## ADOPTED REGULATION OF THE BOARD

## OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS

#### LCB File No. R093-03

Effective October 30, 2003

EXPLANATION - Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets formitted material is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: §1, NRS 501.105, 501.181 and 503.310.

# **Section 1.** NAC 503.510 is hereby amended to read as follows:

503.510 1. Aquatic bait and the following species of live bait may be captured, offered for sale, held or transported by a person permitted to sell live bait, subject to any special conditions contained in the annual permit issued by the [Division] Department or in subsection 2:

Authorized Species by Region

	Western	Eastern	Southern
Species	Region	Region	Region
Lahontan redside (Richardsonius egregius)	X		
Red shiner (Notropis lutrensis)		N	X
Tui chub (Gila bicolor)	X	O	
Speckled dace (Rhinichtyhys osculus)	X	N	
Mosquitofish (Gambusia ssp.)	X	E	

Carp (Cyprinus carpio)	X		X
Goldfish (Carassius auratus)		P	X
Golden shiner (Notemigonus crysoleucas)		Е	X
Fathead minnow (Pimephalespromelas)	X	R	X
Threadfin shad (Dorosoma petenense)		M	X
Mountain sucker		I	
(Catostomus platyrhynchus)	X	T	
Tahoe sucker (Catostomus tahoensis)	X	T	
Longjaw mudsucker (Gillichthys mirabilis)		Е	X
Salamander (Waterdogs) (Ambystoma)		D	X
Piute Sculpin (Cottus beldingi)	X		

- 2. In the Western Region, the capture of any fish used as live bait for sale from the Carson River drainage below Quilici Dam is prohibited. The Quilici Dam is located near Dayton, Nevada.
- 3. The reference work from which the species listed in subsection 1 were named is special publication 12 of the American Fisheries Society, *A List of Common and Scientific Names of Fishes from the United States and Canada*, 4th [ed.,] edition, 1980.

# NOTICE OF ADOPTION OF PROPOSED REGULATION LCB File No. R093-03

The Board of Wildlife Commissioners adopted regulations assigned LCB File No. R093-03 which pertain to chapter 503 of the Nevada Administrative Code on September 27, 2003.

Notice date: 8/15/2003 Date of adoption by agency: 9/27/2003

**Hearing date:** 9/26/2003 **Filing date:** 10/30/2003

### INFORMATIONAL STATEMENT

1. Description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary. Posting of the regulation was made in accordance with Nevada's public meeting law. Copies of the regulation were mailed to Wildlife Advisory Boards, sportsman groups and interested persons. Comments received from 11 Wildlife Advisory Boards supported the regulation change. A summary of the comments is available from the Chief of Fisheries.

- 2. The Number of Persons Who:
  - (a) Attended each hearing: 22
  - (b) Testified at each hearing: 0
  - (c) Submitted to the agency written statements:
    - 11 Wildlife Advisory Boards submitted responses in favor of the regulation.
- 3. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response and an explanation how other interested parties may obtain a copy of the summary. N/A
- 4. If the regulation was adopted with or without change to any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting. Regulation change was very minor, added an additional species of fish to list of fish which may be sold in the Western Region.
- 5. The estimated <u>economic</u> effect of the regulations on the businesses they are to regulate and on the public.
  - (a) Estimated economic effect on the businesses which they are to regulate.
    - (1) Adverse N/A
    - (2) **Beneficial -** Minimal, provides additional selection of bait fish available for sale in the Western Region.
    - (3) Immediate N/A
    - (4) Long term N/A
  - (b) Estimated economic effect on the public which they are to regulate.

- (1) Adverse N/A
- (2) Beneficial N/A
- (3) Immediate N/A
- (4) Long term N/A
- 6. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation: Minimal, any enforcement required would be part of existing permitting and enforcement program.
- 7. A description of any regulations of other state or government agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. N/A
  - If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency:
- 8. If the regulation includes provisions which are more stringent than a federal regulation which regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions: N/A
- 9. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used: N/A