police departments within Michigan.

8. Q. How is the drinking condition of the drivers determined?

A. The drinking condition of the drivers involved is the result of the accident investigator's considered opinion based on what he observed and learned at the scene of the traffic accident.

MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT EXPERIENCE OF DRIVERS 18 TO 20 YEARS OF AGE
AND OF ALL OTHER DRIVERS IN MICHIGAN
First Half of 1971 and 1973

	DRIVERS 18 TO 20 YEARS OF AGE				ALL OTHER DRIVERS			
	1971	1973	Change		1971	1973	Change	
			Count	Percent			Count	Percent
DRIVERS WHO HAD BEEN DRINKING Involved in:								
Any Accident	1,772	3,760	+1,988	+112.2	20,408	21,365	+957	+4.7
Fatal Accident	33	70	+37	+112.1	261	309	+48	+18.4
Injury Accident	825	1,692	+867	+105.1	8,677	9,075	+398	+4.6
Property Damage Accident	914	1,998	+1,084	+118.6	11,470	11,981	+511	+4.5
TOTAL DRIVERS Involved in:								
Any Accident	35,287	42,091	+6,714	+19.0	229,243	246,106	+16,863	+7.4
Fatal Accident	164	200	+36	+22.0	1,064	1,150	+86	+8.1
Injury Accident	11,083	14,007	+2,924	+26.4	70,601	78,750	+8,149	+11.5
Property Damage Accident	24,040	27,794	+3,754	+15.6	157,578	166,206	+8,628	+5.5
DRIVERS WHO HAD BEEN DRINKING in percent of all drivers Involved in:								
Any Accident	5.0	9.0	----	+80.0	8.9	8.7	---	-2.2
Fatal Accident	20.1	35.0	---	+74.0	24.5	26.9	---	+9.8
Injury Accident	7.4	12.1	---	+64.0	10.8	11.5	---	+6.5
Property Damage Accident	3.8	7.2	---	+89.0	7.3	7.2	---	-1.4
ANNUAL RATE PER 100,000 DRIVERS Drivers Who Had Been Drinking Involved in:								
Any Accident	734	1,519	---	+107.0	810	833	---	+2.8
Fatal Accident	14	28	---	+100.0	10	12	---	+20.0
Injury Accident	342	634	---	+100.0	344	354	---	+2.9
Property Damage Accident	378	807	---	+113.0	455	467	---	+2.6
ANNUAL RATE PER 100,000 DRIVERS TOTAL DRIVERS Involved in Any Accident	14,612	16,970	---	+16.1	9,102	9,593	---	+5.4
LICENSED DRIVERS (1,000's)	483	495	---	+2.5	5,037	5,131	---	+1.9

July 31, 1973

Prepared by Michigan Department of State Police
Safety & Traffic Division in cooperation with
Michigan Office of Highway Safety Planning

MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENT EXPERIENCE OF DRIVERS 18 TO 20 YEARS OF AGE
AND OF ALL OTHER DRIVERS IN MICHIGAN
First Half of 1971 and 1972

