LCB File No. R242-03

PROPOSED REGULATION OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

NOTICE OF HEARING

Announcement of Hearing on Proposed Regulations for Fertilizers and Restricted Fertilizer Dealers (NAC 588).

Date: April 8, 2004 Time: 9:00 a – 12:00p

Location:

Nevada Dept of Agriculture Offices, 350 Capitol Hill Avenue, Reno NV 89502

Video Conferencing will be available in Las Vegas at:

Nevada Dept of Agriculture Offices, 2300 McLeod Street Las Vegas NV 89104

Comments will be accepted at the Reno address, by email to: **chrism@agri.state.nv.us**, phone: 775 688 1180 ext.247or fax: 775 688-1178

Closing Date for Comments April 9,2004.

We are proposing two major amendments/additions to NAC 588 and two minor changes

Two per NRS 588 as amended by AB 193, 72nd Session (Chapter 48).

A. Restricted fertilizers: In the interests of Homeland Security, it has become necessary to track the sales of certain fertilizers which may be used in other ways to threaten security. AB193 allows for the establishment of a restricted fertilizer category and for the permitting of dealers of said fertilizers.

NAC 588 is to be amended as follows

- 1. Annual registration fee to sell restricted fertilizers: Amount. Each person registering to sell any amount of restricted fertilizers shall pay an annual registration fee of \$25.
- 2. Definitions: ammonium nitrate, anhydrous ammonia are defined in the Official Publication of the Association of American Plant Food Control Officials #57, 2004,

Available from The Treasurer, AAPFCO, c/o NC Dept of Agriculture, 4000 Reedy Creek Road, Raleigh, NC 27607-6488.

- 3. Penalties for violation of this section are as follows. For a first offense, \$250.00; for a second offense \$500.00; for a third offense Cancellation of Permit to Sell Restricted fertilizers and a fine of up to \$1000.00.
- 4. Persons or businesses already registered with the Department including, but not limited to, restricted-use pesticide dealers, nurseries, fertilizer manufacturers or Pest Control operators must still register per Section1, but are exempted from registration fees. They are not exempt from penalty fees per Section 3.
- 5. The following fertilizers and agricultural minerals are designated restricted fertilizers: ammonium nitrate, anhydrous ammonia.

This designation only applies to single nutrient fertilizers. Combinations of these materials with other fertilizers or agricultural minerals are not restricted.

- 6. A restricted fertilizer permit holder must record a valid state or federal driver's license number, or other picture identification card number for the purchaser of restricted fertilizer. Positive identification may be annually or for each individual purchase if the applicator is unknown to the dealer. Dealers must verify the identification of unknown purchasers of restricted fertilizers for telephone or electronic purchases either by fax (photo identification) or at the time of delivery. This information and additional records as set forth in NRS 588.295 Section 5. must be maintained for a minimum of two years and shall be made available to the Director on request.
- 7. This section to take effect July 1 2004, except penalties in section 3 to take effect January 1, 2005.
- <u>B. Metals in Fertilizers</u> Federal, state and industry sponsored risk-based assessments have been completed and the results demonstrate that metals in fertilizer generally do not pose harm to human health or the environment. Following a Risk Based Analysis of the possible adverse effects of non-nutrient metals in fertilizer, Guidlines (SUIP 25) were developed by the AAPFCO (American Assoc.Of Plant Food Control Officials) in co-operation with industry representatives. These guidlines are found in their Official Publication #57.

NAC 588 is to be amended as follows

1. The Department hereby adopts by reference the Association of American Plant Food Control Officials Statement of Uniform Interpretation & Policy) #25 (hence known as SUIP #25) standard for non-nutritive metal content in fertilizer.

- 2. SUIP #25 is defined in Official Publication of the Association of American Plant Food Control Officials #57, 2004, Available from The Treasurer, AAPFCO, c/o NC Dept of Agriculture, 4000 Reedy Creek Road, Raleigh, NC 27607-6488.
- 3. The Director shall review each change or amendment to SUIP #25 and determine whether it is suitable for this state. Upon making a determination that such change or amendment:
- (a) Is suitable, the Director shall file one copy of it with the Secretary of State, one copy with the State Library and Archives Administrator and make at least one copy available for public inspection with the regulations of the Department.
- (b) Is unsuitable, the Director shall file with the Secretary of State and with the State Library and Archives Administrator a notice which states that it was disapproved for this state and which has a copy of the change or amendment attached, and shall make at least one copy of the notice and attachment available for public inspection with the regulations of the Department.
- 4. These rules do not apply to guaranteed micro-nutrients. Micro-nutrients and allowable guarantees are defined in NAC 588.050
- C. 588.050 Specifies minimum acceptable guarantees for micronutrients below which efficacy is not normally recognized. Certain "ready-to-use" products such as potting soils, and hydroponic solutions need to be exempted from this rule.

