

SENATE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

MINUTES OF MEETING

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 1971

HELEN HERR, CHAIRMAN

## SENATE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

## MINUTES OF MEETING

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 1971

HELEN HERR, CHAIRMAN

The meeting was called to order at 1 P.M. by  
Senator Herr, Chairman.

## PRESENT:

Senator Herr  
Senator Dodge  
Senator Monroe  
Senator Drakulich  
Senator Pozzi  
Senator Manning

## WITNESSES:

Mr. Herz, Department of Motor Vehicles  
Mr. Richards, Dept. of Motor Vehicles  
Mr. Fred Litte, Dept. of Motor Vehicles  
Mr. Leonard Winkleman, Department of  
Motor Vehicles

The Chairman announced that they would consider  
two bills, S.B. 117 and S.B. 202.

Mr. Herz of the Department of Motor Vehicles  
was called upon to discuss S.B. 117, and the costs relat-  
ing to a change in the performance of duties in register-  
ing motor vehicles. Mr. Herz submitted a breakdown  
of the costs, a copy of which is attached hereto, and  
made a part hereof. He said that if they took over the  
responsibility of the assessor's office there would be  
certain expense by the department.

Senator Pozzi then spoke on the bill.

Senator Dodge inquired if they did any of the registration, to which Mr. Herz replied: " We do it all." Senator Dodge asked from the standpoint of the public how this would work? Mr. Herz said that they would have to inform the people in Carson City; that in the State of California they register in the Department of Motor Vehicles.

Senator Dodge: " It we render services to the public, if we are going to have it at all, registration should not be through the Assesor's office. The main office of the Department of Motor Vehicles should know all the answers as far as the public is concerned." The Committee then discussed the proposed costs submitted b y Mr. Herz.

The Chairman suggested that Mr. Hill be called to discuss the bill at the next meeting on Wednesday, February 24, 1971.

The bill was held for further discussion on the above date.

The Chairman stated that they would not consider S.B. 202, an act relating to vehicle license plates; changing the ltter and number designation in certain instances; and providing other matters relating theretto.

It was stated that to clear up a part of the code in the last session, there was no drastic change, there was no conflict. Everything in the bill was discussed

to the satisfaction of the committee Senator Herr said.

A discussion was had as to the numbering and where the tag should be placed on trailers; it was stated that they should be placed in the front of the vehicle, not the back, so that the sticker could be more readily seen.

A question arose as to how the campers were licensed, and Mr. Herz said that in every camper he had noticed in the past week, only one had a sticker that could be seen; that they should be in front of the camper.

Senator Dodge inquired if this was anything that had to go to Finance, to which the reply was: The law reads that the system would be self-sustaining.

Mr. Leonard Winkleman then spoke about the revenues derived from Motor Vehicle Registration. He said that all of the revenues derived from motor vehicle registration goes to the State Highway Department. From the State Highway Department the dollars they would receive would be from that source. That there was a one percent commission for collection. It was stated that all the assessor wanted to do was "get rid of it." But, when they were told what they would lose, a 50% commission, they backed up; that they cannot lose that. It would take considerable revenue away from the county.

Senator Dodge inquired if they could estimate if you took the assessor out of it how much money would go to the highway from this source?

Mr. Winkleman said that he would not care to comment on that at this time.

Senator Dodge: "I feel that it should be self-sustaining, if you get five percent." Mr. Winkleman said that it would be self-sustaining if the money did not go to the highway department and then come back to their department.

Senator Moroe inquired if Carson City could make money issuing these registrations why could you not take the money, why would it cost you more to issue them? Mr. Winkleman said that all of the counties were wanting to back up. The \$1.00 fee was discussed, it was said that the difference between the one percent and six percent depended upon who handled the matter.

Senator Monroe questioned the license designations for trailers. He said Carson City had an "O" he said there should be a different "O" in the numbering. Mr. Herz said they had done the best they could, that they had a goose egg "o"

Senator Dodge moved that S.B. 202 Do Pass, the motion was seconded by Senator Manning, and the vote of approval was unanimous.

