LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF ADOPTED REGULATIONS--NRS 233B.066 Informational Statement LCB File No. R138-22

1. A clear and concise explanation of the need for the adopted regulation.

This NAC change is necessary in order to remove potential barriers to employment with the State for veterans and increase competitiveness in veteran employee recruitment and retention.

The majority of individuals separating from the U.S. Armed Forces are unable to complete required medical appointments with the Veterans Administration (VA) prior to separation from the military as it can take months for appointments to be scheduled and multiple appointments may be necessary to determine if the individual has any service-connected medical needs or disabilities. Additionally, veterans who have been identified as having a service-connected disability may have ongoing medical needs that require medical attention on a periodic basis. Service-connected medical needs of veterans can be a barrier to employment with the State or could discourage veterans from seeking the medical care they need due to lack of sufficient sick leave as a new State employee. The Federal Government recognized this issue and enacted the Wounded Warriors Federal Leave Act of 2015 and other States such as California and Texas have followed suit in allowing additional leave for employee veterans for service-connected medical needs.

2. A description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

On August 22, 2023, copies of the proposed regulation amendments were sent by email to persons who were known to have an interest in the subject of proposed personnel regulation changes as well as any person who had specifically requested such notice via Listserv. These documents were also made available on the Division of Human Resource Management's website, the Nevada Public Notice website, the Legislative Counsel Bureau's website, and mailed to all county libraries in Nevada, and posted at the following locations:

Blasdel Building 209 E. Musser Street Carson City, NV

Nevada State Library and Archives 100 N. Stewart Street Carson City, NV

Grant Sawyer Office Building 555 E. Washington Avenue Las Vegas, NV Legislative Counsel Bureau 401 S. Carson Street Carson City, NV

Nevada State Capitol Building 101 N. Carson Street Carson City, NV

A regulation workshop was conducted by the Division of Human Resource Management on June 17, 2022, and a public hearing was held by the Nevada Human Resources Commission

on September 22, 2023.

There was discussion around what this leave could be used for and who qualifies, however no comments opposing the proposed changes to NAC 284.589 were received at the workshop.

At the public hearing, staff provided information regarding the intent and need for the regulation.

No comments were received at the public hearing regarding the changes included in the LCB Draft of Revised Proposed Regulation R138-22.

Written minutes and comments from the regulation workshop and public hearing can be obtained from the Division of Human Resource Management by contacting Michelle Garton at mgarton@admin.nv.gov.

- 3. The number of persons who:
 - (a) Attended each hearing: 12
 - (b) Testified at each hearing: 1
 - (c) Submitted written comments: 0
- 4. Following is a list of names and contact information, including telephone number, business address, business telephone number, electronic mail address, and name of entity or organization represented, for each person identified above in #3(b):

Carrie Hughes
Personnel Analyst III
Consultation & Accountability
Division of Human Resource Management
Desk: 775/684-0111
cphughes@admin.nv.gov

5. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Comments were not solicited from businesses, as the regulation does not affect businesses. Comments were solicited from affected parties including employees and employee associations. Written minutes and comments from the workshop and public hearing can be obtained as instructed in the response to question #2.

6. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change.

There was no opposition to the regulation at the Human Resources Commission hearing.

- 7. The estimated economic effect of the regulation on the business which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and each case must include:
 - (a) Both adverse and beneficial effects; and
 - (b) Both immediate and long-term effects.

There are no adverse or beneficial effects on regulated business and the public. There are no immediate or long-term effects on business and the public.

8. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation:

There is no additional cost to the agency for enforcement of this regulation.

9. A description of any regulations of other State or governmental agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlap is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency.

This regulation does not overlap or duplicate any State or federal regulations.

10. If the regulation includes provisions that are more stringent than a federal regulation that regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions.

The regulation does not include any provisions that are covered by any federal regulations.

11. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.

No fees are associated with this regulation.