

*

Minutes of Meeting - HEALTH AND WELFARE COMMITTEE - 56th
ASSEMBLY SESSION - April 6, 1971

Present: Smalley, Wilson, Valentine, Glaser, Poggione, Prince,
and Swallow

Absent: Homer and White

Guests: Betty Wever, Medical Technologist (ASMT and NSMT);
Bernice Randall, Cosmetology Board; Dorothy Feeney,
Cosmetology Board; Verna Evans, Secretary for
Department of Education, Administrator of GED test;
Arnold Almond, Cosmetology Board; Charles Azcarate,
Reno Hairdressers Association and Cosmetological
School owner; John D. White, Owner of Sierra Beauty
College; Gloria Handley, Welfare Division; William
LaBadie, Welfare Division; Ray Monia, Cosmetology;
Merv Flanders, Services to the Blind; Dave Tomlinson,
Welfare Division; P. Frigazzotto, Chief Bureau of
Labs - Division of Health; Dr. John Carr, Health
Division.

Meeting convened by Chairman Wilson at 3:45 P.M.

A.B. 447- Establishes cost-sharing program for county welfare
services.

The Committee heard testimony on this bill at an earlier date.

Mr. Glaser made a motion to Indefinitely Postpone A.B. 447;
Valentine seconded; motion carried with a vote of 6-1 with
Mr. Smalley dissenting.

A.B. 547: Allows state health officer to participate in
seminars, lectures for suitable stipend.

The Committee heard testimony on this bill at an earlier date.

Mr. Valentine made a motion to Indefinitely Postpone A.B. 547;
Poggione seconded; motion carried with a vote of 5-2 with Mr.
Smalley and Swallow dissenting.

A.B. 556: Provides that grants of old-age assistance recipients
shall not be decreased by reason of sharing an abode.

This bill has been held until the Welfare Division could get the
total number of recipients that are on old-age assistance.

Dave Tomlinson, Welfare Division, stated there are presently
32,000.

Mr. Valentine made a motion to Indefinitely Postpone A.B. 556;
Prince seconded; motion carried unanimously.

S.B. 520: Proposes various amendments to Act Concerning Cosmetology.

Dorothy Feeney, Board of Cosmetology, stated the Board has agreed to certain amendments to this bill in order to go along with all people involved.

Page 3, Line 3 states "it shall not schedule appointments for any students" - the Board has agreed to drop this. She stated they are interested only in getting the proper education for students.

There is another problem on Page 3, Lines 18 & 19. The Board is trying to raise the educational standards. This bill does not state what proves the equivalency of a high school diploma. She felt the GED Test should be added because this test is the same as a 12th grade level.

Verna Evans, Secretary for Department of Education, stated she is the administrator of the GED Test. This test is equivalent to a high school diploma as announced by the American Council on Education. (Attachment 1)

Page 2, Line 47 states a student cannot work more than 6 days a week. The Board feels this should be changed to 5 days a week to conform with the law.

The Board has also agreed to leave the bond for schools at \$5,000 rather than \$25,000.

Mr. White, Owner - Operator of Sierra and Prater Beauty Colleges, stated he felt the bond being changed to \$25,000 is unnecessary. He checked with an insurance company in California pertaining to this. He sent Mr. White a letter, which was submitted. (Attachment 2)

Discussion followed as to the rotation basis of students. Mrs. Feeney stated if this is not done, some students will not get the education they need. Mr. Poggione suggested changing this to say "if someone is specifically asked for, they can do the work, but if not, it is a rotation basis". Mrs. Feeney agreed to this.

Charles Azcarate, Owner-Operator of Ponce' Beauty College, stated this rotation basis was the only opposition he has to the bill. There is already a 90% turn over of students in beauty colleges. He was afraid this would make it even worse.

Mr. Valentine questioned Page 1, Subsection 2, Lines 16-18. He stated he understood the intent but there was too much jargon in the wording. He suggested stating "it is the responsibility of the owner or operator of a cosmetological establishment to have properly licensed cosmeticians employed in such establishments". Mrs. Feeney stated the Board would agree.

Minutes of Meeting - HEALTH AND WELFARE COMMITTEE - 56th
ASSEMBLY SESSION - April 6, 1971

It was decided that Mr. Prince and Valentine would talk with Senator Herr and make up the proper amendments to this bill.

A.B. 501: Provides for licensing of medical laboratory personnel and revises procedure for licensing certain medical laboratories.

