

**STATE OF NEVADA
BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS
NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE
LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF ADOPTED REGULATIONS
AS REQUIRED BY NRS 233B.066**

**LCB FILE NO. R083-19
Commission General Regulation 488**

The following statement is submitted for adopted amendments to Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 502.

1. A clear and concise explanation of the need for the adopted regulation:

In spring 2017, the prior statutory limit was exceeded by qualifying cooperators for damage compensation tags. There was no process to equitably redistribute tags among qualifying cooperators, and a statutory amendment was added to open a bill to increase the statutory limit. That statutory change, while addressing the immediate challenge, did not include any redistribution mechanism should the increased limit be reached in the future.

2. Description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary:

Public comment was solicited during the regulation workshop of the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners (NBWC), the regulation adoption and by email to wildlifecommission@ndow.org.

3. The number of persons who:

- (a) Attended each hearing: (date and number of attended for workshops and hearings)**
- (b) Testified at each hearing: (date and number of attended for workshops and hearings)**
- (c) Submitted written comments: (date and number of attended for workshops and hearings)**

September 20, 2019, NBWC Meeting

- a. Attended: 53
- b. Testified: 0
- c. Written Comment: 0

September 24, 2021 NBWC Meeting

- a. Attended: 56
- b. Testified: 2
- c. Written Comment: 0

4. For each person identified in number 3 above, the following information if provided to the agency conducting the hearing:

- (a) Name:** Paul Dixon
- (b) Telephone number:** 505-699-1744
- (c) Business address:**
- (d) Business telephone number:**
- (e) Electronic mail address:** noxid1960@gmail.com
- (f) Name of entity or organization represented:** Clark CABMW

- (a) Name:** Pete Mori
- (b) Telephone number:**
- (c) Business address:**
- (d) Business telephone number:**
- (e) Electronic mail address:**
- (f) Name of entity or organization represented:**

5. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response, and an explanation how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary:

The Department sent letters to the 105 cooperators in the compensation tag program during November to inform them that the Department would be hosting public stakeholder meetings in Elko, Ely, and Winnemucca during December 12–14, 2017 to discuss options for equitable reduction if the limit were reached. The ideas were compiled and sent to all participants that provided input and asked for ranking of suggested methods for equitable redistribution of compensation tags if the statutory limit were reached.

6. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change:

The regulation was adopted without change because LCB allowed the Department to utilize their own draft language encompassing what the Department was attempting to achieve, therefore the commission had no further questions or changes on the regulation.

7. The estimated economic effect of the adopted regulation on the businesses which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and each case must include:

(a) Both adverse and beneficial effects on businesses; and

The most beneficial effect will reduce confusion and provide a simple method by which tags may be equitably reallocated should the qualifying counts exceed statutory limits. No adverse effects are anticipated.

(b) Both immediate and long-term effects on businesses:

There are no immediate nor long-term effects on businesses.

(a) Both adverse and beneficial effects on the public; and

There will be no economic effect on the public by the proposed regulation.

(b) Both immediate and long-term effects on the public:

There will be no economic effect on the public by the proposed regulation.

8. The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the adopted regulation:

There is no estimated cost to the agency for the enforcement of the proposed regulation because this regulation currently falls under Department activities.

9. A description of any regulations of other state or government agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency:

This regulation does not include provisions that duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state, or local standards.

10. If the regulation includes provisions that are more stringent than a federal regulation which regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions:

This regulation does not include provisions that are more stringent than federal standards

11. If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.

The proposed regulation does not provide new or increased fees.