A section is added to NAC 588.050

- 3. Ready to use products, including but not limited to foliar fertilizers, horticultural growing media, hydroponic fertilizers; are exempt from this rule (NAC 588.050)
- D. NRS 588.215 contains an exemption form tonnage fees and reporting for "non-agricultural" fertilizers. This was intended to exempt minerals used in other industries such as mining, but not horticulture etc.. Clarification has been requested. A broad definition is already being used and is now stated explicitly (from Webster's International dictionary and Black's Law Dictionary) and is now stated explicitly.

A section is added to NAC 588

For the purposes of NRS 588.215, "agricultural" includes horticulture, including but not limited to, interiorscapes, turf maintenance, recreational turf maintenance etc.

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT REGULATIONS

Proposed amendment of NAC 588 pertaining to the intent to adopt regulations pertaining to the proposed regulation for **Fertilizers and Restricted Fertilizer Dealers**.

Authority: NRS 588.

Need for and purpose of the proposed regulation or amendment

- 1. Track the sales of particular fertilizers which can be used to formulate explosive. This is to protect homeland security.
- 2. Establish tolerances to metals in fertilizers based on industry based risk assessments to protect human health.

Economic effect of the proposed regulation on the businesses which it is to regulate

- a. Adverse effects: None. The \$25.00 annual registration fee was not considered significant by workshop attendees.
- b. Beneficial effects: The regulation is expected to reduce the likelihood of fertilizers being used to formulate explosives.
- c. Immediate and long term effects: Same as b. above.

Economic effect of these proposed amendments on the public.

a. Adverse effects:

None

b. Beneficial effects:

Same as b. above.

c. Immediate and long term economic effects:

Same as b. above.

Economic cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed amendments

The economic cost is expected to be minimal. The system for monitoring sales of restricted fertilizers will be adopted from that already in place for restricted pesticide sales.

<u>Description of any regulations of other state, local or federal governmental agencies which the proposed amendments overlap or duplicate</u>

None

Fees: None

The date, time, place, and manner in which interested parties may present their views at public hearing on the proposed amendments:

DATE: April 8, 2004 TIME: 9:00 a.m.

PLACE: Nevada Department of Agriculture

350 Capitol Hill Avenue Reno, Nevada 89502

Video Conferencing in Las Vegas:

Nevada Department of Agriculture Office 2300 McLeod Street Las Vegas, NV 89104

Written comments and testimony may be submitted prior to the hearing date and will be included with any testimony presented at the hearing. All verbal and written testimony will be in the record of the hearing.

Addresses at which the text of the proposed regulations may be inspected and copied:

The State Library, 100 Stewart Street, Carson City, Nevada, and all Nevada county libraries. Copies may also be at the Department of Agriculture office in Reno, Sparks, Elko, Las Vegas or Winnemucca during the hours of 8:00 a.m. until 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday. This notice and text of the proposed regulation are also available in the Legislative Counsel Bureau pursuant to NRS 233B.0653 and on the internet at http://www.agri.state.nv.us. Copies of this notice and the proposed regulation will also be mailed to members of the public upon request. A reasonable fee may be charged for copies if it is deemed necessary.

This notice of hearing has been posted at the following locations:

Nevada Department of Agriculture
350 Capitol Hill Avenue
Reno, NV 89502

Nevada Department of Agriculture
2150 Frazer Street
Sparks, NV 89431

Nevada Department of Agriculture
1550 South Wells Avenue
Reno, NV 89502

Nevada Department of Agriculture
1200 E. Winnemucca Blvd.
Winnemucca, NV 89445

Nevada Department of Agriculture
Eyer H. Boies Building
2300 McLeod
1351 Elm Street
Las Vegas, NV 89104
Elko, NV 89801

NOTE: We are pleased to make reasonable accommodations for members of the public who are disabled and wish to attend the meeting. If special arrangements for the meeting are necessary, please call John O'Brien at the Nevada Department of Agriculture, 775-688-1182, as soon as possible.

NRS233B.064 Permanent regulations not to be adopted or revised by the Legislative Counsel; agency's reasons for adoption.

Upon adoption of any regulation, the agency, if requested to do so by an interested person before adoption, or within 30 days thereafter, shall issue a concise statement of the principal reasons for and against adoption, and incorporated therein its reasons for overruling the consideration urged against its adoption.

LCB File No. R242-03

PROPOSED REGULATION OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Changes to NAC 586 Final Draft following workshop January 29, 2004

We are proposing two major amendments/additions to NAC 588, and two minor changes

Two per NRS 588 as amended by AB 193, 72nd Session (Chapter 48).