The Chairman stated that Mr. Bob Guinn should be asked to talk about the random inspection bill; that he would be asked to be present at the next meeting at 1 P.M. on Wednesday, February 24, 1971.

The Chairman also stated that the insurance bill could be set for hearing two weeks hence.

Senate Bill 257 was discussed. Senator Pozzi said that the Assembly passed a bill over to the Senate, the Senator discussed the bill briefly, and stated that the bill which came over from the Assembly did not have any finances attached to it.

There being no further business to come before the committee, it was adjourned at 1:45 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

---

E. Story, Secretary

APPROVED:

---

HELEN HERR, CHAIRMAN



2. CLARIFICATION OF STATE COMMISSION TO STATE, NRS 482.183 SECTION 4:  
(As Commission to the State for collecting the Privilege taxes on vehicles subject to the provisions of Chapter 482 and 706 of NRS the Department shall retain 1 percent from Carson City and counties acting as agencies of the Department and 6 percent from counties where the Department has established branch offices.)

The question arises, regarding whether the Department would be operating as a branch office, and retain to the 6 percent or 1 percent commission on all registration transactions performed by the Department.

3. CLARIFICATION OF SECTION 5 of SENATE BILL NO. 117

Would the \$1 fee for each Registration performed by the Department be compensated to the Assessor's Office, Carson City.



SENATE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

HELEN HERR, CHAIRMAN

Monday

DATE: 2-22-71

TIME 1 P.M.

ROOM 335

1. REASON FOR MEETING:

To hear testimony on S.B. 202

~~S.B. 117~~

2. LIMITATIONS OF COMMITTEE (if any)

3. PROCEDURE WE WILL FOLLOW:

Persons wishing to testify will identify himself, and who he represents.

Persons wishing to speak in favor of the bill will be heard first.

CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the Committee?

4. We will then hear from those who may have an alternate plan, or opposition. Identify, and state opposition.

CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the Committee?

5. DISMISS THOSE WHO HAVE TESTIFIED.

CHAIRMAN: Any further questions from the Committee?

6. ACTION:

S.B. 117 Hold, Calling Mr. Hill  
S.B. 202 Do Pass

SENATE

AGENDA FOR COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

Date Feb. 22, 1971 Time 1 P.M. Room 335

Bills or Resolutions  
to be considered

Subject

Counsel  
requested\*

S.B. 117  
*Mr. Hill 2-24-71*

Requires department of motor  
vehicles and Carson City assessor  
to perform registration duties in  
Carson City.

*held*

S.B. 202

Changes certain letter designations  
on license plates.

*Do Pass*

\*Please do not ask for counsel unless necessary.

HEARINGS PENDING

Date \_\_\_\_\_ Time \_\_\_\_\_ Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Subject \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_ Time \_\_\_\_\_ Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Subject \_\_\_\_\_



343

117  
202

Wed. Herr —

Bob Guim 86 - 8 copies

Calif is fine

cc: To Senator Herr

SENATE

AGENDA FOR COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

Date Feb. 22, 1971 Time 1 P.M. Room 335

<u>Bills or Resolutions to be considered</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Counsel requested*</u>
<u>S.B. 117</u>	<u>Requires department of motor vehicles and Carson City assessor to perform registration duties in Carson City.</u>	
<u>S.B. 202</u>	<u>Changes certain letter designations on license plates.</u>	

Analysis of S.B. 5 and A.B. 31  
State Department of Education

Calculation of certified-employee-units assumes that for every 18 A.D.A., an employee can be supported.

3,025 A.D.A. divided by 18 is 168.

Translated to dollars, these calculations and assumptions show:

3,025 A.D.A. x \$750	=	\$ 2,268,750
168 employee units @ \$8000	=	<u>1,344,000</u>
		\$ 3,612,750

\$3,612,750 divided by 121,000 A.D.A. = \$29.85 per pupil in A.D.A. attributable to Special Education costs.

(4) 117,975 x 29.85 = \$3,514,553

Total direct and indirect payments  
for Special Education (2) + (3) + (4) \$ 9,991,075  
or \$3302.84 per pupil for  
Special Ed. A.D.A.