

**ADOPTED REGULATION OF THE BOARD  
OF HEARING AID SPECIALISTS**

**LCB File No. R048-02**

Effective January 8, 2003

EXPLANATION – Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets ~~{omitted material}~~ is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: §1, NRS 637A.100.

**Section 1.** NAC 637A.185 is hereby amended to read as follows:

637A.185 The board *hereby adopts* by reference ~~{adopts}~~ as its code of ethics the “Code of Ethics of the Hearing Aid Industry,” prepared by the International Hearing Society, adopted October 1983 and revised ~~{February 1992.}~~ *October 1998*. Copies of the code may be obtained from the society at ~~{20361 Middlebelt,}~~ *16880 Middlebelt Road, Suite 4*, Livonia, Michigan ~~{48152,}~~ *48154* or from the board free of charge. Each licensee will be furnished a copy of the code *by the board* and shall govern his conduct in accordance with its provisions.

**NOTICE OF ADOPTION OF PROPOSED REGULATION**  
**LCB File No. R048-02**

The Board of Hearing Aid Specialists adopted regulations assigned LCB File No. R048-02 which pertain to chapter 637A of the Nevada Administrative Code on June 15, 2002.

**Notice date:** 5/10/2002  
**Hearing date:** 6/15/2002

**Date of adoption by agency:** 6/15/2002  
**Filing date:** 1/8/2003

**INFORMATIONAL STATEMENT**

1. A description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Notice of Workshop to Solicit comments on Proposed Regulations was sent to approximately 50 individuals. One workshop was held on June 15, 2002. There were no comments from the public.

The notice of Intent to Act Upon a Regulation for public hearing and adoption of the proposed revisions to NAC 637A.185, was sent to approximately 50 individuals. The public hearing was conducted on June 15, 2002, to provide the opportunity for comments by affected parties and the public. There were no comments from the public. The Board adopted amended regulation NAC 637A.185.

2. The number of persons who:  
(a) Attended each hearing: Workshop: 1 First Hearing: 1 Second Hearing: N/A  
(b) Testified at each hearing: Workshop: 0 First Hearing: 0 Second Hearing: N/A  
(c) Submitted written comments: Workshop: 0 First Hearing: 0 Second Hearing: N/A

A copy of any written comments may be obtained by calling Christina Harper, Board Administrator, (702) 571-9000, or by writing to the Nevada State Board of Hearing Aid Specialists, P.O. Box 190, Carson City, Nevada 89702.

3. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of the response and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Comments were solicited through the workshop notice of May 10, 2002, and the public hearing notice of May 10, 2002.

At the June 15, 2002, Workshop to Solicit Comments, there were no comments from the public regarding the proposed language revisions.

At the June 15, 2002, there were no comments during the public hearing regarding the proposed language.

A copy of the summary and/or minutes of the public hearing may be obtained by calling Christina Harper, Board Administrator, (702) 571-9000, or by writing to the Nevada State Board of Hearing Aid Specialists, P.O. Box 190, Carson City, Nevada 89702.

4. If the regulation was adopted with or without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting

The Nevada State Board of Hearing Aid Specialist adopted the regulation language at the public hearing held on June 15, 2002, without revision to the propose language. The reason for adopting the regulation was to update the regulation with the current Code of Ethics of the Hearing Aid Industry and to correct the address of the Hearing Aid Society.

5. The estimated economic effect of the adopted regulation on the business that it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and each case must include:

There is no economic effect on the business, which is regulated. There is no estimated economic effect on the public, either adversely or beneficially, nor immediate or long term.

6. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the adopted regulation.

There is no additional cost to the agency for enforcement of this regulation.

7. A description of any regulations of other state or governmental agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency.

No other state or governmental agency regulations will be overlapped or duplicated by the above noted regulation. There is no duplication or overlap of federal regulations.

8. If the regulation includes provisions which are more stringent than a federal regulation which regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions.

There are none.

9. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.

This regulation does not provide or involve a new fee.