

**ADOPTED REGULATION OF THE  
STATE CONTRACTORS' BOARD**

**LCB File No. R118-06**

Effective June 28, 2006

EXPLANATION – Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets ~~omitted material~~ is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: §1, NRS 624.100, 624.300, 624.341, 624.361 and 624.710.

A REGULATION relating to the State Contractors' Board; revising the administrative fine schedule for certain violations of law; providing that the Board or its designee will assess a maximum fine only if certain circumstances apply; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

**Section 1.** NAC 624.7251 is hereby amended to read as follows:

624.7251 *1.* If the Board or its designee issues an administrative citation pursuant to NRS 624.341 which includes an administrative fine, each such fine assessed by the Board or its designee must:

~~{1.}~~ (a) Specify the violation for which the ~~{licensee}~~ *person* is being cited; and

~~{2.—Be}~~

(b) *Subject to the provisions of subsection 2, be* in an amount which is not less than the minimum amount or more than the maximum amount as ~~{set forth in this subsection:}~~ *follows for the violation:*

Violation	Minimum Fine	Maximum Fine
NRS 624.301 .....	\$1,000	\$3,000
Paragraph (a) of subsection 1 or subparagraph (4) of paragraph (b) of subsection 1 of NRS 624.3011 .....	500	2,000
Subparagraph (1), (2) or (3) of paragraph (b) of subsection 1 of NRS 624.3011 .....	1,000	3,000
Subsection 1 or 2 of NRS 624.3012.....	1,000	3,000
Subsection 3 of NRS 624.3012 .....	500	2,000
Subsection 1 or 4 of NRS 624.3013.....	250	1,000
Subsection 2 of NRS 624.3013 .....	1,000	3,000
Subsection 3 of NRS 624.3013 .....	500	2,000
Subsection 5 of NRS 624.3013 .....	50	1,000
<del>Subsection 1 of</del> NRS 624.3014 .....	100	<del>500</del> 50,000
<del>Subsection 2 or 3 of NRS 624.3014 .....</del>	<del>1,000</del>	<del>3,000</del>
Subsection 1 of NRS 624.3015 .....	250	2,000
Subsection 2 <b>or 3</b> of NRS 624.3015 .....	500	<del>10,000</del> 50,000
Subsection <del>3 or</del> 4 of NRS 624.3015 .....	500	2,000
Subsection 5 of NRS 624.3015 .....	250	1,000
Subsection 1 or 2 of NRS 624.3016.....	250	3,000
Subsection 3, 5 or 10 of NRS 624.3016.....	500	2,000
Subsection 4 or 6 of NRS 624.3016.....	250	1,000

Violation	Minimum Fine	Maximum Fine
Subsection 7 of NRS 624.3016 .....	250	2,000
Subsection 8 of NRS 624.3016 .....	250	750
Subsection 9 of NRS 624.3016 .....	100	500
Subsection 1 of NRS 624.3017 .....	500	2,000
Subsection 2 of NRS 624.3017 .....	50	250
Subsection 3 of NRS 624.3017 .....	100	500
Subsection 1 of NRS 624.302 .....	1,000	<del>[3,000]</del> 50,000
Subsection 5 or 6 of NRS 624.302.....	250	1,000
<i>Subsection 1 of NRS 624.305.....</i>	<i>1,000</i>	<i>50,000</i>
<i>Subsection 1 of NRS 624.700.....</i>	<del>[500]</del> <i>1,000</i>	<del>[2,000]</del> <i>50,000</i>
NRS 624.720 .....	500	1,000

*2. The Board or its designee will assess the maximum fine listed in subsection 1 only if one or more of the following circumstances apply:*

- (a) The cited person has a history of violating the same or similar sections of NRS;*
- (b) The administrative citation specifies more than one violation;*
- (c) The cited person has exhibited bad faith by ignoring written warnings;*
- (d) The violation or violations have caused harm to other persons;*
- (e) The violation or violations were perpetrated against a senior citizen or a person with a disability; or*
- (f) The violation or violations involved a construction project costing more than \$500,000.*

**NOTICE OF ADOPTION OF PROPOSED REGULATION  
LCB File No. R118-06**

The State Contractors' Board adopted regulations assigned LCB File No. R118-06 which pertain to chapter 624 of the Nevada Administrative Code on June 15, 2006.

**Notice date:** 5/9/2006  
**Hearing date:** 6/13/2006

**Date of adoption by agency:** 6/15/2006  
**Filing date:** 6/28/2006

**INFORMATIONAL STATEMENT**

**1. A description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.**

A workshop and hearing notice to amend NAC 624 concerning the amount of administrative fees and circumstances that would warrant the maximum fine allowed was posted May 9, 2006 for a June 13, 2006 workshop and hearing at the following locations: Washoe County Court House; Washoe County Library; Reno City Hall; Las Vegas City Hall; Sawyer State Building; Clark County Library and Offices of the Contractors' Board in Reno and Las Vegas. In addition, the notice was posted on the agency's web site and mailed to approximately 135 interested individuals.

**2. The number of persons who:**

(a) Attended each workshop & hearing:	0 (workshop); 0 (hearing)
(b) Testified at each workshop:	0
(c) Testified at hearing:	0
(c) Submitted to the agency written comments:	0

**3. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.**

Comments were solicited from affected businesses by the notice posting, web site and direct mail.

**4. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change.**

No one appeared at the public workshop or hearing to address the proposed changes.

**5. The estimated economic effect of the adopted regulation on the business which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and each case must include:**

- (a) Both adverse and beneficial effects; and
- (b) Both immediate and long-term effects

- (a) The proposed revisions should have no adverse economic impact on members of the industry who comply with the laws of the State of Nevada. It may have an adverse impact on those members of the industry who fail to comply with the laws that govern the industry.
- (b) The estimated effect on the public both immediate and long term should be beneficial.

**6. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the adopted regulation.**

The cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation should be minimal.

**7. A description of any regulations of other state or government agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency.**

There are no other state or government agency regulations which the proposed amendments duplicate.