<u>A. Restricted fertilizers:</u> In the interests of Homeland Security, it has become necessary to track the sales of certain fertilizers which may be used in other ways to threaten security. AB193 allows for the establishment of a restricted fertilizer category and for the permitting of dealers of said fertilizers.

NAC 588 is to be amended as follows

- 1 Annual registration fee to sell restricted fertilizers: Amount. Each person registering to sell any amount of restricted fertilizers shall pay an annual registration fee of \$25.
- 2. Definitions: ammonium nitrate, anhydrous ammonia are defined in the Official Publication of the Association of American Plant Food Control Officials #57, 2004, Available from The Treasurer, AAPFCO, c/o NC Dept of Agriculture, 4000 Reedy Creek Road, Raleigh, NC 27607-6488.
- 3 Penalties for violation of this section are as follows. For a first offense, \$250.00; for a second offense \$500.00; for a third offense Cancellation of Permit to Sell Restricted fertilizers and a fine of up to \$1000.00.
- 4 Persons or businesses already registered with the Department including, but not limited to, restricted-use pesticide dealers, nurseries, fertilizer manufacturers or Pest Control operators must still register per Section1, but are exempted from registration fees. They are not exempt from penalty fees per Section 3.
- 5. The following fertilizers and agricultural minerals are designated restricted fertilizers: ammonium nitrate, anhydrous ammonia.

 This designation only applies to single nutrient fertilizers. Combinations of these materials with other fertilizers or agricultural minerals are not restricted.
- 6. A restricted fertilizer permit holder must record a valid state or federal driver's license number, or other picture identification card number for the purchaser of restricted fertilizer. Positive identification may be annually or for each individual purchase if the applicator is unknown to the dealer. Dealers must verify the identification of unknown purchasers of

restricted fertilzers for telephone or electronic purchases either by fax (photo identification) or at the time of delivery. This information and additional records as set forth in NRS 588.295 Section 5. must be maintained for a minimum of two years and shall be made available to the Director on request.

7. This section to take effect July 1 2004, except penalties in section 3 to take effect January 1, 2005.

<u>B. Metals in Fertilizers</u> Federal, state and industry sponsored risk-based assessments have been completed and the results demonstrate that metals in fertilizer generally do not pose harm to human health or the environment. Following a Risk Based Analysis of the possible adverse effects of non-nutrient metals in fertilizer, Guidlines (SUIP 25) were developed by the AAPFCO (American Assoc.Of Plant Food Control Officials) in co-operation with industry representatives. These guidlines are found in their Official Publication 57.

NAC 588 is to be amended as follows

- 1. The Department hereby adopts by reference the Association of American Plant Food Control Officials Statement of Uniform Interpretation & Policy) #25 (hence known as SUIP #25) standard for non-nutritive metal content in fertilizer.
- 2. SUIP #25 is defined in Official Publication of the Association of American Plant Food Control Officials #57, 2004, Available from The Treasurer, AAPFCO, c/o NC Dept of Agriculture, 4000 Reedy Creek Road, Raleigh, NC 27607-6488.
- 3. The Director shall review each change or amendment to SUIP #25 and determine whether it is suitable for this state. Upon making a determination that such change or amendment:
- (a) Is suitable, the Director shall file one copy of it with the Secretary of State, one copy with the State Library and Archives Administrator and make at least one copy available for public inspection with the regulations of the Department.
- (b) Is unsuitable, the Director shall file with the Secretary of State and with the State Library and Archives Administrator a notice which states that it was disapproved for this state and which has a copy of the change or amendment attached, and shall make at least one copy of the notice and attachment available for public inspection with the regulations of the Department.
- 4. These rules do not apply to guaranteed micro-nutrients. Micro-nutrients and allowable guarantees are defined in NAC 588.050
- C. 588.050 a Specifies minimum acceptable guarantee for micronutrients below which efficacy is not normally recognized. Certain "ready-to-use" products such as potting soils, and hydroponic solutions need to be exempted from this rule.

3. Ready to use products such as foliar fertilizers, horticultural growing media, hydroponic fertilizers are exempt from this rule (NAC 588.050)

D. NRS 588.215 contains an exemption form tonnage fees and reporting for "non-agricultural" fertilizers. This was intended to exempt minerals used in other industries such as mining, but not horticulture etc.. Clarification has been requested A broad definition is already being used and is now stated explicitly(from Webster's International dictionary and Black's Law Dictionary) and is now stated explicitly.

A section is added to NAC 588

For the purposes of NRS 588.215, "agricultural" includes horticulture, including but not limited to, interiorscapes, turf maintenance, recreational turf maintenance etc.

