

**LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF ADOPTED REGULATIONS AS REQUIRED BY
NRS 233B.066
LCB FILE R152-13**

The following statement is submitted for adopted amendments to Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 116A.230, 116A.235, and 116A.255.

1. A clear and concise explanation of the need for the adopted regulation.

The Commission for Common-Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels (Commission) requested an amendment decreasing the number of hours a Commission meeting must last for a community manager to receive continuing education credit for attending from three hours to one hour. There have been times when the duration of a Commission meeting has not lasted three hours. Community managers in attendance did not receive any continuing education credits for that time. The Commission agreed that one hour increments would guarantee that community managers would receive continuing education credit since some Commission meetings do not last longer than three hours.

The Real Estate Division (Division) suggested amending regulations to decrease the minimum number of hours that a continuing education distance education and instruction in a classroom course must consist of to be approved for credit. These changes will allow for more topics specific educational opportunities for community managers.

2. A description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

The Small Business Impact Statement for LCB File No. R152-13 was posted on the Division's website February 2014 prior to the workshop scheduled for February 27, 2014. Members of the public were invited to submit written comment or to attend the workshop and comment. Division staff is present at Commission meetings during public comment, the workshop and Commission discussion.

The Division received a comment that was for allowing community managers to receive an hour credit when attending a Commission meeting because the length of time that Commission meetings last cannot be predicted. On the other hand, it would be difficult for education curriculum to be developed for a short period of time and Q&A portions of continuing education courses might be reduced.

The Commission for Common-Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels conducted an adoption hearing on March 3, 2015 in Las Vegas, Nevada and video conferenced to Carson City, Nevada. The minutes of the adoption hearing for March 3, 2015 are attached.

Minutes of the workshop and adoption hearing, attached hereto, contain summaries of public comment, Commission discussion and actions. A copy of this summary may be obtained from the Real Estate Division, 2501 E. Sahara Avenue, Suite 303, Las Vegas, Nevada 89104,

702-486-4036 or email Teralyn Thompson, Administration Section Manager, tlthompson@red.state.nv.us.

3. The number of persons who:

(a) Attended each hearing:

February 27, 2014: 17
March 3, 2015: 38

(b) Testified at each hearing:

February 27, 2014: 1
March 3, 2015: 1

(c) Submitted to the agency written comments: 1

4. A list of names and contact information, including telephone number, business address, business telephone number, electronic mail address, and name of entity or organization represented, for each person identified above in #3, as provided to the agency, is attached as Exhibit A.

Please see attached.

5. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Comments were solicited from affected businesses in the same manner as they were solicited from the public. The summary may be obtained as instructed in the response to question #2.

6. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change.

The proposed regulation was adopted on March 3, 2015, and included changes suggested at the workshop conducted as stated above.

7. The estimated economic effect of the adopted regulation on the businesses which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and each case must include:

Business which it is to regulate

(a) Both adverse and beneficial effects; and

Adverse effects: No adverse effects on small business are anticipated. These proposed regulations do not change the total number of hours of continuing education required by a community manager to be taken in the licensure renewal period.

Beneficial effects: The change from 3 hours to 1 hour in the minimum number of hours which can be approved for continuing education will give community managers more

options in topics for education. Education providers will be able to develop more specific course topics.

Decreasing the number of hours that a Commission meeting must last for a community manager to receive continuing education credit for attendance from 3 hours to 1 hour is beneficial to community manager. Some Commission meetings do not last for more than three hours.

(b) Both immediate and long-term effects.

Immediate effects: Would allow programs on special topics to be developed, including possible luncheon meeting programs.

Long-term effects: An increase in professional participation by industry groups.

Public

(a) Both adverse and beneficial effects:

Adverse effects: No anticipated adverse economic effect to the public.

Beneficial effects: No beneficial effect to the public.

(b) Both immediate and long-term effects:

Immediate effects: No immediate effects on the public.

Long-term effects: No long term effect on the public.

8. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the adopted regulation.

There is no additional cost to the agency for the implementation of LCB File No. R152-13.

9. A description of any regulations of other state or government agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency.

There are no other state or government agency regulations that the proposed regulation duplicates.

10. If the regulation includes provisions that are more stringent than a federal regulation which regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions.

There are no federal regulations that apply.

11. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.

The regulation does not provide a new fee or increase an existing fee.