

Permanent Regulation - Filing Statement

A Regulation Relating to Air Pollution Control

Legislative Review of Adopted Regulations as Required
by Administrative Procedures Act, NRS 233B.066 & 233B.0603.10(f)

State Environmental Commission (SEC) LCB File No: R129-11

Petition R129-11: The regulation updates NAC 445B.221, "Adoption by reference and applicability of certain provisions of federal law and regulations." The regulation adopts into State regulation certain federal New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) rules that have been adopted by the US EPA and published in the Federal Register since July 2010. In addition, this amendment will update the Division of Environmental Protection's adoption of federal Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) rules relating to fine particulate matter.

This regulation also would repeal two regulations: the definition of "Ringelmann Chart" and the exceptional event provisions. The term Ringelmann Chart is not used in NAC Chapter 445B, and the exceptional event provisions are duplicative of the federal regulation.

1. A description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

On December 21, 2011 staff from NDEP conducted a public workshop on LCB's Proposed Draft Regulation R129-11. The workshop was conducted in Carson City at the Bryan Building in the PEBP Boardroom (901 S. Stewart St). Four (4) members of the public attended the workshop as list below:

Vida Keller, Lyon County Commissioner
Scott Keller, Silver Springs Advisory Board
Tara Hess-McGeown, Washoe Tribe of NV & CA
Tansey Smith, Inter-Tribal Council of NV

Questions from the public presented at the workshop were addressed by NDEP staff; summary minutes (two pages) of the workshop are posted on the SEC website at: http://sec.nv.gov/main/hearing_0212.htm see agenda item 9.

Following the workshop, the SEC held a formal regulatory hearing on February 15, 2012. The hearing was held in Reno at the Nevada Dept. of Wildlife, 1100 Valley Road. A public notice and agenda for the regulatory hearing was posted at the meeting location, at the State Library in Carson City, and at the Offices of the Division of Environmental Protection in Carson City and Las Vegas. Copies of the agenda, the public notice, and the proposed permanent regulation R129-11 were also made available to all public libraries throughout the state as well as to individuals on the SEC mailing lists.

The public notice for the permanent regulation was published in the Las Vegas Review Journal and Reno Gazette Journal newspapers once a week for three consecutive weeks prior to the SEC regulatory hearing. Other information about this regulation was made available on the SEC website at: http://sec.nv.gov/main/hearing_0212.htm.

2. The number of persons who attended the SEC Regulatory Hearing:

- (a) Attended February 15, 2012 hearing: 30 (approx.)
- (b) Testified on this Petition at the hearing: 1 (NDEP Staff)
- (c) Submitted to the agency written comments: 0

3. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response, and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Comments were solicited at the public workshop and at the February 15 Commission hearing as noted in number 1 above.

4. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change.

The regulation was adopted without changes.

5. The estimated economic effect of the adopted regulation on the business which it is to regulate and on the public.

This regulation will not have an immediate or long-term adverse economic impact on the public or the business community.

6. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the adopted regulation.

There will be no additional costs to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation.

7. A description of any regulations of other state or government agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency.

This regulation does not duplicate any other federal, state or local regulation.

8. If the regulation includes provisions which are more stringent than a federal regulation, which regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions.

The regulation is not more stringent than any federal regulation or guidance.

9. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.

The regulation does not address specific fees.