Betty Wever, Medical Technologist, felt this is only a "one-man bill". This is a bad bill because it does not say anything about training of a technologist. Section 2 defines a medical technologist but it should also state that they must have 12 months of training. This bill would be unfair to all of those that have studied and taken the examination. She thought there was only 3 or 4 that did not qualify.

Mr. Prince questioned them from the stand point of testimony that has already been heard.

Mr. Frigazzotto, Chief Bureau of Labs - Division of Health, stated there is confusion in this bill. When people from out of the State comes to Nevada, they receive certificates without an examination, but to be qualified they must take the test because they do not have reciprocity with any states.

S.B. 256: Amends law granting benefits and privileges to blind persons.

The Committee heard testimony on this bill at an earlier date. Mr. Wilson stated he had had numerous complaints against this bill from the blind people.

Mr. Valentine made a motion to Indefinitely Postpone S.B. 256; Poggione seconded; motion carried unanimously.

Meeting adjourned at 4:45 P.M.

ASSEMBLY

AGENDA FOR COMMITTEE ON HEALTH AND WELFARE

Date April 6 Time P.M. RecessRoom 328

Bills or Resolutions
to be considered

Subject

Counsel
requested*

<u>S.B. 256</u>	<u>Amends law granting benefits and privileges to blind persons.</u>	<u>SB/ly</u>
<u>A.B. 447</u>	<u>Establishes cost-sharing program for county welfare services.</u>	<u>SB/ly</u>
<u>A.B. 501</u>	<u>Provides for licensing of medical laboratory personnel and revises procedure for licensing certain medical laboratories.</u>	<u>re considering</u>
<u>A.B. 547</u>	<u>Allows state health officer to par- ticipate in seminars lectures for suitable stipend.</u>	<u>SB/ly</u>
<u>A.B. 556</u>	<u>Provides that grants of old-age assistance recipients shall not be decreased by reason of sharing an abode.</u>	<u>SB/ly</u>
<u>SB 520</u>		<u>M/S el</u>

*Please do not ask for counsel unless necessary.

HEARINGS PENDING

Date _____ Time _____ Room _____
Subject _____

Date _____ Time _____ Room _____
Subject _____

state
department
of education
policies

Issuance of
High School Certificates
Based on
GED Test Results

Granting of
High School Credit for
Military
Educational Experiences

BULLETIN NO. 5 • TENTH EDITION • JANUARY 1969

*Commission on Accreditation of Service Experiences
American Council on Education
1785 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036*

PREFACE

FOR THE FIRST TIME since *State Department of Education Policies* has been published, the policies of *all* departments of education provide for the use of the Tests of General Educational Development (GED) to measure achievement at the high school graduation level as a basis for issuance of certificates to adults. *The certificates issued either by the departments of education or high schools are legal documents, acceptable in the same manner as are high school diplomas for meeting high school graduation requirements.* The poli-

S

yes

ate

ior

sin

of



ESTABLISHED 1875

INSURANCE • REAL ESTATE • MORTGAGE LOANS • PROPERTY MANAGEMENT • APPRAISALS

490 NORTH MAGNOLIA AVENUE
P. O. BOX 367
EL CAJON, CALIFORNIA 92022
442-6691 (714)

HAROLD T. MARKS
Vice President

March 18, 1971

Sierra Beauty College Inc.
105 N. Sierra Street
Reno, Nevada 89501

Dear Mr. White:

Pursuant to our conversation regarding the Cosmetological bond here in the state of California, I would like to submit the following facts:

As you know, I have been the largest underwriter of beauty schools in California for the past eight years and today have over 85% of all schools in the state insured.

Our experience with regards to the Cosmetological bond is such that we have never been presented with a claim on this bond (our California Cosmetological School Bond is written in the amount of \$5,000.00).

After our discussion, I called the State Board of Cosmetology in Sacramento and discussed this particular bond with the Executive Secretary. The only claims they could recall were three in number and these claims were resolved without resorting to the bond. Your know, of course, our bond guarantees the students will receive instruction for the amount of money he, or she, has paid to an individual schoolowner.

I don't believe the situation is too different in the State of Nevada. I'm referring particularly to the shortage of students available these days and the large number of students who are probably receiving training on a no tuition basis.

Considering the large number of schools in the state and the factual loss ratio it would appear to me there would be little need for the amount of bond presently required in your state to be increased. Although I am using California statistics you know, John, I write these bonds in every state in the union and, to date at least, we have yet to be presented with a claim.

If you have any further questions regarding these bonds you need only drop me a note at your convenience.

Very truly yours,

PERCY H. GOODWIN COMPANY

H. Marks - Vice President

HM/lis