

## LABOR AND MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE \_ 56TH ASSEMBLY SESSION

Minutes of Meeting - March 24, 1971

PRESENT: Chairman, D. Poggione, D. Branch and R. Bryan

ABSENT: H. McKissick, T. Hafen, D. Mello & R. Capurro.

## OTHERS:

PRESENT Lou Paley, Executive Secretary AFL-CIO; Assemblyman Keith Ashworth and Bill Villa and Mervin Flanders from the Agency for the Blind.

Meeting was convened by Chairman, Dan Poggione at 8:25 a.m. but, since a quorum was not present, action could not be taken on the bills on the agenda.

However, testimony offered by Keith Ashworth on A.B. 474 was heard and hereby made a matter of record.

A.B. 474: Provides union label need not be affixed to public printing produced in commercial printing shop.

Mr. Ashworth stated that this was not an anti-union bill. The law presently states that the union label shall be affixed to all public printing, except work produced in the reproduction division of the State Printing Office. Mr. Hanes, of Hanes-Thomas Printing in Las Vegas was told that, because of this law, he would have to affix the union label to work for the University of Las Vegas, since this exemption did not apply to commercial printing companies. The type of work on which he wanted to bid was reproduction work - merely taking a picture and running it off.

Because of this restriction, Mr. Ashworth believed the law should include the same exemption for commercial printing shops. Mr. Hanes had called Harvey Dickerson, at the Attorney-General's office, and was told that he really didn't have to put this label on, because there is a reference in the Right-to-Work law concerning this. Mr. Ashworth felt it was discriminatory if the union label did not have to be put on by the State Offices for reproduction matters, but would have to be put on by commercial establishments.

Lou Paley spoke against the bill. He did not like to see the laws being changed after all these years and going back to "Sweat Shop" days. The State has been putting more of its work out for bid, since they feel it is a savings, but the law is there to protect the printers - those who have had years of experience and must be qualified. This exemption would allow even a high school student be employed to run some of the machines.

## Assembly

Page 2 - Labor and Management Committee - March 24, 1971

26

Mr. Ashworth said, that in the case of Mr. Hanes' shop, there was no Typographical work involved but only lithograph machines were used. They do buy their paper from union shops but their equipment is the same as that used in the Public Printing Office of the State. He suggested the addition of the word "reproduction", on Line 4 of the bill, between the words "commercial and printing".

After hearing on A.B. 474, the meeting was adjourned at 8:45 a.m.

ASSEMBLY

AGENDA FOR COMMITTEE ON LABOR AND MANAGEMENT

Date March 24, 1971 Time 8:15 A.M. Room 320

Bills or Resolutions  
to be considered

Subject

Counsel  
requested\*

A.B. 618

CLARIFIES RIGHTS OF HANDICAPPED PERSONS

A.B. 474

PROVIDES UNION LABEL NEED NOT BE AFFIXED  
TO PUBLIC PRINTING PRODUCED IN COMMERCIAL  
PRINTING SHOP.

\*Please do not ask for counsel unless necessary.

HEARINGS PENDING

Date \_\_\_\_\_ Time \_\_\_\_\_ Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Subject \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_ Time \_\_\_\_\_ Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Subject \_\_\_\_\_