ADOPTED REGULATION OF THE BOARD

OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS

LCB File No. R192-01

Effective March 29, 2002

EXPLANATION – Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets [omitted material] is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: §1, NRS 501.181 and 502.250.

Section 1. NAC 502.334 is hereby amended to read as follows:

- 502.334 1. A resident must pay [\$20] \$25 for a tag for a special season to hunt deer.
- 2. A nonresident must pay the following fees for tags:

(a) Antelope	\$250
(b) Bighorn sheep	1,000
(c) Deer (special seasons)	200
(d) Mountain lion	100
(e) Elk	1,000

NOTICE OF ADOPTION OF PROPOSED REGULATION LCB File No. R192-01

The Board of Wildlife Commissioners adopted regulations assigned LCB File No. R192-01 which pertain to resident deer tags (chapter 502 of the Nevada Administrative Code) on February 9, 2002.

Notice date: 1/7/2002 Date of adoption by agency: 2/9/2002

Hearing date: 2/9/2002 **Filing date:** 4/3/2002

INFORMATIONAL STATEMENT

- 1. A description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.
 - (a) A notice of hearing for adoption of Commission General Regulation No. 301 was published once in each of the three administrative regions in a newspaper of general circulation.
 - (b) Persons wishing to comment upon the proposed action of the Board of Wildlife Commissioners were invited, through the notice of hearing and a mail distribution to interested and affected parties to appear at the public hearing or address their comments, data, views of arguments, in written form, to Secretary, Board of Wildlife Commissioners, 1100 Valley Road, Reno, Nevada 89512. Written submissions were to be received at least five days prior to the scheduled meeting.
 - (c) A copy of the Notice of the proposed regulations was mailed to the following: State Librarian; county main libraries; Reno, Elko and Las Vegas newspapers; LCB and interested parties.
 - (d) A copy of the regulation to be adopted was filed with the Office of the Secretary of State, The Capitol, Carson City, Nevada for inspection by the public during business hours.
 - Additional copies of the regulation could be obtained at the Division of Wildlife, 1100 Valley Road, Reno, Nevada for inspection and copying by the public.
 - (e) The public was asked to make comments on this regulation at the public meeting.
- 2. The number of persons who:
 - (a) Attended each hearing: 40
 - (b) Testified at each hearing: 1 in support
 - (c) Submitted to the agency written statements: 1 in support

- 3. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary: The regulation was sent to the Board of Wildlife Commisioners, 17 county advisory boards to manage wildlife, other interested people and legally posted around the state.
- 4. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change: The fee increase was supported by those who testified as needed for wildlife management.
- 5. The estimated economic effect of the regulations on the business which they are to regulate and on the public.
 - (a) Estimated economic effect on the businesses which they are to regulate.
 - (1) Adverse N/A
 - (2) Beneficial N/A
 - (3) Immediate N/A
 - (4) Long term N/A
 - (b) Estimated economic effect on the public which they are to regulate.
 - (1) Adverse Increases the resident deer tag fee \$5, from \$20 to \$25
 - (2) **Beneficial** Increases revenue for wildlife management programs
 - (3) Immediate Generates additional revenue in this fiscal year
 - (4) Long term Generates additional revenue for long term planning and programs
- 6. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation: N/A
- 7. A description of any regulation of other state or government agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary: None

If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency: None

8. If the regulation includes provisions which are not more stringent than a federal regulation which regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions: N/A

9. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used: Approximately \$100,000 for wildlife management programs.			