STATE OF NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF ADOPTED REGULATIONS AS REQUIRED BY NRS 233B.066

LCB FILE NO. R014-24 Commission General Regulation 520

The following statement is submitted for adopted amendments to Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapters 504.

1. A clear and concise explanation of the need for the adopted regulation:

This regulation is designed to help define and regulate the big game tag deferral option within the extenuating circumstances regulation adopted in LCB File #R022-19. This regulation also clarifies provisions of NRS 502.104

2. Description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary:

How comments were solicited:

Public comment was solicited at the Tag Allocation and Application Hunt Committee Meeting on January 25, 2024.

Public comment was solicited during one regulation workshop of the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners (NBWC) on March 9, 2024.

There was one public comment from the Clark County Advisory Board.

Public comment was solicited for the permanent regulation during one adoption hearing with the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners (NBWC) on May 4, 2024.

There was no public comment.

Summary:

The public comment at the workshop solely addressed what happens to a deferred tag when the unit closes. The department worked with the Deputy Attorney General to address this concern and the adopted version of the regulation.

Copies:

Meeting minutes from the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners' meetings include all public comment and can be found here when posted: https://nvboardofwildlife.org/#meetings

3. The number of persons who:

(a) Attended each hearing:

March 9, 2024: 49 Attendees

May 4, 2024: 26 Attendees

(b) Testified at each hearing:

March 9, 2024: 1 May 4, 2024: 0 (c) Submitted written comments:

March 9, 2024: 0 May 4, 2024: 0

4. For each person identified in number 3 above, the following information if provided to the agency conducting the hearing:

(a) Name: Paul Dixon

- **(b) Telephone number:** 505-699-1744
- (c) Business address:
- (d) Business telephone number:
- (e) Electronic mail address: noxid1960@gmail.com
- (f) Name of entity or organization represented: Clark CABMW
- 5. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary:

This regulation does not affect small businesses. Therefore, the Department concluded that there would be no small business impact.

A copy of the Small Business Impact Statement can be found attached to the Notice of Intent: https://nvboardofwildlife.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/CGR500 CGR520 NoticeAdoption May2024 Revised04.15.24.pdf

6. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change:

The regulation was adopted without any changes to the noticed version, the only change occurred after the workshop after consultation with the DAG regarding the one public comment.

- 7. The estimated economic effect of the adopted regulation on the businesses which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and each case must include:
 - (a) Both adverse and beneficial effects on businesses; and
 There are neither significant adverse nor beneficial effects on businesses.
 - (b) Both immediate and long-term effects on businesses:

 There are neither immediate nor long-term effects on businesses.
 - (a) Both adverse and beneficial effects on the public; and

There are no adverse effects on the public.

(b) Both immediate and long-term effects on the public:

There are neither immediate nor long-term effects on the public.

- 8. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the adopted regulation:

 There is no estimated cost to the agency. Enforcement of the regulation falls within current operations of the Department.
- 9. A description of any regulations of other state or government agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency:

There are no other regulations that overlap or duplicate this regulation.

10. If the regulation includes provisions that are more stringent than a federal regulation which regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions:

There are no other regulations that regulate this same activity.

11. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.

This regulation does not provide a new or increased fee.