	DRIVERS 18 TO 20 YEARS OF AGE				ALL OTHER DRIVERS			
	1971	1972	Change		1971	1972	Change	
			Count	Percent			Count	Percent
DRIVERS WHO HAD BEEN DRINKING Involved in:								
Any Accident	1,772	3,800	+2,028	+114.4	20,408	21,633	+1,225	+5.0
Fatal Accident	33	62	+29	+87.9	261	285	+24	+9.2
Injury Accident	825	1,654	+829	+100.5	8,677	9,152	+475	+5.5
Property Damage Accident	914	2,084	+1,170	+128.0	11,470	12,196	+726	+6.3
TOTAL DRIVERS Involved in:								
Any Accident	35,287	40,223	+4,936	+14.0	229,243	240,240	+10,997	+4.8
Fatal Accident	164	202	+38	+23.2	1,064	1,119	+55	+5.2
Injury Accident	11,083	13,063	+1,980	+17.9	70,601	75,427	+4,826	+6.8
Property Damage Accident	24,040	26,958	+2,918	+12.1	157,578	163,694	+6,116	+3.9
DRIVERS WHO HAD BEEN DRINKING in percent of all drivers Involved in:								
Any Accident	5.0	9.4	---	+88.0	8.9	9.0	---	+1.1
Fatal Accident	20.1	30.7	---	+53.0	24.5	25.5	---	+4.1
Injury Accident	7.4	12.7	---	+72.0	10.8	12.1	---	+12.0
Property Damage Accident	3.8	7.7	---	+103.0	7.3	7.5	---	+2.7
ANNUAL RATE PER 100,000 DRIVERS Drivers Who Had Been Drinking Involved in:								
Any Accident	734	1,551	---	+111.0	810	852	---	+5.2
Fatal Accident	14	25	---	+79.0	10	11	---	+10.0
Injury Accident	342	675	---	+97.0	344	360	---	+4.7
Property Damage Accident	378	851	---	+125.0	455	480	---	+5.5
ANNUAL RATE PER 100,000 DRIVERS TOTAL DRIVERS Involved in Any Accident	14,612	16,418	---	+12.4	9,102	9,458	---	+3.9
LICENSED DRIVERS (1,000's)	483	490	---	+1.0	5,037	5,080	---	+0.9

July 31, 1973.

Prepared by Michigan Department of State Police
Safety & Traffic Division in cooperation with
Michigan Office of Highway Safety Planning

ASSEMBLY JUDICIARY COMMITTEE
58th NEVADA SESSION

LEGISLATION ACTION

DATE April 3, 1975

BILL NO. A. B. 438

MOTION: _____

Do Pass Amend _____ Indefinitely Postpone _____ Reconsider _____

Moved By Mr. Lowman Seconded By Mr. Sena

AMENDMENT: _____

Moved By _____ Seconded By _____

AMENDMENT: _____

Moved By _____ Seconded By _____

VOTE:	MOTION		AMEND		AMEND	
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Barengo	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Banner	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Hayes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Heaney	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Hickey	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Lowman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Polish	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Sena	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Wagner	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Mr. Hickey was absent.

TALLY:

ORIGINAL MOTION: Passed _____ Defeated _____ Withdrawn _____

Amended & Passed _____ Amended & Defeated _____

Amended & Passed _____ Amended & Defeated _____

Attach to Minutes April 3, 1975
Date

ASSEMBLY JUDICIARY COMMITTEE
58th NEVADA SESSION

LEGISLATION ACTION

DATE April 3, 1975

BILL NO. A. B. 313

MOTION: _____

Do Pass _____ Amend _____ Indefinitely Postpone Reconsider _____

Moved By Mr. Sena Seconded By Mrs. Hayes

AMENDMENT: _____

Moved By _____ Seconded By _____

AMENDMENT: _____

Moved By _____ Seconded By _____

VOTE:	MOTION		AMEND		AMEND	
	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Barengo		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Banner	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
Hayes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
Heaney	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
Hickey						
Lowman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
Polish	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
Sena	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
Wagner		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

Mr. Hickey was absent.

TALLY:

ORIGINAL MOTION: Passed Defeated _____ Withdrawn _____

Amended & Passed _____ Amended & Defeated _____

Amended & Passed _____ Amended & Defeated _____

Attach to Minutes April 3, 1975
Date

Drinking measure scrapped

SACRAMENTO (AP) — Worried about teen-age alcoholism and drunken driving, the California Assembly scrapped a measure Thursday to let voters decide whether to lower the drinking age from 21 to 18.

Thursday's 49-24 vote, five short of the needed two-thirds, rejected the measure for the fifth straight year. The other four years it was killed in the Senate.

attach
to orig
Cmin
4/3