Results of Workshop and Comments Received on proposed Amendments to NAC 588

The workshop was held on February 29, 2004 at the Nevada Department of Agriculture offices in Reno with a teleconference connection to our Las Vegas office. In attendance were 3 agricultural dealers and a manufacturer. NDOA Staff were also in attendance. Comments were received by mail, phone and email from 2 fertilizer manufacturers, 2 trade associations representing fertilizer manufacturers & distributors and 2 additional agricultural dealers. Contacts with FBI, Nevada Homeland Security elicited no comments. ATF (Federal Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco & Firearms) is currently reviewing our list of potential restricted fertilizers.

The most discussed item was the issue of restricted fertilizers. Apart from one manufacturer, there was little or no objection to the concept. Industry representatives were unanimous that urea should be removed from the proposed list since it is not treated as a hazardous material by any agency (except South Carolina regulators (Clemson University)) and requires difficult additional treatment before being used as an explosive. It was generally agreed that urea should be removed from the list. NDOA representatives suggested several clarifications in the proposed language; which, while redundant, would greatly clarify the rules. These suggestions were accepted. The penalty for non-compliance was considered to be too small and a sliding scale of penalties was acceptable to the dealers present. This will be added as follows: for a first offense, \$250.00; for a second offense \$500.00 and Cancellation of Permit to Sell and a fine of up to \$1000 for a third offense. It was pointed out that NRS 588 also allows for \$1000 fines as any violation of NRS 588 is considered a misdemeanor. With the increased penalties a one time "grace period" was suggested. This would commence July 1st 2004 with the amendments coming into force and ending with the beginning of the 2005 registration year, January 1st 2005.

Most of the comments from manufacturers were on the subject of adoption of non-nutritive metals in fertilizers. The request was that the AAPFCO (Assoc. of American Plant Food Control Officials) SUIP #25 (Statement of Uniform Interpretation & Policy) standard be complete. The language had been amended in the earlier draft of the regulations and SUIP 25 was finalized at the August 2004 annual meeting of AAPFCO, including some clarification in how maximum allowable limits be calculated. In order to simplify this process, the standard will be adopted by reference in it's entirety. There was some discussion on the overlap between metals that may also be included in a plant food as nutrients. This is allowed under SUIP 25 as they are part of a controlled application. These secondary and micro-nutrients and the allowable guarantees are already defined in NAC 588. There was concern from a dealer that he might be liable if he distributed a product that violated this new standard. This would appear to be a contractual question between the dealer and supplier although normal regulatory practice is to pursue the manufacturer. It was stated that the violative product would still be ordered removed from sale.

There was no comment on the amendment to allow "Ready-To-Use" and similar products to guarantee lower levels of secondary and micro-nutrients than currently allowed in NAC 588.

There was no comment on the amendment clarifying the definition of the term agricultural when applied to fertilizer and agricultural minerals

SMALL BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT

The purpose of this Small Business Impact Form is to provide a frame work pursuant to NRS 233B.0608 to determine where a small business impact statement is required for submittal of a proposed regulation before the Nevada Department of Agriculture. If questions one (1) and two (2) are answered No, then a small business impact statement is not required. If question one (1) or two (2) is answered with a YES, then a small business impact statement is required prior to conducting a public workshops by the Department. (This form must be submitted with the proposed regulation when submitted for drafting by LCB and adoption by the Nevada State Board of Agriculture.)

Proposed regulation: Tracking sales of fertilizers that can be used to create explosives

Part 1

- 1. Does this proposed regulation impose a direct and significant economic burden upon a small business? No. The \$25.00 annual registration fee was not considered significant by the workshop attendees.
- 2. Does this proposed regulation restrict the formation, operation or expansion of a small business? No.

Note: Small Business is defined as a "business conducted for profit which employs fewer then 150 full-time or part-time employees" (NRS 233B.0382).

- 3. If **YES** to either of questions 1& 2, the following action must be taken:
 - a. Was a small business impact statement prepared and was it available at the public workshop?
 - b. Attach the small business impact statement (part 2) as part of this form upon submission for drafting by LCB and adoption by the Nevada Board of Agriculture.

Part 2

- 1. Describe the manner in which comments were solicited from affected small businesses, a summary of the response from small businesses and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.
- 2. The estimated economic effect of the proposed regulation on small business:
 - a. Both adverse and beneficial effects
 - b. Both direct and indirect effects

- 3. The description of the methods that the agency considered to reduce the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses.
 - a. Simplification of the proposed regulation.
 - b. Establishment of different standards of compliance for a small business.
 - c. Modification of fees or other monetary interests that a small business is authorized to pay at a lower fee.
- 4. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation.
- 5. If this regulation provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.
- 6. If the proposed regulation includes provisions which duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards relating to the same activity, provide an explanation of why the proposed regulation is duplicative or more stringent and why it is necessary.