GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF THE MEETING

APRIL 23, 1975

MEMBERS PRESENT: C

Chairman Dini

Vice-Chairman Murphy
Assemblyman Craddock
Assemblyman Harmon
Assemblyman May
Assemblyman Moody
Assemblyman Schofield
Assemblyman Ford
Assemblyman Young

(A.B. 572, S.B. 385).

Mr. Dini called the meeting to order at 5:00 P.M.

Mr. Dini stated that the committee was meeting in order to make an effort to take some decisive decision on A.B. 572.

The committee discussed the amendments proposed at the meeting of this committee on April 22, 1975 at 5:00 P.M.

Mr. Dini stated that Mr. Asherman had proposed some clean up language under Section 17 which referred to supervisory employee. He asked if the committee had any objection to the proposed language that Mr. Ashelman had proposed. Mr. Dini then read from the amendments which Mr. Ashelman had proposed. Mr. Dini stated that he thought that it clarified the definition of a supervisory employee.

Mr. Dini then referred to the addition to the scope of bargaining the word "safety" as (r) on page 6.

Mr. Young moved that other forms of direct monetary compensation be stricken. Mr. Harmon seconded the motion. The following members were opposed: Mr. Dini, Mr. May, Mr. Craddock, Mr. Schofield and Mr. May. The motion did not carry.

Mr. Young moved that Line 10, page 6 (k) be stricken. Mr. Craddock stated that what would happen here would be that people were completely classified into another position. It is necessary that it be in there as the membership could be gone.

Mr. Young stated that he would assume that public employees are classified the same way. He stated that it would seem rediculous to classify something like that. Mr. Young and Mr. Moody were in favor. The motion did not carry.

Mr. Dini then referred to the dates in the bill. He stated that December 1 should be changed to January 15th. Also, under section 288.180 the date should be changed to April 1.



Mrs. Ford moved for the adoption of the amendment of the change of the dates, which would have to be changed throughout that section. The motion was seconded by Mr. Murphy. All of the members were in favor of the motion and it was carried unanimously. Mr. Harmon was not present at the time of the vote.

Mr. Dini then referred to the Advisory Committee in Section 8, page 2. Mr. Dini stated that some language was needed for a vacancy provision if a vacancy occurs on the management side. The remaining members will fill the vacancy; if a vacancy appears on the employees side, the remaining employee members will fill it. The committee was in favor of this amendment.

Senator Gibson testified on <u>S.B. 385</u>. He stated that they have had difficulties in getting water into the Valley. He stated that they have been notified by the state health department that their system does not meet the standards required. There is no adequate surge storage in the system. In attempting to develop the system to meet requirements they have limited financial capabilities.

He stated that they presented the problem to the legislative council bureau with the result that the recommendation was that the two existing companies be merged into a special water district that would encompass the entire area. This has been drafted and it has the approval of the FHA people. He stated that this act was patterned after the Las Vegas Valley Water Act. He stated that there have been problems with regard to the amendments. Senator Gibson stated that it is very essentional for the communities to have this bill, and he requested the favorable consideration of the committee.

Mrs. Ford asked if the two districts were utilizing any ad veloram. Senator Gibson replied that he did not think so. Mrs. Ford stated that this gives them the power to use ad veloram.

Senator Gibson replied that it is not anticiapted that this would be used. It is similar to what the water district has. He stated that he did not think there was any problem with that.

Mr. May stated that they were exempt from the regulations by the Public Service Commission. Senator Gibson replied that it was required that they have an annual meeting and account for the operation of the members of the district. Mr. May stated that some of the language on page 2 was unusual and he referred to line 47. He stated that there was no limitation on the power within the district.

Senator Gibson replied that he thought that this was an added safeguard.

Mr. Young moved for a do pass which was seconded by Mr. Schofield. The motion carried unanimously. Mr. Moody and Mr. Harmon were not present at the time of the vote.

The committee then discussed $A.B.\ 572$ again. It was decided that the deletion of sections 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 should be discussed. Mr. Murphy moved for the deletion of these sections and the motion was seconded by Mr. May.

The motion carried unanimously. Mr. Harmon, Mrs. Ford and Mr. Moody not present at the time of the vote.

Mr. Dini asked how the committee felt about expanding the committee to a five man committee. He referred to (g) on page 6. The words "or week" should be added. Mr. Murphy moved for the insertion of the words "or week". The motion was seconded by Mr. May. The motion carried unanimously. Mr. Harmon and Mr. Moody were not present at the time of the meeting.

The committee next discussed the last page of the bill. It was decided that the language should read:

race, color, religion, sex, age, physical or visual handicap or national origin or because of political or personal reasons or affiliations.

Mr. Young moved for an amend and do pass which was seconded Mr. Mr. Moody and Mr. Harmon.

The vote of the committee was as follows:

Mr. Schofield, yes, Mr. Craddock, no, Mr. May no, Mr. Dini, yes, Mr. Murphy no, Mrs. Ford no, Mr. Young, yes, Mr. Moody, yes, Mr. Harmon, yes.

The motion carried.

There being no further business to come before the meeting, the meeting adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara Gomez

Committee Secretary