

**ADOPTED REGULATION OF THE
STATE CONTRACTORS' BOARD**

LCB File No. R077-06

Effective June 1, 2006

EXPLANATION – Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets ~~[omitted material]~~ is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: §1, NRS 624.100 and 624.112.

A REGULATION relating to the State Contractors' Board; revising the qualifications for compliance investigators employed by the Board; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Section 1. NAC 624.135 is hereby amended to read as follows:

624.135 Each person who is employed by the Board as a compliance investigator must have:

1. A valid certificate in construction skills issued by ~~[the International Conference of Building Officials or]~~ a nationally recognized organization ~~[that adopts building codes which have been adopted by the State of Nevada or a local government in this State; and~~

~~—2.— One of the following:~~

~~—(a)] ;~~

2. A high school diploma and at least 4 years of progressively responsible experience performing field investigations;

3. A high school diploma and ~~[have]~~ at least 4 years of experience in the construction industry as a contractor, journeyman, foreman or supervising employee, or the equivalent thereof, as determined by the Board;

~~[(b)]~~ 4. A bachelor's degree from an accredited college or university with a major in preengineering, construction inspection or construction technology, or a related area of study as determined by the Board, and ~~[(have)]~~ at least 3 years of experience in the construction industry as a contractor, journeyman, foreman or supervising employee, or the equivalent thereof, as determined by the Board; or

~~[(e)]~~ 5. Any sufficient combination of education and experience as determined by the Board.

**NOTICE OF ADOPTION OF PROPOSED REGULATION
LCB File No. R077-06**

The State Contractors' Board adopted regulations assigned LCB File No. R077-06 which pertain to chapter 624 of the Nevada Administrative Code on May 18, 2006.

Notice date: 4/10/2006
Hearing date: 5/11/2006

Date of adoption by agency: 5/18/2006
Filing date: 6/1/2006

INFORMATIONAL STATEMENT

1. A description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

A workshop and hearing notice to amend NAC 624 concerning qualifications for investigators employed by the Board's Investigations Department was posted April 10, 2006 for a May 11, 2006 workshop and hearing at the following locations: Washoe County Court House; Washoe County Library; Reno City Hall; Las Vegas City Hall; Sawyer State Building; Clark County Library and Offices of the Contractors' Board in Reno and Las Vegas. In addition, the notice was posted on the agency's web site and mailed to approximately 135 interested individuals.

2. The number of persons who:

(a) Attended each workshop & hearing:	0 (workshop); 0 (hearing)
(b) Testified at each workshop:	0
(c) Testified at hearing:	0
(c) Submitted to the agency written comments:	0

3. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Comments were solicited from affected businesses by the notice posting, web site and direct mail.

4. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change.

No one appeared at the public workshop or hearing to address the proposed changes.

5. The estimated economic effect of the adopted regulation on the business which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and each case must include:

- (a) Both adverse and beneficial effects; and**
- (b) Both immediate and long-term effects**

(a) The proposed revisions should have no economic impact on the industry. It should be beneficial to the industry by providing well-qualified investigators to enforce the laws and regulations that govern the industry.

(b) The estimated effect on the public both immediate and long term should be beneficial.

6. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the adopted regulation.

The cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation should be minimal.

7. A description of any regulations of other state or government agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency.

There are no other state or government agency regulations which the proposed amendments duplicate.