

**ADOPTED REGULATION OF THE COMMISSION ON
PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS IN EDUCATION**

LCB File No. R116-01

Effective March 27, 2002

EXPLANATION – Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets ~~omitted material~~ is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: §1, NRS 391.019 and 391.032.

Section 1. Chapter 391 of NAC is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to read as follows:

- 1. To receive a special license to teach pupils in grades 5 through 9, an applicant must:
 - (a) Have completed a program of preparation for teaching pupils in grades 5 through 9 approved by the board; or*
 - (b) Hold a license or certificate to teach grades 5 through 9 issued by another state if that state is a signatory with the State of Nevada on the National Association of State Directors of Teacher Education and Certification Interstate Contract.**
- 2. A special license to teach pupils in grades 5 through 9 authorizes the holder to teach pupils enrolled in those grades. Such a license is not required to teach pupils in a middle school or junior high school.*

**NOTICE OF ADOPTION OF PROPOSED REGULATION
LCB File No. R210-01**

The Commission on Professional Standards in Education adopted regulations assigned LCB File No. R116-01 which pertain to licensure of middle school teachers (chapter 391 of the Nevada Administrative Code) on March 27, 2002.

Notice date: 8/7/01, 11/30/01 & 1/8/02

Date of adoption by agency: 2/8/02

Hearing date: 9/7/01, 12/14/01 & 2/8/02

Filing date: 3/27/02

INFORMATIONAL STATEMENT

**REPORT TO LEGISLATIVE COMMISSION ON
EFFECT OF ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS**

1. Title of Regulation:

Amendments to NAC regarding a Middle School License (R116-01).

2. Opportunity for Comments by Affected Parties and the Public:

Petition was noticed August 7, 2001, November 30, 2001 and January 8, 2002, for public hearing. The proposed amendments were posted at: Nevada Department of Education, Carson and Las Vegas; the 17 Nevada County School District Offices; the Clark County Classroom Teachers Association – Carson and Las Vegas Offices; the 17 Nevada County Libraries; and the Nevada State Library and Archives.

3. The Number of Persons Who:

Attended hearing: First Hearing: 12 Second Hearing: 13 Third Hearing: 18

Testified at hearing: First Hearing: 0 Second Hearing: 0 Third Hearing: 0

4. How Comments were Solicited, Summary of Comments by Affected Parties and the Public, How Interested Persons May Obtain a Copy of the Minutes of the Meeting.

Comments were solicited from approximately 200 individuals and entities subscribing to the Commission's Mailing List (includes news and radio media). Public hearings were held September 7, 2001, December 14, 2001 and February 8, 2002.

At the May 18, 2001, meeting members heard the results of the middle school survey. At the July 10, 2001, meeting the Middle School Task Force recommended a permissive middle school license. At the September 7, 2001, meeting members moved to adopt changes to middle school language, however, language had not been prepared by LCB and needed to come back to the Commission for vote. At the December 14, 2001, meeting members reviewed LCB Draft of Proposed Regulation R116-01. At the February

8, 2002, meeting members adopted LCB Revised Draft of Proposed Regulation R116-01. The motion passed unanimously.

A summary of comments may be obtained through Commission on Professional Standards in Education, 700 East Fifth Street, Carson City, Nevada 89701-5096.

5. Estimated Economic Effect on Affected Parties and Public:

There is no economic effect on affected parties and public.

6. Estimated Cost to Agency for Enforcement:

There is no additional cost to the agency for enforcement of this regulation.

7. Regulations of Other State or Government Agencies Overlapped or Duplicated by These Regulations:

There is no other state or government agency regulation that the proposed amendments duplicate.

8. Federal Regulations Overlapped or Duplicated by These Regulations:
(Name of Regulating Federal Agency/Federal Regulation Title)

There is no duplication or overlap of federal regulations.

9. Summary of Regulation Provisions that are More Stringent than Federal Regulation:

There are none.

10. Annual Anticipated Revenue from New Fee or Increase to Existing Fee and Manner in Which Fee will be Used:

No new fee or increase to existing fee providing revenue to the agency is affected by the above noted regulations.