

INFORMATIONAL STATEMENT

The informational statement required by NRS 233B.066 numerically conforms to the subsections of the statute as follows:

1. EXPLANATION OF THE NEED FOR THE ADOPTED REGULATION

The purpose for this regulation to align state law to federal requirements relating to compounding. Additionally, it is to allow flavoring of prescription medications in certain circumstances.

2. A DESCRIPTION OF HOW PUBLIC COMMENT WAS SOLICITED, A SUMMARY OF PUBLIC RESPONSE, AND AN EXPLANATION HOW OTHER INTERESTED PERSONS MAY OBTAIN A COPY OF THE SUMMARY.

The Board solicited comment on the proposed amendment by (1) posting notice, with links to the full text of the proposed amendment, to the LCB Administrative Regulation Notices webpage, (2) posting a copy of the full text of the proposed changes to the Board's website as part of the Board Hearing materials, (3) posting notice to the Nevada Public Notice website, operated by the Department of Administration, with a link back to a full text of the proposed amendment on the Board's website, and (4) posting notices and agendas in numerous public locations per NRS Chapter 233B.

The Board also solicited comment from Nevada dispensing practitioners, and from representatives of relevant industry associations that Board Staff deemed likely to have an interest in the proposed amendment. The Board further provided time for public comment at the workshop(s) concerning the proposed amendment.

- Chad Baker
FlavouRx
Contact number: 800-884-5771

Chad Baker, Senior Vice President of Government Relations for FlavouRx, is in favor of the proposed regulation. He is putting in sensible guard rails to protect the safety of the public while allowing a reasonable path forward. To ensure, the health of children is enhanced by improving medication palatability and therefore compliance.

- Liz McMenamin
Retail Association of Nevada
Contact Number: 775-882-1700

Liz McMenamin appreciates the Board's work on the proposed language and is in favor of the proposed regulation.

Parties interested in obtaining a copy of the summary of the comments solicited should contact Board Coordination or call Darlene Nases at (775) 850-1440 ext. 120.

3. THE NUMBER OF PERSONS WHO: (A) ATTENDED EACH HEARING; (B) TESTIFIED AT EACH HEARING; AND (C) SUBMITTED TO THE AGENCY WRITTEN STATEMENTS.

The number of persons who attended the hearing was: 116
The number of persons who testified at the hearing was: 2
The number of agency submitted statements was: -0-
The name of persons who testified at the hearing:

- Chad Baker
FlavouRx
Contact number: 800-884-5771

Chad Baker, Senior Vice President of Government Relations for FlavouRx, is in favor of the proposed regulation. He is putting in sensible guard rails to protect the safety of the public while allowing a reasonable path forward. To ensure, the health of children is enhanced by improving medication palatability and therefore compliance.

- Liz McMEnamin
Retail Association of Nevada
Contact Number: 775-882-1700

Liz McMEnamin appreciates the Board's work on the proposed language and is in favor of the proposed regulation.

4. A DESCRIPTION OF HOW COMMENT WAS SOLICITED FROM AFFECTED BUSINESSES, A SUMMARY OF THEIR RESPONSE, AND AN EXPLANATION HOW OTHER INTERESTED PERSONS MAY OBTAIN A COPY OF THE SUMMARY.

The Board solicited comment on the proposed amendment by (1) posting notice, with links to the full text of the proposed amendment, to the LCB Administrative Regulation Notices webpage, (2) posting a copy of the full text of the proposed changes to the Board's website as part of the Board Hearing materials, (3) posting notice to the Nevada Public Notice website, operated by the Department of Administration, with a link back to a full text of the proposed amendment on the Board's website, and (4) posting notices and agendas in numerous public locations per NRS Chapter 233B.

The Board also solicited comment from Nevada dispensing practitioners, and from representatives of relevant industry associations that Board Staff deemed likely to have an interest in the proposed amendment. Further, the Board provided time for public comment at the workshop(s) concerning the proposed amendment.

- Chad Baker
FlavouRx
Contact number: 800-884-5771

Chad Baker, Senior Vice President of Government Relations for FlavouRx, is in favor of the proposed regulation. He is putting in sensible guard rails to protect the safety of the public while allowing a reasonable path forward. To ensure, the health of children is enhanced by improving medication palatability and therefore compliance.

- Liz McMEnamin
Retail Association of Nevada
Contact Number: 775-882-1700

Liz McMenamin appreciates the Board's work on the proposed language and is in favor of the proposed regulation.

Parties interested in obtaining a copy of the summary of the comments solicited should contact Board Coordination at teamBC@pharmacy.nv.gov or call Darlene Nases at (775) 850-1440 ext. 120.

5. IF THE REGULATION WAS ADOPTED WITHOUT CHANGING ANY PART OF THE PROPOSED REGULATION, A SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR ADOPTING THE REGULATION WITHOUT CHANGE.

This regulation was adopted as written with one amendment to Section 2 - the new definition of "dispensing practitioner" - to correct the definition to include licensed veterinarians registered as dispensing practitioners pursuant to NAC 639.7423.

6. THE ESTIMATED ECONOMIC EFFECT OF THE REGULATION ON THE BUSINESS WHICH IT IS TO REGULATE AND ON THE PUBLIC. THESE MUST BE STATED SEPARATELY, AND IN EACH CASE MUST INCLUDE:

A) BOTH ADVERSE AND BENEFICIAL EFFECTS.

There should be no adverse economic impact from this regulation amendment on the regulated entities or on the public. The regulation amendment will have a beneficial effect on the regulated entities and on the public by making prescription compounding safer.

B) BOTH IMMEDIATE AND LONG-TERM EFFECTS.

Both the immediate and long-term economic effects on regulated entities and on the public will be beneficial by making prescription compounding safer.

7. THE ESTIMATED COST TO THE AGENCY FOR ENFORCEMENT OF THE PROPOSED REGULATION.

There will be no additional or special costs incurred by the Board of Pharmacy for enforcement of this regulation amendment.

8. A DESCRIPTION OF ANY REGULATIONS OF OTHER STATE OR GOVERNMENT AGENCIES WHICH THE PROPOSED REGULATION OVERLAPS OR DUPLICATES AND A STATEMENT EXPLAINING WHY THE DUPLICATION OR OVERLAPPING IS NECESSARY. IF THE REGULATION OVERLAPS OR DUPLICATES A FEDERAL REGULATION, THE NAME OF THE REGULATING FEDERAL AGENCY.

The Board of Pharmacy is not aware of any similar regulations of other state or government agencies that the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates.

9. IF THE REGULATION INCLUDES PROVISIONS WHICH ARE MORE STRINGENT THAN A FEDERAL REGULATION WHICH REGULATES THE SAME ACTIVITY, A SUMMARY OF SUCH PROVISIONS.

The regulation does not contain provisions which are more stringent than a federal regulation which regulates the same activity.

10. IF THE REGULATION PROVIDES A NEW FEE OR INCREASES AN EXISTING FEE, THE TOTAL ANNUAL AMOUNT THE AGENCY EXPECTS TO COLLECT AND THE MANNER IN WHICH THE MONEY WILL BE USED.

This regulation does not provide a new or increase of fees.