LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF ADOPTED REGULATIONS--NRS 233B.066 Informational Statement LCB File No. R168-24

1. A clear and concise explanation of the need for the adopted regulation.

The amendment in Section 1 of this LCB File is makes a conforming change based on the repeal of NAC 284.179 in Section 5. The repeal of this regulation is necessary because there are no individuals employed with the State of Nevada because they would have had to be continuously employed for 48 years.

The amendment in Section 2 of this LCB File requires the agency an employee is leaving to pay the compensatory time an employee has accrued. This results in the payment of compensatory time by the agency in which the hours were accrued, and not allow the liability to be placed on another agency.

Section 3 of this LCB File will allow an appointing authority to waive a probationary period of an employee who transfers from the nonclassified or unclassified service into the classified service. It is important to give an appointing authority the discretion to make this determination based on each individual circumstance.

Section 4 of this LCB File removes the requirement for a form to request this type of leave, which is necessary as the State works to modernize its human resource information system. The new system will drive the mechanism for how an employee can request such leave.

2. A description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

On August 27, 2024, copies of the proposed regulation amendments were sent by email to persons who were known to have an interest in the subject of proposed human resources regulation changes as well as any person who had specifically requested such notice via Listserv. These documents were also made available on the Division of Human Resource Management's website, the Nevada Public Notice website, the Legislative Counsel Bureau's website, and mailed to all county libraries in Nevada, and posted at the following locations:

Blasdel Building 209 E. Musser Street Carson City, NV

Nevada State Library and Archives 100 N. Stewart Street Carson City, NV

EICON Building 515 E. Musser Street Carson City, NV Legislative Counsel Bureau 401 S. Carson Street Carson City, NV

Nevada State Capitol Building 101 N. Carson Street Carson City, NV

Eureka Building 7251 Amigo Street Las Vegas, NV A regulation workshop was conducted by the Division of Human Resource Management on April 16, 2024, and a public hearing was held by the Nevada Human Resource Commission on September 27, 2024.

There was discussion regarding the changes included in the LCB Draft of Proposed Regulation R168-24 at the workshop.

At the public hearing, staff provided information regarding the intent and need for the regulation. No comments were received at the public hearing regarding the changes included in the LCB Draft of Proposed Regulation R168-24.

Written minutes and comments from the regulation workshop and public hearing can be obtained from the Division of Human Resource Management by contacting Michelle Garton at mgarton@admin.nv.gov.

3. The number of persons who:

- (a) Attended each hearing: 29
- (b) Testified at each hearing: 1
- (c) Submitted written comments: 0
- 4. Following is a list of names and contact information, including telephone number, business address, business telephone number, electronic mail address, and name of entity or organization represented, for each person identified above in #3(b):

Carrie Hughes, Supervisory Human Resources Analyst State of Nevada Department of Administration Division of Human Resource Management 515 E. Musser Street, Suite 101 Carson City, NV 89701 (775) 684-0111 cphughes@admin.nv.gov

5. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Comments were not solicited from businesses, as the regulation does not affect businesses. Comments were solicited from affected parties including employees and employee associations. Written minutes and comments from the workshop and public hearing can be obtained as instructed in the response to question #2.

6. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change.

There was no opposition to the regulation at the Human Resources Commission hearing.

- 7. The estimated economic effect of the regulation on the business which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and each case must include:
 - (a) Both adverse and beneficial effects; and
 - (b) Both immediate and long-term effects.

There are no adverse or beneficial effects on regulated business and the public. There are no immediate or long-term effects on business and the public.

8. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation:

There is no additional cost to the agency for enforcement of this regulation.

9. A description of any regulations of other State or governmental agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlap is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency.

This regulation does not overlap or duplicate any State or federal regulations.

10. If the regulation includes provisions that are more stringent than a federal regulation that regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions.

The regulation does not include any provisions that are covered by any federal regulations.

11. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.

No fees are associated with this regulation.