Assembly

GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE MINUTES OF THE MEETING JANUARY 22, 1973

MEMBERS PRESENT:

DINI ULLOM

BROOKMAN (late)
MAY (late)

GOJACK SMITH GETTO FORD YOUNG

ABSENT: NONE

GUESTS: W.E. ADAMS - CITY OF LAS VEGAS

The chairman called the meeting to order.

Assemblymen Smith suggested to the committee that the minutes of the meetings should point out legislative intent. He explained that between sessions he had received many calls asking what the intent of legislation actually was. The chairman agreed that the minutes would reflect intent so that the minutes could be used as reference during the time between sessions.

The chair asked for committee introduction of two BDRs dealing with the charters for the cities of Yerington and Las Vegas. It was explained that these charter changes are the result of the work of the sub-committee which worked with the cities during the past two years.

Assemblyman Smith moved a committee introduction for BDR 211 and BDR 215.

Assemblyman Getto seconded the motion.

The motion was unamiously passed.

Assemblyman Ford suggested that the new members of the committee might benefit from some background material on city charters and why they are being changed.

The chairman explained that the changes are at the request of the cities and the committee would meet with the Senate Federal, State and Local Governments Committee on January 25, 1973 to hear the legislative proposals of the Nevada Municipal Association and during that meeting the representatives of the cities would explain why the charter changes were being requested.

Assemblymen Brookman and May joined the committee at this point.

Assemblyman Smith brought to the committee's attention the fact that the issue of the public's right to know was going to be an issue that would produce much interest during this session and many of the bills in committee would in some way be concerned

\*A.B. 61 (BDZ 5-211) (\*) A.B. 62 (BDZ 5-215)

2

## Assembly

GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE MINUTES OF THE MEETING JANUARY 22, 1973 PAGE 2

with this matter. He explained that many of the public notice clauses would have to be looked at most closely to make sure that the public's right to know is clearly protected.

The meeting was ajurned.